Chemistry FELO Data for 1d and 1e: FA2022-SP2023

Learning Outcome: FELO 1d. Critical Thinking

Students will be able to examine, critique and synthesize information in order to arrive at reasoned conclusions.

Outcome Measure: This outcome will be measured yearly via direct, summative assessment using CHE 1002 Signature Assignment: "Eggs & Critical Thinking Assessment".

Students are assessed on their ability to:

1. Explain: When presented with a problem / issue, are you able to clearly explain the problem, delivering the relevant information necessary to reflect your understanding of the problem?

2. Investigate: When working with the problem / issue, are you able to select and interpret / evaluate the information and develop an analysis or synthesis?
3. Evaluate: As you work with the problem / issue, are you able to methodically analyze your own assumptions, and the information provided by others, to present an informed position / analysis on the problem / issue?

4. Hypothesize: When asked to form a hypothesis, do you consider the complexities of the issue, acknowledge given facts, and present a perspective for further investigation?
5. Draw Conclusions: Are you able to place evidence and perspective to the problem / issue and your investigation of the situation and present logical consequences / implications / conclusions?

Criteria for Success: At least 70% of the students will score at an average of level 3 or higher on the AACU critical thinking rubric (in each of the 5 categories).

	3 or higher on the AACU critical thinking rubric										
	Summer 2020	Spring 2021	Spring 2022	Spring 2023							
Number of students	n=26	n=17	n = 20	n = 20							
category 1 (Explain)	89%	56%	79%	90%							
category 2 (Investigate)	100%	61%	95%	95%							
category 3 (Evaluate)	81%	44%	84%	75%							
category 4 (Hypothesis)	69%	78%	100%	85%							
category 5 (Draw Conclusions)	93%	89%	95%	90%							

Longitudinal Data:

Conclusions Drawn from Data: The students in CHE 1002 met the criteria for critical thinking in all 5 categories in the Spring 2023. It is worth noting that this assessment in form of a quiz was given a little sooner than last year which allowed students to take it a little more seriously.

Chemistry: FELO Data, 2022-23

Changes to be Made Based on Data: No changes necessary at this point.

Rubric Used: The following critical thinking value rubric was used.

Criteria	Ratings									
1- Explanation of issues										
	4.0 pts Capstone Issue/problem to be considered critically is stated clearly and described comprehensively, delivering all relevant information necessary for full understanding.	3.0 pts Milestone 3 Issue/problem to be considered critically is stated, described, and clarified so that understanding is not seriously impeded by omissions.	2.0 pts Milestone 2 Issue/problem to be conside critically is stated but description leaves some terr undefined, ambiguities unexplored, boundaries undetermined, and/or backgrounds unknown.	ated butconsidered criticallyaves some termsis stated withoutabiguitiesclarification oroundariesdescription.		4.0 pts				
2-Evidence/ Investigate										
	4.0 pts Capstone Information is taken from source(s) with enough interpretation/evaluation to develop a comprehensive analysis or synthesis. Viewpoints of experts are questioned thoroughly.	3.0 pts Milestone 3 Information is taken from source(s) with enough interpretation/evaluation to develop a coherent analysis or synthesis. Viewpoints of experts are subject to questioning.	2.0 pts Milestone 2 Information is taken from source(s) with some interpretation/evaluation, but not enough to develop a coherent analysis or synthesis. Viewpoints of experts are taken as mostly fact, with little questioning.	1.0 pts Benchmark Information is taken from source(s) without any interpretation/evaluation. Viewpoints of experts are taken as fact, without question.	0.0 pts No Marks	4.0 pts				

3-Influence of context and assumptions/ Evaluate	4.0 pts Capstone Thoroughly (systematically and methodically) analyzes own and others' assumptions and carefully evaluates the relevance of contexts when presenting a position.	3.0 pts Milestone 3 Identifies own and others' assumptions and several relevant contexts when presenting a position.	Identifies se contexts who position. Ma of others' ass	ome assumptions. veral relevant en presenting a by be more aware sumptions than or vice versa).	1.0 pts Benchmark Shows an emerging awareness of present assumptions (sometimes labels assertions as assumptions). Begins to identify some contexts when presenting a position.	0.0 pts No Marks	4.0 pts
4-Student's position (perspective, thesis/hypothesis)/ Hypothesize	4.0 pts Capstone Specific position (perspective, thesis/hypothesis) is imaginative, taking into account the complexiti of an issue. Limits of position (perspective, thesis/hypothesis) ar acknowledged. Others' points of view are synthesized within positi (perspective, thesis/hypothesis).	issue. Others' poir are acknowledged position (perspect	takes into lexities of an ats of view within ive,	2.0 pts Milestone 2 Specific position (perspective, thesis/hypothesis) acknowledges different sides of issue.	stated, but is simplistic	0.0 pts No Marks	4.0 pts

