## School of Nursing DNP 2022-2023

Program Learning Outcome (PLO)	Description of Learning Outcome
DNP PLO #1 Inquiring Faithfully	Students will demonstrate knowledge, skill, and behavior of the evidence-based practice of nursing, which integrates growth in reasoning, analysis, decision-making and the application of theory with the goal of advocating for others and/or self. This includes holistic nursing skills and the nursing process.

#### **Outcome Measures:**

Program Learning Outcome (PLO)	Course	Description of Outcome Measure
DNP PLO #1	GNSG 7090D: Doctor of Nursing Practice Project	DNP Project Paper All DNP students are required to complete an original scholarly work, "DNP Project," to demonstrate progress toward doctoral preparation. This paper is the final scholarly paper demonstrating the successful completion of their project: Development, implementation, evaluation, and demonstration of evidence-based quality improvement project conducted throughout the program.

#### **Criteria for Success:**

Program Learning Outcome (PLO)	Course	Statement of Criteria for Success
DNP PLO #1	GNSG 7090D: Doctor of Nursing Practice Project	<u>DNP Project Paper</u> 90% of students will achieve 81% or greater.

#### Aligned with DQP Learning Areas (circle one or more but not all five):

- 1. Specialized Knowledge
- 2. Broad Integrative Knowledge
- 3. Intellectual Skills/Core Competencies
- 4. Applied and Collaborative Learning
- 5. Civic and Global Learning

#### **Longitudinal Data:**

AY	Course	N	Students Meeting or Exceeding Success Criteria	Comments
2020-2021	GNSG7090D: Doctor of Nursing Practice Project		100% (1/1): One student who completed the DNP project exceeded the benchmark.	1 out of 2 enrolled students was able to complete her project. The other student is expected to complete her project within six months.
2021-2022	GNSG7090D: Doctor of Nursing Practice Project		100% (3/3) of students completed the DNP project and exceeded the benchmark.	
2022-2023	GNSG7090D: Doctor of Nursing Practice Project		100% (4/4) of students who completed the DNP project exceeded the benchmark.	Five students were enrolled in this course and one student (1/5) was not able to complete his DNP project due to incomplete data analysis. In Fall 2023, he is enrolled in an Independent Study to complete his DNP project by December 2023.

#### **Conclusions Drawn from Data:**

Program Learning Outcome (PLO)	Conclusions Drawn from Data
DNP PLO #1	Students worked on their DNP projects over six semesters of the DNP program as they went through the development, implementation, evaluation, and dissemination process. In each of the 4 DNP Project courses (GNSG 7090 A-D), students have gone through multiple rigorous rounds of drafting and revising the five chapters of the paper: Introduction, Literature Review, Methods, Results, and Discussion. Four out of five students enrolled in this course completed their projects and submitted the scholarly and high-quality papers. One remaining student completed the data collection in Summer 2023 and will continue to work on the data analysis and writing Ch 4 and Ch5 in the Fall semester of 2023.

## Changes to be Made Based on Data:

Program Learning Outcome (PLO)	Description of Changes to be Made Based on Data
DNP PLO#1	Two students in the Teachout plan are anticipated to complete the current curriculum in Fall 2023.
	After one year of the DNP program pause in 2022, a revised DNP program started in the Fall semester of 2023. There will be 5 courses related to the DNP project: GNSG 7050 A-E focusing on Planning (GNSG 7050A), Proposal (GNSG 7050B), Implementation (GNSG 7050C), Evaluation (GNSG 7050D) and Dissemination (GNSG 7050E). A total of 500 hours of DNP project clinical hours will be integrated into 5 DNP project courses. There will be no changes in expectations of the DNP project and the grading rubric.
	We will continue to identify those students who may need additional time and guidance and provide individualized support in each step as the full-time working students may experience various barriers at personal and professional levels during the program.

**Rubrics Used:** Attached at the end of this document GNSG 7090D- DNP Project Report Grading Rubric

## School of Nursing DNP 2022-2023

Program Learning Outcome (PLO)	Description of Learning Outcome
DNP PLO #2 Caring Faithfully	The student will embrace a calling to the ministry of compassionate care for all people in response to God's grace, which aims to foster optimal health and bring comfort in suffering and death.

#### **Outcome Measures:**

Program Learning Outcome (PLO)	Course	Description of Outcome Measure
DNP PLO #2	GNSG 7080 Context of Practice, Population, and Outcome Management	GNSG 7080 Final Project Description  Develop a written document that encompasses analysis and interpretations of the following through the lens of a chosen model: A population health issues, policies, social determinants of health, healthcare disparities, healthcare issues, and in-depth exploration of preventive measures and components.

#### **Criteria for Success:**

Program Learning Outcome (PLO)	Course	Statement of Criteria for Success
5N 120 #2	GNSG 7080 Context of Practice, Population, and Outcome Management	GNSG 7080 Final Project Description  90% of students will achieve 81% or greater.

## Aligned with DQP Learning Areas (circle one or more but not all five):

- 1. Specialized Knowledge
- 2. Broad Integrative Knowledge
- **3.** Intellectual Skills/Core Competencies
- 4. Applied and Collaborative Learning
- 5. Civic and Global Learning

## **Longitudinal Data:**

AY	Course	N	Students Meeting or Exceeding Success Criteria	Comments
2020-2021	GNSG 7080 Context of Practice, Population, and Outcome Management	3	100% of students (3/3) met the passing standard.	Overall, the average score was 46.3 out of 50 (ranging from 45-47).  Standardized 4- point grading rubric (initial, emerging, developing, and highly developed cariteria) was not used.
2021-2022	GNSG 7080 Context of Practice, Population, and Outcome Management		Four of five students have completed the course assignment (80%). Overall, the average score of 4 students was 48 out of 50 and 100% of students exceeded the benchmark. However, the only 3 out of 4 students received 81% or higher on Healthcare Initiatives and Evaluation of Project criteria.	One student did not complete the course and was unable to complete this assignment.
2022-2023	GNSG 7080 Context of Practice, Population, and Outcome Management		Five students completed the course assignment (100%). The overall score was 100% or 50/50 points which indicates 100% achieved the benchmark for this assignment. Additionally, all five students met the benchmark for the individual sections of the assignment.	One student withdrew from the course prior to the due date for the assignment.

