

**College of Health Sciences
Assessment Data – Master of Science in Kinesiology Program
2023-2024**

Learning Outcome #1

Appraise current research data in Kinesiology and integrate it into their professional practice to solve relevant problems and make effective decisions

Criteria for success: 70% of students will score 80% or better on their overall CAT rubric grade

Signature Assignments: Critical Appraisal Topic Paper

Course: KIN 6010

Percent of students scoring at least 80% or better on their critical appraisal topic					
	2019-2020	2020-2021	2021-2022	2022-2023	2023-2024
Number of Students	(n=24)	(N=42)	(N=33)	(N=48)	(N=57)
% scoring 70% or better	100%	88%	97%	90%	90%

Interpretation and Conclusion:

All of the students met the criteria for this standard.

Changes to be made: none

Rubric Used: Critical Appraisal Topic Rubric (see below)

Critical Appraisal Topic Abstract Rubric

	Description
<i>Clinical Scenario (10pts)</i>	A brief description of the clinical scenario leading to the clinical question.
<i>Clinical Question (10pts)</i>	A focused clinical question of importance in sport rehabilitation.
<i>Summary of Key Findings (10 pts)</i>	A bulleted list of the key clinical findings from the search.
<i>Clinical Bottom Line (10 pts)</i>	The most important take-home message from the available evidence. Some statement regarding the level of available evidence and subsequent strength of recommendations is required
<i>Strength of Recommendation (10 pts)</i>	A brief description of the strength of evidence summarized following the critical appraisal

Critical Appraisal Topic Main Text Rubric

Search Strategy: (5 points)	Describe the databases and sites searched, the search terms used, and any search limits. The search should ideally have been conducted within several months of submission for publication and should seek to obtain the best available evidence.
PICO (5 points)	Should be in list format – See sample @ JSR website
Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria (5 points)	Explicitly list all inclusion and exclusion criteria for your article inclusion
Search Results (5 points)	In narrative form, describe the results of your search
Best Evidence (10 points)	Indicate how many studies were chosen (MUST INCLUDE AT LEAST 3) for inclusion and appraisal in this CAT and provide the reasons that these studies were selected (ie, level 1 study, etc). <i>JSR strongly recommends authors use the Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine's definitions in determining level of evidence</i>
Summary of Best Evidence (50 points)	Each of the studies chosen for inclusion in the CAT should be critically appraised in a comparative table. The table might include the following headings: Study Design Participants Intervention Investigated Control Experimental Outcome Measures (Primary and Secondary) Main Findings Level of Evidence Validity Score
Conclusion (15 points)	
Implications for Practice, Education, and Future Research (25 points)	Practical discussion based on the information provided from the appraisal of current literature. Anecdotal comments regarding whether or not this intervention is commonly used clinically, cost of this intervention, etc, are appropriate.
Acknowledgements, Conflict of Interest, References (0 points)	

Program Learning Outcome #2

Students will work independently to effectively communicate essential information in their discipline.

Criteria for success: 80% of candidates will score at ‘proficient’ or ‘mastery’ level on the five components of the AAC&U Oral Communication Rubric (i.e. “3” or higher) as determined by 5 faculty assessors.

Signature Assignments: Thesis and Capstone poster presentations at MS-KIN Research Symposium

Course(s): KIN 6098, KIN6099

Percent of students scoring proficient or mastery on rubric (i.e. 3 or 4)								
Oral Communication Rubric	2016-20	2017-20	2018-20	2019-20	2020-20	2021-20	2022-20	2023-20
	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
Number of Students	(n=25)	(n=26)	(n=24)	NA	NA	NA	(n=21)	(n=24)
<i>Organization:</i>	89%	94%	100%	DNT	DNT	DNT	100%	96%
<i>Supporting material:</i>	80%	93%	95%	DNT	DNT	DNT	95%	96%
<i>Central message:</i>	83%	90%	91%	DNT	DNT	DNT	95%	96%
<i>Delivery:</i>	77%	80%	79%	DNT	DNT	DNT	86%	75%
<i>Language:</i>	78%	96%	100%	DNT	DNT	DNT	95%	100%

Interpretation and Conclusion:

The MS-KIN students met the criteria for all but *Delivery*, for which they were 5% short of the 80% goal. This is close, but the program can continue to improve opportunities for delivery with direct feedback. In the past a concerted effort has been made to raise this component proficiency (see last year’s assessment report), but those efforts must continue. One such area is in the consistency and rigor of feedback during student presentations. It is my observation (Jacob Goodin, MS-KIN assessment report writer) that students are critiqued primarily on the content and organization of their presentations, and that despite multiple opportunities to present, improvement is not made without direct and specific feedback. To that end, We will develop a presentation rubric and set of best practices to employ throughout the curriculum across multiple sources.