ns and related (consequences and ns) are logical and dent's informed and ability to place and perspectives in priority order.	3.0 pts Milestone 3 Conclusion is logically tied to a range of information, including opposing viewpoints; related outcomes (consequences and implications) are identified clearly.	2.0 pts Milestone 2 Conclusion is logically tied to information (because information is chosen to fit the desired conclusion); some related outcomes (consequences and implications) are identified clearly.	1.0 pts Benchmark Conclusion is inconsistently tied to some of the information discussed; related outcomes (consequences and implications) are oversimplified.	0.0 pts No Marks	4.0 pts
r (r c	ns and related (consequences and ns) are logical and dent's informed and ability to place nd perspectives	Milestone 3ns and relatedConclusion is logically tied(consequences and ns) are logical and dent's informed and ability to place nd perspectivesConclusion is logically tied to a range of information, including opposing viewpoints; related outcomes (consequences and implications) are	Milestone 3Milestone 2ns and relatedConclusion is logically tiedConclusion is logically tied(consequences and ns) are logical and dent's informed and ability to place in priority order.Conclusion is logically tied to a range of information, including opposing outcomes (consequences and implications) are identified clearly.Milestone 2 Conclusion is logically tied to information (because information is chosen to fit the desired conclusion); some related outcomes (consequences and implications) are identified clearly.	Milestone 3Milestone 2Benchmarkns and relatedConclusion is logically tiedConclusion is logically tiedConclusion is logically tied(consequences and ns) are logical and and ability to place in priority order.including opposinginformation (because information, including opposingConclusion is logically tied to information, information is chosen to fit related outcomesSome of the information discussed; related outcomes (consequences and implications) are identified clearly.Milestone 2 Conclusion is logically tied to information (because information; some of the information discussed; related outcomes (consequences and implications) are implications) are identifiedBenchmark Conclusion is inconsistently tied to some of the information discussed; related outcomes (consequences and implications) are implications) are identified	Milestone 3Milestone 2BenchmarkNons and related (consequences and ns) are logical and and ability to place in priority order.Conclusion is logically tied to a range of information, including opposing outcomes (consequences and implications) are identified clearly.Milestone 2Benchmark Conclusion is logically tied to information (because information (because information is chosen to fit the desired conclusion); some outcomesNoMarks

Learning Outcome: FELO 1e. Quantitative Reasoning

Students will be able to solve problems that are quantitative in nature.

Outcome Measure: Problems on the final exam that are quantitative in nature. CHE101 / 1001 Chemistry and Society CHE103 / 1003 Introduction to General, Organic, and Biological Chemistry CHE152 / 1052 General Chemistry I PSC110 Physical Science (chemistry portion) PSC111 / 1014 Physical Science for Teachers (chemistry portion)

Criteria for Success: At least 70% of students will score 3 or higher.

Longitudinal Data:

CHE1001 (formerly CHE101)

		Assessment Data for FELO 1e. Quantitative Reasoning												
	Fall 2018	Spring 2019	Fall 2019	Spring 2020	Fall 2020	Spring 2021	Fall 2021	Spring 2022	Fall 2022	Spring 2023				
Number of students	n=20	n=20	n=19	n=20	n=18	n=19	N/A	n=20	n=20	n=20				
Percentage	50.0%	55.0%	47.4%	75.0%	77.8%	55.0%	Course not offered	70%	20%	55%				

CHE1003 (formerly CHE103)

	Assessment Data for FELO 1e. Quantitative Reasoning											
	Fall 2018	Spring 2019	Fall 2019	Spring 2020	Fall 2020	Spring 2021	Fall 2021	Spring 2022	Fall 2022	Spring 2023		
Number of students	n=40	NA	n=29	n=20	n=33	n=19	n= 34	N/A	n=31	n=20		
Percentage	90.0%	NA	89.7%	90.0	90.9%	47.4%	82.9%	Not assessed	65%	73.7%		

*No assessment data for FELO 1e in CHE103 Spring 2019 and Spring 2022, due to changes made to final exam.

CHE1052 (formerly CHE152)

		Assessment Data for FELO 1e. Quantitative Reasoning									
	Fall 2014	Fall 2015	Fall 2016	Fall 2017	Fall 2018	Fall 2019	Fall 2020	Fall 2021	Fall 2022		
Number of students	n=40	n=48	n=55	n=51	n=52	n=48	n=47	n= 45	n=47		
Percentage	80.0%	79.2%	89.1%	84.3%	96.2%	83.0%	76.6%	32.6%	77.8%		

PSC1014 (formerly PSC110 & PSC111)

			Assessment Data for FELO 1e. Quantitative Reasoning							
	Spring 2016	Fall 2016	Spring 2017	Fall 2017	Fall 2018	Fall 2019	Fall 2020	Fall 2021	Fall 2022	
Number of students	n=20	n=20	n=18	n=20	n=19	n=20	n=20	n=19	n=20	
Percentage	95.0%	95.0%	94.4%	90.0%	63.2%	85.0%	70.0%	78.9%	78.9%	

Conclusions Drawn from Data: FELO 1e (quantitative reasoning) is assessed in 4 of our 5 chemistry FE courses. For General Chemistry I (CHE 1052) our criteria for success was met. This is encouraging in light of the fact that in 2021, we had the lowest score for this course. We had attributed this low score to lack of preparation with COVID and the fact that we had used multiple choice questions. This year, we returned to using short answer questions on the final exam and that seemed to have solved the problem. The criteria for success was not met for Chemistry and Society (CHE 1001) both in the Fall semester and in the Spring semester though during the Spring semester the score improved dramatically from the Fall. We will continue to monitor this course and see if this is a one off or a trend. Regarding PSC 1014 and CHE 1003, the criteria for success were met (though not in the Fall for CHE 1003).

Changes to be Made Based on Data: The chemistry department now has an agreed upon set of questions for each course. This has been used for the first time this year and has proven helpful in CHE 1052. We do not plan on making further changes at this point as we expect some fluctuation from time to time.

Chemistry: FELO Data, 2022-23

Rubric Used: The following scale was used.

	4	3	2	1
% of points earned on quantitative problems	80 – 100%	60 – 79%	40 – 59%	39% or lower