#### **Conclusions Drawn from Data:**

Program Learning Outcome (PLO)	Conclusions Drawn from Data
DNP PLO #2	The final project for the GNSG 7080 course was limited by a small number of students registered for the course (n=5). Using the current grading system, 100% of the students who completed the course met the benchmark of 81% for their final score, the average score was 50/50 points. The current grading system is described on the assignment description so by calculating the percentage score earned for each section, students met the benchmark on all sections. This is the first year all students have met the benchmark for this assignment.

### Changes to be Made Based on Data:

Program Learning Outcome (PLO)	Description of Changes to be Made Based on Data
DNP PLO#2	Recommendations for improving outcomes on this project are to revise the assignment to create a better flow. The course is on pause with the DNP program and will be revised for the start of the new program.
	<ol> <li>Change the total score to 100 points-consider adding Part 1 of the project which would total 100 points</li> <li>Convert grading criteria to the School of Nursing rubric template using the current sections as delineated</li> </ol>

## School of Nursing DNP 2022-2023

Program Learning Outcome (PLO)	Description of Learning Outcome
DNP PLO #3 Communicating Faithfully	The student will actively engage in the dynamic interactive process that is intrapersonal and interpersonal with the goal of advocating for others and/or self. This includes effective, culturally appropriate communication conveys information, thoughts, actions and feelings through the use of verbal and nonverbal skills.

#### **Outcome Measures:**

Program Learning Outcome (PLO)	Course	Description of Outcome Measure
DNP PLO #3	GNSG7090D: Doctor of Nursing Practice Project	Oral Presentation of the Final DNP Project Report  The oral presentation of the final DNP Project report occurs after near completion of the written paper to the Project Team and faculty, followed by a question and answer (Q & A) session. The student delivers a well-rehearsed 30-minute presentation that utilizes visual aids and prompts that summarizes the highlights of each chapter of the project.

#### **Criteria for Success:**

Program Learning Outcome (PLO)	Course	Statement of Criteria for Success
DNP PLO #3	GNSG7090D Doctor of Nursing Practice Project	Oral Presentation of the Final DNP Project Report 90% of students will achieve 81% or greater.

### Aligned with DQP Learning Areas (circle one or more but not all five):

- 1. Specialized Knowledge
- 2. Broad Integrative Knowledge
- 2. Intellectual Skills/Core Competencies
- 4. Applied and Collaborative Learning
- 5. Civic and Global Learning

## **Longitudinal Data:**

AY	Course	N	Students Meeting or Exceeding Success Criteria	Comments
2020-2021	GNSG7090D: Doctor of Nursing Practice Project		100% (1/1): One student who completed and presented the DNP project exceeded the benchmark.	1 out of 2 enrolled students was able to complete her project and successfully defend her project. The other student is expected to complete her project within six months.
2021-2022	GNSG7090D: Doctor of Nursing Practice Project		100% (3/3) students completed their projects and presented their results in Oral Defense – Exceeded the benchmark.	
2022-2023	GNSG7090D: Doctor of Nursing Practice Project		100% (4/4) of students who completed the DNP project successfully defended their projects during oral presentation.	Five students were enrolled in this course and one student (1/5) was not able to complete his DNP project due to incomplete data analysis. In Fall 2023, he is enrolled in an Independent Study to complete his DNP project by December 2023.

## **Conclusions Drawn from Data:**

Program Learning Outcome (PLO)	Conclusions Drawn from Data
5.41 1 20 113	All four students successfully defended their DNP projects via in-person or online. The oral presentation was evaluated on the discussion of Ch 1- Ch 5, such as critical analysis/synthesis of evidence, project findings, and interpretations of their findings. Their oral presentation was followed by the Q & A session, and productive discussions were carried out with participants for implications for nursing practice, long-term sustainability plan, and future directions. The PPT slides and presentation skills were professional and high quality. Overall, the student exceeded all grading criteria.

## Changes to be Made Based on Data:

Program Learning Outcome (PLO)	Description of Changes to be Made Based on Data
DNP PLO#3	After one year of the DNP program pause in 2022, a revised DNP program started in the Fall semester of 2023. There will be 5 courses related to the DNP project: GNSG 7050 A-E focusing on Planning (GNSG 7050A), Proposal (GNSG 7050B), Implementation (GNSG 7050C), Evaluation (GNSG 7050D) and Dissemination (GNSG 7050E). Oral defense assignment is included in the GNSG 7050E Dissemination course. There will be no changes in expectations of the project oral defense and the grading rubric.

Rubrics Used: Attached at the end of this document

**GNSG 7090D** 

Final DNP Project Report: Oral Presentation Grading Rubric

## School of Nursing DNP 2022-2023

as claiming the challenge from Florence Nightingale that nursing is a "divine imposed rdinary work." The nursing student will integrate the ordinary work by complying with ering to regulatory and professional standards (e.g. American Nurses Association (ANA) Ethics, the California Board of Registered Nursing (BRN), Scope of Nursing Practice, SON bk). This includes taking responsibility, being accountable for all actions and treating ith respect and dignity.

#### **Outcome Measures:**

Program Learning Outcome (PLO)	Course	Description of Outcome Measure
DNP PLO #4	GNSG7040: Writing for Publication	Final Mansucript Draft This assignment involves a manuscript preparation to disseminate the DNP project findings via drafting a manuscript according to the author guidelines of a selected journal.

#### **Criteria for Success:**

Program Learning Outcome (PLO)	Course	Statement of Criteria for Success
DNP PLO #4	GNSG7040: Writing for Publication	Final Manuscript Draft 90% of students will achieve 81% or greater.