Changes to be made: Creation of new presentation/public speaking rubric and best practices for Kinesiology students

Rubric Used: AACU Oral Communication Rubric

Program Learning Outcome #3

Students will work independently to effectively communicate essential information in their discipline.

Signature Assignments: Oral Presentations in KIN 6005

Criteria for success: 70% of students will score adequate or above in each category of the rubric

Percent of students scoring Adequate or full marks on rubric					
Research Proposal Rubric	2019-2020	2020-2021	2021-2022	2022-2023	2023-2024
Number of Students	(n=34)	(n=24)	(n=39)	(n=36)	(n=35)
States Research Problem	100%	100%	100%	94%	100%
Literature Review	100%	100%	100%	94%	97%
Hypothesis	100%	100%	100%	94%	97%
Study Aims	100%	100%	100%	94%	100%
Participants	100%	100%	100%	94%	100%
Instrumentation	100%	100%	100%	94%	97%
Statistical Design and Analysis	100%	100%	100%	94%	100%
Organization	82%	96%	74%	94%	100%

Interpretation and Conclusion: All learning outcomes for this measure were met.

Changes to be made: Increase goal to 80% of students

Rubric Used: KIN6005 Oral Presentation Rubric

	Full Marks	Adequate	Limited	None
States Research Problem	Full Marks (10 pts)	Adequate (6 pts)	Limited (3 pts)	None (0 pts)
Literature Review	Thoroughly reviews current research on problem (20 pts)	Adequately reviews current research on problem (15 pts)	Limited Review (7 pts)	None (0 pts)
Hypothesis	Clearly states null and research hypotheses (10 pts)	Adequately states hypotheses (8 pts)	Partially state hypotheses (5 pts)	None (0 pts)
Study Aims	Thoroughly states purposes of study (10 pts)	Adequately states study's purposes (7 pts)	Partial aim (5 pts)	None (0 pts)

MSKin: Assessment Data, 2023-24

Participants	Full description: subjects, sample size, power analysis, IRB, recruitment, inclusion criteria (10 pts)	Adequate description of subjects (7 pts)	Partial description (5 pts)	None (0 pts)
Instrumentation	Full description: equipment, validity, reliability, location, access (15 pts)	Adequate description of instrumentation or tools (11 pts)	Partial description (5 pts)	None (0 pts)
Statistical Design and Analysis	Clearly states statistical design, IV, DV, normality, tests, appropriateness for hypothesis testing (20 pts)	Adequate analysis (12 pts)	Partial analysis; statistics do not match hypothesis (5 pts)	None (0 pts)
Organization	Slides were well-organized, speaker was succinct and coherent, answered questions sufficiently (5 pts)	To the point but somewhat unclear (3 pts)	None (0 pts)	

Program Learning Outcome #4

Alumni gained valuable career skills in the MS Kin program

Outcome measure: Alumni Survey

Alumni Survey Questions

#1

Appraise current research data in Kinesiology and integrate it into their professional practice to solve relevant problems and make effective decisions.

#2

Work independently and with a team to communicate essential information in their discipline

#3

Demonstrate appropriate breadth of knowledge of the background and principle research in their specialization in order to conduct an independent research project.

#4

Pursue an active and growing involvement in their discipline by achieving advanced certification and/or membership in a professional organization in their discipline.

#5

Serve various populations, integrating compassionate care and the Christian faith with their professional practice.

Criteria for success:

70% of students will say that the MS-KIN “enhanced” or “greatly enhanced” their ability on the questions below.

Signature Assignments: Alumni Survey							
	2017 (n=29)	2018 (n=25)	2019 (n=26)	2020 (n=17)	2021 (n=18)	2023 (n=12)	2024 (n=16)
To what extent did the MS KIN enhance your ability to do the following:							
Evaluate the quality of research data in Kinesiology and integrate it into your practice (#1)	97	96	92	100	100	100	100
Work with a team to communicate essential information in your discipline (#2)	86	92	92	100	87	100	94

Gain knowledge in research related to your discipline in order to conduct an independent project or write a research proposal (#3)	96	96	92	82%	100	100	100
Consider the Christian faith as it relates to your professional practice (#4)	77	75	84	66	67	93	58
Prepare you for your professional life and career (#5)	NC	92	100	100	100	100	93

Interpretation and Conclusion:

All standards met, save for Q#4. This is concerning as it relates to the integration of faith and learning in the classroom. The primary ways that faith is integrated at the grad level are in KIN6000 Foundations of Kinesiology. Aside from this course, instructors are left to integrate faith on their own terms. This will be addressed in the next MS-KIN meeting to solicit ideas and suggestions for how we can make faith central to the learning process while maintaining the high rigor of our curriculum.

Changes to be made: Roundtable discussions with MS-KIN faculty.

Rubric Used: Alumni Survey form (see above).