Assessment Data Mathematical, Information and Computer Sciences Computer Information Technology (ADC), 2023-24

Learning Outcome:

PLO: Students will be able to write about their work with precision, clarity and organization (Written Communication).

GELO 1a: Written: Students will be able to effectively express ideas and information to others through written communication.

Outcome Measure: Annual: Each student will be required to write a paper on a topic in their field as a part of their participation in the Information Technology Project (CIT4081). The audience for this talk will include department faculty, fellow students and possibly some alumni. The students will be given the evaluation criteria in advance of their presentation and will be rated by the faculty using a rubric with a scale of 4 (outstanding) to 1 (unsatisfactory) in the following areas:

- Structure
- Organization
- Grammar and spelling
- Depth of information
- Clarity of writing
- Bibliography and other supporting documentation

Criteria for Success: 80% of the students should have an average score of at least 2.5 in each of the major areas in the department rubric.

Longitudinal Data:

	Percent of Students At or Above 2.5							
	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22	2022-23	2023-24	
Bibliography and Support	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Organization	83%	100%	100%	100%	100%	96%	100%	
Grammar and Spelling	100%	100%	100%	100%	97%	100%	100%	
Depth of Information	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Clarity of Writing	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	

Conclusions Drawn from Data: Our students are meeting our standards.

Changes to be Made Based on Data: Continue to monitor student results.

Rubrics: MICS Writing Rubric: Next page.

MICS Written Presentation Rubric (12/31/22)

Criteria	Outstanding High Satisfactory		Low Satisfactory			Unsatisfactory		
y and	Multiple references from distinct eputable sources Output				Some references from reputable sources		No bibliography or all references from untrusted sites on the internet	
Bibliography s supporting documents	References cited in the body of the document		Some citation of references in the body of the document		Limited citation of references in the body of the document		No citation of references in the body of the document	
	Conveys a central theme with all ideas connected, arrangement of ideas clearly related to topic		Conveys a central idea or topic with some ideas connected to the topic		Attempts to focus on an idea or topic with many ideas not connected to the topic		Has little or no focus on central idea or topic	
Ē	Clear introduction, body (with sections), and conclusion includes summary and closure		Includes introduction, body and conclusion		Introduction, body, conclusion detectable but not clear		Introduction, body or conclusion absent	
Organization	Includes both an abstract and table of contents		Includes abstract and table of contents (one partial and one complete)		Includes partial abstract and partial table of contents		No abstract or table of contents	
	No use of first-person tense		Few uses of the first-person tense		Several uses of the first-person tense		Written in first-person tense	
Grammar and spelling	No grammatical or spelling errors		Few grammatical and spelling errors		Some grammatical and spelling errors		Many grammatical and spelling errors	
Depth of information	Highly accurate and substantive content		Content is accurate, though key concepts are missing		Content is flawed, and/or a significant number of key concepts are missing		Content is significantly flawed and/or content is trivial	
	Appropriately synthesizes information from multiple distinct sources		Synthesis of information from at least three distinct sources		Synthesis of information from at least two distinct sources		Summary reporting of information without synthesis	
	Draws conclusions and personal insights from synthesis		At least two personal insights or conclusions stated		At least one personal insight or conclusion stated		No personal insights	
Depth of	Has the minimum number of pages including penalty pages; subject coverage is excellent		Has the minimum number of pages including penalty pages; subject coverage is good		Has the minimum number of pages including penalty pages; subject coverage is adequate		Does not have the minimum number of pages including penalty pages	
	Sentences flow		Good sentence structure		Occasional poor sentence structure		Frequent poor sentence structure	
Clarity of writing	Smooth transitions between paragraphs		Adequate transitions between paragraphs		Transitions between paragraphs unclear		Lacked transitions between paragraphs	
	Any and all terms and acronyms are defined		Most terms and acronyms are defined		Some terms and acronyms are defined		Many terms and acronyms are undefined	
Clarity	Provides evidence to support points		Lacks support for some points		Provides minimal support for points		Ideas not supported	