## Aligned with DQP Learning Areas (circle one or more but not all five):

- 1. Specialized Knowledge
- 2. Broad Integrative Knowledge
- 3. Intellectual Skills/Core Competencies
- 4. Applied and Collaborative Learning
- 5. Civic and Global Learning

## Longitudinal Data:

AY	Course	N	Students Meeting or Exceeding Success Criteria	Comments
2020-2021	GNSG7040: Writing for Publication	2	2/2 (100%) met the benchmark.	Overall, the average score was 87.5.
2021-2022	GNSG7040: Writing for Publication	3	100% (3/3) met the benchmark.	The average score was 91.3.
2022-2023	GNSG7040: Writing for Publication		80% (4/5) of students successfully completed the course and the final manuscript met the benchmark.	One student was not able to complete the manuscript draft paper due to incomplete data analysis of his DNP project. In Fall 2023, he is enrolled in an Independent Study to complete his DNP project and also this assignment by December 2023.

## **Conclusions Drawn from Data:**

Program Learning Outcome (PLO)	Conclusions Drawn from Data
DNP PLO # 4	All four students met the benchmark, with their scores > 81%. This course was designed to focus on the process of writing for publication in nursing. Each student selected a peer-reviewed journal and drafted the manuscript according to the author guidelines by converting their DNP project reports. It was challenging for students to write the Introduction section in 3 pages by converting Ch 1 (Introduction) and Ch 2 (Literature Review) sections in a succinct manner. However, having multiple rounds of edits/revisions and peer review were effective for the final product in manuscript-quality.

## Changes to be Made Based on Data:

Program Learning Outcome (PLO)	Description of Changes to be Made Based on Data
DNP PLO#4	After one year of the DNP program pause in 2022, a revised DNP program started in the Fall semester of 2023. There will be 5 courses related to the DNP project: GNSG 7050 A-E focusing on Planning (GNSG 7050A), Proposal (GNSG 7050B), Implementation (GNSG 7050C), Evaluation (GNSG 7050D) and Dissemination (GNSG 7050E). Some of the content from GNSG 7040 will be integrated into a new GNSG 7050E.  Continue to focus on critical appraisal skills and develop a solid understanding of how all studies fit together and explains 'what it all matters and why it matters'. Discuss a various types of article discussions, such as meta analysis, meta synthesis, intergrative review, RCT, and qualitative studies.

**Rubrics Used:** Attached at the end of this document

GNSG 7040 Manuscript Grading Rubric

## School of Nursing DNP 2022-2023

Program Learning Outcome (PLO)	Description of Learning Outcome
BSN PLO #5 Leading Faithfully	The student will incorporate a foundational relationship with Christ and others and embrace a willingness to serve others in the midst of life-circumstances (e.g. illness, injustice, poverty).  The student will role-model the need for "Sabbath Rest" as a means of personal renewal, and true care of the self so that service to others is optimally achieved. The student will incorporate the characteristics of a servant leader including: humility, courage, forgiveness, and discernment.

#### **Outcome Measures:**

Program Learning Outcome (PLO)	Course	Description of Outcome Measure
DNP PLO #5	GNSG 7008 Healthcare Leadership Perspective	Personal Leadership Plan Students develop, assess and prepare leadership philosophies as they align with their own philosophies and plans as they enter and continue in leadership within their organizations Students: a)appraise reflective practice as a means of personal growth and development (DNP Essential 2, 6, 8); b) analyze leadership models and theories applicable to nursing in clinical practice, clinical teaching and healthcare analysis; c)perform ongoing self-analysis of leadership behaviors through self-reflection and assessment of interpersonal skills and emotional intelligence; d) creates learning opportunities that promote life-long learning. (DNP Essentials I, II, III, VI, VII, VIII); e) appraise identified clinical practice problems for best practice implementation; and f)examine the effectiveness of verbal and non-verbal communication for ongoing improvement

#### **Criteria for Success:**

Program Learning Outcome (PLO)	Course	Statement of Criteria for Success
DNP PLO #5	GNSG 7008 Healthcare Leadership Perspective	90% of students will achieve 81% or greater.

### Aligned with DQP Learning Areas (circle one or more but not all five):

- 1. Specialized Knowledge
- 2. Broad Integrative Knowledge
- 3. Intellectual Skills/Core Competencies
- 4. Applied and Collaborative Learning
- 5. Civic and Global Learning

#### **Longitudinal Data:**

AY	Course	N	Students Meeting or Exceeding Success Criteria	Comments
2021-2022 (FA2021)	GNSG 7008 Healthcare Leadership Perspective	3	All 3 students (100%) exceeded the benchmark.	All enrolled students were able to complete her Personal Leadership Plan
2022-2023	GNSG 7008 Healthcare Leadership Perspective	5	All 5 students (100%) exceeded the benchmark.	All enrolled students were able to complete her Personal Leadership Plan

#### **Conclusions Drawn from Data:**

Program Learning Outcome (PLO)	Conclusions Drawn from Data
DNP PLO # 5	All students enrolled in the course for FA 2022 achieved the benchmark. Students worked to integrate this leadership plan into evaluation of their DNP projects as they examined sustainability of their projects as they relate to their organization's goals. This realistic examination of how their understanding of their approach and leadership styles, align with their organization 5 and 10-year goals.

## Changes to be Made Based on Data:

Program Learning Outcome (PLO)	Description of Changes to be Made Based on Data
DNP PLO#5	No changes to be made as this is the last time this course will be taught.

Rubrics Used: Attached at the end of this document

GNSG 7008: Development of Planning Leadership Document Grading Rubric

# GNSG 7040- Writing for Publication Grading Rubric for Final Manuscript

Name: Title:

Score: /100 pts

Section	Initial (< 70%)	Emerging (70-79%)	Developing (80-89%)	Highly developed (90-100%)	Score
Abstract (5 pts)	Inadequate summary of key elements of the project	Missing 2-3 key elements	Missing 1 key elements of abstract	Succinct summary of background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions (unstructured)	
	0-3 pts	3.5 pts	4 pts	Limits to 250 words (single paragraph without indentation, citations)	
	o o pus	ole pub	. 1945	5 pts	
Introduction (20pts)  Inadequate discussion of known knowledge; lacking narrative of significance of the proposed project; Absence of statistical perspectives  Lack of apparent understanding of the evidence  Aim statement missing		Narrative attempts establishing connection between the proposed project and nursing; statistical briefs are inappropriate  Insufficient synthesis of evidence  Vague aim statement, framework/assu mption  14-15 pts	General discussion of scope and significance of the proposed problem; Attempts to provide statistical perspectives  General synthesis of evidence without clarity or focus  Appropriate aim statements but lacks clarity and completeness; framework/assu mption appropriate	Clear discussion of nature, scope and significance of the proposed problem with statistical perspectives  Concise discussion of similarities and differences of current available knowledge (evidence) from multidisciplinary articles  Clear description of aim statement, framework, and assumptions  18-20 pts	
	Points <14 pts				
Methods / 20 pts	More than 3 elements are missing or poorly discussed  Points <14 pts	Vague description of the key elements of the Methods section and missing 1-2 elements.	All key points of the Methods section (sublevel headers) are stated, but lacks depth or clarity in one or two areas	•Setting: Discuss the project setting •Design: The best and most appropriate study design is selected. •Sample: The sampling methods, including inclusion/exclusion	
		14-15 pts	16- 17 pts	criteria and sample size, are described thoroughly; • Intervention: sufficient detailed	

SON: PLO Data, DN	IP, 2022-23	T	T		
Results /20 pts	• Partial list of results  Points <14 pts	<ul> <li>Vague presentation</li> <li>Tables/Figures with vague discussion</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>General presentation of findings</li> <li>Tables/Figures are satisfactory</li> <li>16-17</li> </ul>	description of the intervention  •Measurements: Detailed description of selected instruments is provided; Evidence of reliability and validity is included; data collection tool is provided in Appendix  •Data collection procedures: •Data analysis: clear discussion of data analysis; •Ethical consideration: discussed human subject protection procedure (i.e. IRB approval, informed consent process)  Points 18-20  Detailed description of project findings on outcome and process measures; All results are presented logically in clear and concise manner  Tables/Figures are	
Tables/Figures /5 pts	• Absence of Tables/Figures	• Tables/Figures with vague	• Tables/Figures are satisfactory	appropriate and logical  18-20 pts  Tables/Figures are appropriate and logical	
	0 pts	discussion 3 pts	• Awkward flow 4 pts	5 pts	
Discussion / 20 pts	<ul> <li>Inappropriate interpretation of project findings</li> <li>Pts&lt; 14 pts</li> </ul>	Limited discussion and interpretation of the project findings  14 – 15 pts	• General interpresentatio n; clarity is lacking  Provide general summary of previous study findings  16-17 pts	Interpretation of key project findings are clearly presented and connection to clinical significance is congruent.  Compare/contrast the project results clearly with previous study findings  18-20 pts	

30N. PLO Data, DN	17, 2022-23			
Writing style, grammar, spelling, & APA format	<ul> <li>The paper includes mechanical and grammatical errors, and uses little critical language.</li> <li>The paper is written rather</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Occasional errors of grammar and mechanics are displayed.</li> <li>Part of the paper is written cogently, and the flow is a bit awkward.</li> <li>There is</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A few minor grammatical errors</li> <li>Most of the paper is written cogently</li> <li>A few deviation from the current APA format</li> </ul>	The style is virtually free of grammatical or mechanical errors.  The entire paper is written cogently and flows smoothly.  The paper adheres to the current APA format throughout the
	written rather diffusely and does not flow smoothly.  There are frequent deviations from the current APA format.	There is occasional deviation from the current APA format.  Points 7	Points 8	format throughout the paper (levels of headings, intext/references citations)  Points 9-10
	Points 0-6			

	Initial <69%	F.m. c ::=!::	Rubric	<del>-</del>	Do!t.	Delini
	Initial <69%		Points Possible	Points Awarded		
Appraise reflective practice as a means of	Points: 0-6	Points: 7	Points: 8	Points: 9-10	10	
personal growth and development (DNP Essential 2, 6, 8)	Meets criteria in highly developed column	Meets criteria in highly developed column	Meets criteria in highly developed column	Meets criteria in highly developed column     Create a work plan incorporating service, values, culture, and diversity as part of a leadership philosophy		
Analyze leadership	. Points: 0-6	Points: 7	Points: 8	Points: 9-10	10	
models and theories applicable to nursing in clinical practice, clinical teaching and healthcare analysis  B. Perform ongoing self-	Meets criteria in highly developed column	Meets criteria in highly developed column	Meets criteria in highly developed column	Meets criteria in highly developed column		
analysis of leadership behaviors through self- reflection and assessment of interpersonal skills and emotional intelligence.				Choose one of the leadership development examples and begin to build your plan and articulate how you will develop your plan within the framework of example A, B or C		
(DNP Essential 2, 5, 6, 8)						
Creates learning opportunities that	Points: 0-6	Points: 7	Points: 8	Points: 9-10	10	
promote life-long learning. (DNP Essentials I, II, III, VI, VII, VIII)	Meets criteria in highly developed column	Meets 7 criteria in highly developed column	Meets criteria in highly developed column	Meets criteria in highly developed column     Modify your selected example with specific instructions on what you will do related to your goals/plans and philosophies.     Comprehensive layout and format that adheres to the provided Leadership examples		
Appraises identified clinical practice	Points: 0-6	Points: 7	Points: 8	Points: 9-10	10	
problems for best practice implementation (DNP Essentials I, II, III, IV, VII)	Meets criteria in highly developed column	Meets criteria in highly developed column	Meets criteria in highly developed column	Meets criteria in highly developed column     Background or applicable relevance to your Leadership example framework.		
Examines the effectiveness of verbal	Points: 0-6	Points: 7	Points: 16-17	Points: 18-20	20	

Meets criteria	Meets 9-10 criteria in highly	
in in highly	developed column	
developed		
oed column	Streamlined information and	
	content not to exceed 10 pages.	
	Scholarly writing and citations of	
	at least 3 professional references	
	or professional journals to support	
	implementation of leadership	
	components in the document.	
	Appendixes with	
	appropriate tables.	
	in in highly developed column	in highly developed column  • Streamlined information and content not to exceed 10 pages. • Scholarly writing and citations of at least 3 professional references or professional journals to support implementation of leadership components in the document. • Appendixes with

#### **Final DNP Project Report: Oral Presentation Grading Rubric**

Date: Title: Name:

Score: /100 pts

Section	Initial (< 70%)	Emerging (70-79%)	Developing (80-89%)	Highly developed (90-100%)	Score
Chapter 1: Introduction /15 pts	-Lack of understanding background information or	- Vague presentation of the key points	-Key points are stated, but lacks depth or clarity in 1-2	-A clinical problem and its significance described with statistical briefs	
/13 pt3	a missing key point		areas	-Local problem and overall goals of the project are described -Project framework + assumptions discussed-matched with overall study plan	
	Points <11	Points 11	Points 12	Points 13-15	
Chapter 2: Literature Review	-PICO/search strategies are missing	-Vague description of PICO and	-Incorrect PICO and general	-PICO and search strategies are appropriate	
	-Lack of apparent understanding of the	search strategies - Insufficient/va	description of search strategies - General	-Critical synthesis of essential study findings with depth and focus	
/15 pts	evidence	gue synthesis of essential findings of key studies	discussion of evidence without depth or focus	Points 13-15	
	Points <11	Points 11	Points 12		
Chapter 3:	-Poor	-Vague or	-All essential	Following key	
Methods	description of each criteria	general description of	criteria are stated, but	elements are discussed:	
/15 pts	or missing criteria	3-4 essential criteria	lacks depth or clarity in 1-2 criteria	<ul> <li>Aims: project aims are clear, well- focused and measurable;</li> <li>Setting:</li> <li>Design: appropriate for the project</li> <li>Sample:</li> </ul>	
				•Intervention: sufficient detailed description •Measurements: Description of data collection tools	

SON: PLO Data, D	141,2022-23	1	1		
	Points <11	Points 11	Points 12	Data collection procedures     Data analysis     Ethical consideration: IRB approval process  Points 13-15	
Chapter 4: Results /15 pts	Partial list of the findings are presented Graphics are missing  Points <11	Vague presentation of the key findings Occasional errors in Graphics	General presentation of overall findings Graphics are appropriate  Points 12	Key study findings are presented logically and clearly Graphics (Graphs, Tables, or Figures) summarized the key findings- clear and professional  Points 13-15	
Chapter 5:	Diffuse	Vague	General	Clear summary and	
Discussion	summary and interpretation – lack of	summary of the findings and	summary of the key findings	interpretation of the key findings	
/ 15 pts	coherence  Diffuse or lacking discussion of implications, sustainability, limitations, & recommendati ons  Points<11	interpretation is missing  Absence of existing evidence  Vague discussion of implications, sustainability, limitations  Points 11	Existing evidence presented  General interpretation of the findings, implications, sustainability, & limitations  Points 12	Compare/contrast to existing evidence  Implications for practice, sustainability, limitations, & recommendations are coherent and logical  Points 13-15	
Presentation /10 pts	-Lack of structure and a majority of key points are missing/incorr ect  -Voice: mumbling and no audience engagement  Points 0-6	-Vague presentation of the key points with a few missing area -Voice: soft and difficult to hear  Points 7	-Appropriate organization, but transition at times unclear -Voice: needs more enthusiasm	- Key points presented with good organization and clarity; logical and smooth transition -Clear and strong voice; energetic  Points 9-10	
Visual /5 pts	-Visual aids disconnected from presentation; too many typos: difficult to follow	-Visual aids are unclear, occasional typos	-Visual aids are professional, but too much text	-Visuals (PPT slides) are professional, clear and easy to read; emphasized the key points	

,	Points <3	Points: 3	Points: 4	Points: 5
Q+A session: Overall quality	-Lack of evidence of a clear understanding	-Needs more insights or thoughts to the overall	-Meets all elements on all questions and answers,	-Exceeds expectations on all questions and answers
/ 10 pts	of the questions and presentation	proposal	but needs more attention to detail	-Well-prepared and has a solid grasp of the subject;
	Points<7	Points 7	Points 8	Points 9-10

Criteria	Initial (< 70%)	Emerging (70-79%)	Developing (80-89%)	Highly developed (90-100%)
	(< 70%)	(70 73%)	(00 0570)	(30 100%)
Abstract	Inadequate summary of key elements of the project	Missing 2-3 key elements	Missing 1 key elements of abstract	Succinct summary of background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions  Limits to 250 words (single paragraph without indentation, citations)
ILO: 1 PLO: 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 2.1, 2.2, 3.3, 3.4, 3.5, 4.1, 4.2, 4.3 Essential: 1, 3, 8	Inadequate discussion of known knowledge; lacking narrative of significance of the proposed project to nursing  Absence of statistical perspectives  Definitions of key terms are missing	Narrative attempts establishing connection between the proposed project and nursing.  Provides vague description of statistical perspectives  Vague definition of	General discussion of scope and significance of the proposed problem to healthcare and nursing.  Attempts to provide statistical perspectives  General description of a few terms	Clear discussion of scope and significance of the proposed problem; fully communicated its implications to healthcare and nursing  Provides comprehensive clinical and statistical perspectives  Clear description of key terms and definitions related to project outcome measures
Description of a local problem	Vaguely stated	key terms Stated without details	General description of a local problem	Clearly stated and detailed description of a local problem; Provides insightful relevance to the identified problem
PLO: 1.1, 3.3, 3.5, 4.1, 4.2, 5.1				

ta, DNP, 2022-23				
Essential: 1, 2, 3, 8				
Purpose of the project  ILO: 1 PLO: 1.1, 2.2, 3.1, 3.3, 3.4, 4.1 Essential: 1, 8	Inadequate goals – outcomes not stated	Vague statement of overall goals of the project	Lacks clarity and completeness in overall goals of the project	Clear statement of overall goals of the project with clarity.
Project framework  ILO: 1  PLO: 1.1, 1.2, 3.1, 3.3, 3.4, 4.1, 4.2, 4.3  Essential: 1, 2, 7, 8	Framework not appropriate for EBP Project proposed Rationale poorly stated	Identifies framework  Vague explanation for framework selected; poorly matched with the goals of the project plan	Framework appropriate for the project  Provides rationale for framework selected but lacking in details and insight.	Comprehensive description of theoretical framework or EBP models for the project  Provides insightful rationale for framework selected and its match with the overall goals of the project plan
Assumptions  ILO: 1  PLO: 1.1, 1.3, 1.5, 3.1, 3.3, 3.4, 4.1, 4.2, 4.3  Essential: 2, 6, 7, 8	Assumptions not identified	Some assumptions identified without rationales	Clear discussion of assumptions with attempts at rationales	Succinct discussion of assumptions (anticipation) and rationales why the proposed interventions are expected to work

DNP Project Report Grading Rubric – 2 Chapter 2: Literature Review

Criteria	Initial (< 70%)	Emerging (70-79%)	Developing (80-89%)	Highly developed (90-100%)
	Points <11	Points 11	Points 12 – 13	Points 14 - 15
Content	Did not address topic.	Analysis lacks depth or clarity.	General coverage of topic with attempts at analysis and synthesis.	Comprehensive and insightful analysis with depth and clarity.

Jata, DNP, 2022-23		1		
PICO & search strategies ILO 1, 2	Not apparent within this chapter and no main outcomes were addressed	One or more element missing and general description of outcomes	PICO statement lacking clarity and general description of main outcomes	Clear description of PICO question and addresses main outcomes
PLO: 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 3.3, 3.4, 4.1, 4.2, 4.35.1, 5.3 Essential: 2, 3, 6, 8	Elementary summary of literature without key terms listed	Elementary search strategies outlined with major key terms listed	Search strategies stated, unfocused with most key terms used	Search strategies are clearly stated and focused, including key terms used.
Evidence Synthesis  ILO: 1  PLO: 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 1.5, 2.1, 2.1, 3.2, 3.3, 3.4, 4.1, 4.2  Essential: 1, 2, 3, 8	Literature presented as a summary of individual studies, connection and synthesis not attempted  Diffuse presentation without organization  Lack of apparent understanding of the evidence	Attempts at synthesis but thematic progression not clear  Lack of organization  Insufficient synthesis of evidence	General discussion of currently available knowledge (evidence) from multidisciplinary articles  General synthesis of evidence without clarity or focus  Initial application made of prior research	Clear discussion of similarities and differences of currently available knowledge (evidence) from multidisciplinary articles (< 5 years old)  Critical analysis and synthesis of key study findings with clarity and focus  Content with good organization  The literature review shows a clear understanding of the topic.
Summary  ILO: 1  PLO: 1.2, 2.3, 3.3, 3.4, 3.5, 4.1, 4.3, 5.3  Essential: 1, 3, 8	Lack of summary of evidence and gaps not clearly identified	Vague description of overall summary;  Addressing gaps not in evidence	General description of overall summary;  Correct gaps identified and explanation provided. Initial attempt as resolution provided.	Clear description of the overall summary of evidence  Identification of gaps between evidence and practice  Explains how gaps will be addressed in the project

Criteria	Initial (< 70%)	Emerging (70-79%)	Developing (80-89%)	Highly developed (90-100%)
	Points <11	Points 11	Points 12-13	Points 14-15
Content	Did not address topic.	Analysis lacks depth or clarity.	General coverage of topic with attempts at analysis and synthesis.	Exceptionally thorough and insightful analysis with depth and clarity.
Specific Aims of the Project  ILO: 1  PLO: 1.1, 2.2, 3.1, 3.3, 3.4,  4.1  Essential: 1, 8	Aim statement missing	Vague aim statement	Appropriate aim statements but lacks clarity and completeness	Clear description of specific aims of the project, including outcomes and processes measures
Setting  ILO: 1,2  PLO: 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 1.4, 1.5, 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, 3.3, 3.4, 3.5, 4.1, 4.2, 4.3, 5.1, 5.2  Essential: 1, 3, 6, 8	Description of setting is missing	Incomplete description of setting	General description of setting	Clear description of the project setting
Project Design  ILO: 2  PLO: 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 1.4, 1.5, 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, 3.3, 3.4, 3.5, 4.1, 4.2, 4.3, 5.1, 5.2  Essential: 2, 3, 5, 6, 8	Project design not articulated	Incomplete description of project design  Design is not matched with the project aims	General description of project design  Appropriate design in relation to the project aims	Clear description of project design  Appropriate design in relation to the project aims
Sample  ILO: 1,2  PLO: 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 1.4, 1.5, 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, 3.3, 3.4, 3.5, 4.1, 4.2, 4.3, 5.1, 5.2  Essential: 1, 3, 6, 8	Sampling not articulated	Incomplete description of sampling methods	Description of sampling with 1-2 partial information	Clear description of sampling methods, inclusion/exclusion criteria

Ttd, D141 , 2022 25		I		
Project Implementation (or	Limited description of	Vague discussion	General description of	Detailed description of the project
intervention)	project	regarding the	project implementation	implementation and step-by-step
	implementation, step	description of project	including step by step	procedures that others could
ILO: 2	by step processes not	implementation;	processes.	reproduce it
PLO: 1.5, 2.2, 3.3, 3.4, 3.5,	included.	Limited discussion of		
4.1, 4.2		step by step processes		Anticipated deviations are clearly
Essential: 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8			Anticipated deviations	explained with a resolution for any
			are explained with	conflicts that may have occurred.
	Limited description of		resolution partially	
	anticipated deviations	Anticipated deviations	identified	Clear description of team members
		listed and explained		and their roles involved in the
		with resolution not		project
	Description of team	present	Clear description of	
	members and their		team members, but	
	roles are missing		their roles are not clear	
		Vague description of		
		team members and		
		their roles		
Measurements	Inadequate	Incomplete discussion	General discussion of	Thorough, clear discussion of the
	description of data	of data collection tools	the data collection tools	description of data collection tools
Data Collection Procedures	collection tools for		including	for outcome and process measures
	both	Incomplete data	questionnaires, surveys	such as surveys, observation
Data Analysis	outcomes/process	collection tools for		checklists, audit tools, or
,	measures	both outcome/process	Data collection tools for	psychometric instruments
Ethical Considerations		measures	outcome measures	
		Limited discussion of	only; tools for process	Discussion of validity and reliability
ILO: 2	Instruments identified,	instruments present	measures are missing	analysis.
PLO: 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 1.5, 2.2,	validity and reliability	including validity and		
2.3, 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 3.4, 3.5,	not included.	reliability.	Discussion of validity	Sample of data collection tool
4.1, 4.2, 5.1, 5.2, 5.3			and reliability.	included in Appendix– permission
Essential: 2, 3, 6, 7, 8		Limited description of		of copyrighted tool addressed.
	Inadequate	timelines and data	Sample of data	
	description of data	collection procedures;	collection tool included	Clear description of the timelines
	collection procedures	concentration procedures,	in Appendix–	of the project and data collection
	concedion procedures		permission of	procedures
		Statistical analysis	copyrighted tool	procedures
	Limited attempts	process outlined,	addressed.	Statistical analysis process clearly
	outlining statistical	discussion regarding	addi Cosca.	outlined, appropriate for project
	analysis completed.	appropriateness not		aims
	analysis completed.	' ' ' '		aiiiis
		clearly identified.		

, ,				
			General description of	
	Limited discussion on	Partial list	timelines and data	Actual/Potential ethical
	ethical considerations	Actual/Potential ethical	collection procedures	considerations discussed
		considerations		
	IRB approvals included	identified, limited	Statistical analysis	IRB approvals included for all
	for all institutions	discussion regarding	process outlined,	institutions
		how to address	appropriate for project	
			aims/ design	
		IRB approvals included		
		for all institutions		
			Actual/Potential ethical	
			considerations	
			identified and limited	
			discussion of how these	
			will be addressed	
			IRB approvals included	
			for all instructions	

DNP Project Report Grading Rubric - 4

Chapter 4: Results (15 pts)

Criteria	Initial (< 70%)	Emerging (70-79%)	Developing (80-89%)	Highly developed (90-100%)
	Points <11	Points 11	Points 12 – 13	Points 14 - 15
Content	Did not address topic.	Analysis lacks depth or clarity.	General coverage of topic with attempts at analysis and synthesis.	Exceptionally thorough and insightful analysis with depth and clarity.
Reports of Project Findings  Modifications to Intervention and any Unintended Consequences (if applicable)	Partial list of results presented.	Results are presented with attempts at a logical presentation	Results are presented with attempts at a logical and systematic presentation	Detailed description of project findings on outcome and process measures; All results are presented logically and systematically
ILO: 2	Findings are not identified by significance.	Findings are presented, significance not identified	Significant findings are presented.	

LO Dala, DINP, 2022-23				
PLO: 1.3, 1.4, 3.1, 3.3, 3.4,	Presentation is without			Significant findings are
3.5, 4.1, 4.3, 5.1, 5.2	clarity			presented clearly and
Essential: 3, 4, 7, 8				concisely.
Tables Charts or Figures		Tables/charts with	Tables/charts and	
Tables, Charts, or Figures	Tables/sharts are	•	narrative discussion is	
	Tables/charts are	adequate discussion of		
	presented with limited	interpretation,	clear and logical with	Table Advantage
	discussion for	presentation has some	minimal gaps.	Tables/charts and
	interpretation. Difficult to	detail. Reading is		narrative discussions are
	read.	sometimes difficult.		presented in exceptional
				detail and logical
				sequence.

DNP Project Report Grading Rubric – 5 Chapter 5: Discussion (15 pts)

Criteria	Initial (< 70%) Points <11	Emerging (70-79%) Points 11	Developing (80-89%) Points 12-13	Highly developed (90-100%) Points 14-15
	1011125 (11	1 011103 11	1 011113 12 13	1 01113 1 1 13
Content	Did not address topic	Analysis lacks depth or clarity.	Topical analysis lacks depth or clarity.	Exceptionally thorough and insightful analysis with depth and clarity.
Summary and interpretation of Key findings	Initial findings presented, but connection to clinical significance	Findings are presented without clarity; Initial connection to clinical significance attempted	Some key findings are presented with clarity lacking. Initial connection to clinical significance	All key project findings on outcomes/process measures are clearly presented, and connection to clinical significance is
ILO: 2 PLO: 1.2, 1.3, 2.2, 4.1, 4.2, 4.3,	absent.		provided.	congruent.
5.1, 5.3	=55 . 5.1	Limited discussion	The effect of the results	-1 65 . 6.1
Essential: 1, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8	Effect of the results is absent	attempted of effect of the results to aid in comprehension of project findings.	to the project are presented to aid in comprehension of significance of project findings	The effect of the results to the project is clearly and concisely presented to aid in the comprehension of significance of project findings.

LO Dala, DINF, 2022-23				
Comparison of findings with existing evidence  ILO: 2 PLO: 2.1, 3.4, 3.5, 4.2, 5.1, 5.3 Essential: 1, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8	Initial comparison; Some duplication of literature review included. Connection to literature not provided.	Initial comparison to the literature without clarity	Compare the project results with findings from existing evidence with repetition	Compare the project results with findings from existing evidence without repeating the literature review –with depth and clarity
Implications	Explanation not	Partial explanation on	General explanation on	Explained clearly what the results
for practice  ILO: 3  PLO: 1.5, 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, 3.3, 3.4, 3.5, 4.1, 4.2, 4.3, 5.3, 5.2	provided	what the results indicate in the real world: nursing practice, nursing education, or policy	what the results indicate in the real world: nursing practice, nursing education, or policy	indicate in the real world: nursing practice, nursing education, or policy
Essential: 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8	Interpretations missing	Interpretations not focused	Interpretations adequate	Interpretations well-founded on project findings and consistent
	Limited discussion of applicability of project results, connection to practice or leadership not in evidence	Vague discussion presented relating applicability of project results to current practice, education, policy, or leadership.	Discussion and attempts at analysis regarding the applicability of the project results in current practice, education, policy, or leadership.	Insightful analysis and discussion of the applicability of the project results in current practice, education, policy, or leadership.
Sustainability and spread to other contexts  ILO: 3 PLO: 1.3, 1.4, 2.1, 2.2, 3.4, 3.5, 4.2, 4.3, 5.3 Essential: 3, 5, 7, 8	Sustainability not addressed	Initial discussion On sustainability	General discussion on either short or long term change of practice/leadership within healthcare for sustainability and spread to other settings	Detailed discussion on short and long term change of practice/leadership within healthcare for sustainability and spread to other settings
Limitations and recommendations for further study	Limitations are not discussed	Vague discussion of potential limitations	General discussion on a few limitations as it	A thorough discussion on potential limitations of the project findings as it relates to the dissemination

CUNI.	$DI \cap$	Data	. DNP.	2022	_23
JUIN.	FLU	vala.	. DINE.	2022	-23

ILO:3 PLO:1.5, 2.3, 3.5, 4.2, 5.3 Essential:2,5,6,7,8	Recommendations not articulated.	Vague recommendations for future study  Recommendations will include a narrative description of potential changes are being proposed for future projects.	relates to the dissemination  General recommendations for future study  Recommendations will include a narrative description of what changes are being proposed for future projects.	Clear and detailed recommendations for future study  Recommendations will include a comprehensive narrative description of what changes are being proposed for future projects.
Policy generation (if applicable)  ILO: 3  PLO: 1.4, 2.1, 2.2, 3.4, 3.5, 4.2, 4.3, 5.3  Essential: 3, 5, 7, 8	Policy not addressed	Proposes a potential policy change, does not incorporate generated project findings	Proposes a possible policy change, connection to the project results attempted	Articulates a possible policy that is generated by the project findings
Conclusions  ILO: 3  PLO: 1.5, 2.3, 3.5, 4.3, 5.1, 5.3  Essential: 1, 3, 4, 7, 8	Conclusions with minimal summary  Interpretations of the conclusions attempted  Conclusions presented have	Presents a vague summary of conclusions  Provides initial interpretations  Conclusions presented have vague connection to the purpose and findings of the project	Presents a general summary of conclusions  Provides general interpretations  Conclusions presented have general connection to purpose and findings of	Presents a detailed summary of conclusions.  Provides clear insightful interpretations  Conclusions presented clearly connect to the purpose and findings of the project.
	minimal connection to the purpose and findings of the project.	of the project.	the project.	

Criteria	Initial (< 70%)	Emerging (70-79%)	Developing (80-89%)	Highly developed (90-100%)
16. Writing style , grammar, spelling, & APA format  / 15 pts 17. 18.	<ul> <li>The paper includes mechanical and grammatical errors, and uses little critical language.</li> <li>The paper is written rather diffusely and does not flow smoothly.</li> <li>There are frequent deviations from the current APA format.</li> <li>Points &lt;11</li> </ul>	Part of the paper is	<ul> <li>A few minor grammatical errors</li> <li>Most of the paper is written cogently</li> <li>A few deviation from the current APA format</li> <li>Points 12-13</li> </ul>	The style is virtually free of grammatical or mechanical errors.  The entire paper is written cogently and flows smoothly.  The paper adheres to the current APA format throughout the paper (levels of headings, intext/references citations)  • Points 14-15
19. Table of Contents, Appendices (Tables, Figures)  / 5 pts 20.	<ul> <li>Incomplete Table of Content, Appendices with major errors</li> <li>Points &lt;3</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Incomplete Table of Content, Appendices with some errors</li> <li>Points 3.5</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Table of Content,         Appendices with minor errors     </li> <li>Points 4</li> </ul>	Table of Content, Appendices included as appropriate • Points 5

#### GNSG 7080 Final Project Description

Develop a written document that encompasses your analysis and interpretations of the following through the lens of your chosen model:

- 1. Policies
- 2. State events
- 3. Healthcare Disparities
- 4. Healthcare Issues
- 5. In-depth exploration of preventive measures and components.
- Looking into social determinants of health, upstream thinking and epigenetics, you decide to apply for funding to address an issue of interest in your community. A local organization is accepting proposals for this year's grant money to direct your application. This proposal includes a background of the issue, plan, and evaluation focused on improving health in the community.
- This written document will be developed over the duration of 4 weeks. It will consist of 10 pages that depict your execution of a politically effective action to improve population health. Attach your Final Project Part 1 model as an appendix to support the dissemination of your plan.
- Consider a population health issue/DNP topic that you are concerned about and using your model to change hearts and minds, behaviors, and structures to impact this issue. Consider frames or a way of explaining this issue that would be compelling for those you seek to influence. What are the data and research that support your strategies and frames? Who are you seeking to influence and why? Who can you bring on as allies? Who might be an adversary, and what are some counter arguments for this possible opposition? Can you think of any personal stories that are illustrative of your issue? Include your model diagram from part 1 depicting the specifics of your topic within the model. Present a timeline or plan for implementation (model and timeline can be appendices)

#### Rubric:

- 1. Overall purpose of your project described clearly, with specific, measurable objectives (10 points)
- 2. Background (10 points)
  - 1. Provide a short narrative to describe the current healthcare situation and the problem to be addressed using statistics to support your initiative
  - 2. What current policies or programs are in place, describe their effectiveness
  - 3. What barriers do you anticipate in implementing your change and how will you address them?

#### 3. **Summary:** (10 points)

- 1. Describe in detail the context or community this proposal is addressing
- 2. Share the management plan details including an analysis of costs, personnel, and any other expenses

#### 4. Healthcare Initiatives (8 points)

1. How will this proposal help to address current US, medicare, medicaid, Healthy People 2030, etc initiatives? Cite at least 2 examples.

## 5.Implementation (5 points)

Refer to your model document to describe how you will implement your plan step by step

## 6. Evaluation (7 points)

- 1. How will you evaluate the effectiveness of your plan? Give examples of metrics, surveys, etc. to be used.
- 2. What methods will you employ to ensure sustainability?
- 3. Record and submit a short presentation (2-3 minutes) to the grant committee