

**School of Education**  
**PLO Data for Integrated Studies with emphasis in Education (ISEE), FA21-SP22**

**Learning Outcome:** PLO 1 - Evaluate the educational, cultural, interpersonal and social environments within the professional workplace from an ethical and Christian context.

**Outcome Measure:** Teacher Performance Assessment 1 Overall Score

**Criteria for Success (how do you judge if the students have met your standards):** Average score for the group is 2.5 or higher (on a scale of 1-5 with 1 being low) on TPA task 1. Note: Averaging 2.5 or higher on all eight TPA1 rubric steps, yields a total score that exceeds the California Teachers Commission (CTC) passing requirement of 19.

**Aligned with DQP Learning Areas (circle one or more but not all five):**

1. Specialized Knowledge
2. Broad Integrative Knowledge
3. Intellectual Skills/Core Competencies
4. Applied and Collaborative Learning, and
5. Civic and Global Learning

**Longitudinal Data:**

	<b>Average of TPA 1 Overall Score.</b>			3 yr Avg (SD)
	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22*	
Number of Students	21	25	18	2.57 (.47)
TPA 1 Overall Avg	2.58	2.60	2.53	

\*2021-22 data collected 6/10/22, prior to the conclusion of the summer term.

**Conclusions Drawn from Data:** Criteria for success is met. 2021-22 average candidate scores are slightly above 2.5 and have generally held steady over the last three academic years. Change over time does not near the three year standard deviation of .47.

Note: This dataset includes multiple scores for some students. These may occur within a single academic year, or across multiple academic years. Passing scores on TPA1 and TPA2 are required to enter the profession. SOE candidates who do not pass TPA1 or TPA2 on their first attempt typically retake the test until they pass it. Scores in this data table are included in the data for each of the TPA1 tests taken in any academic year by any student in the group. If candidates have more than one score, all scores are included.

SOE's goal is to have all candidates pass TPA1 and TPA2 the on their first attempt. Because of this, SOE has made the decision to include all scores, including repeats that will decrease overall averages, in order to most accurately represent program performance.

**Changes to be Made Based on Data:**

In preparation for the 2022/23 academic year these tables and underlying source data was shared with instructional leadership to analyze student and course level data as the program

works to better supporting its candidates and reporting TPA data in the most useful format. That discussion focused on recognizing the value in shifting more of SOE’s indicators toward externally standardized and scored measures such as TPA1 and TPA2. Doing so will bring more utility to our measures and help us focus on supporting our candidates to achieve success on the exams that serve as gatekeepers to the profession.

**Rubric Used:** There are 8 different rubrics utilized for the scoring of this outcome measure. The table below overviews them and is offered as a sample. The individual rubrics can be shared upon request.

### Instructional Cycle 1 Rubrics

#### Essential Questions

[Rubrics](#) are aligned to the pedagogical steps of plan, teach and assess, reflect, and apply. Each rubric is framed by an essential question that outlines the knowledge, skills, and abilities assessed within the rubric. The table below is a summary of the essential questions for the eight rubrics of Cycle 1.

Plan	
Rubric 1.1	How does the candidate’s proposed learning goal(s) connect with prior knowledge and define specific outcomes for students? How do proposed learning activities and instructional strategies support, engage, and challenge all students to meet the learning goal(s)?
Rubric 1.2	How does the candidate plan instruction using knowledge of FS1’s (English learner) assets and needs to support meaningful engagement with the content-specific lesson goal(s)?
Rubric 1.3	How does the candidate plan instruction using knowledge of FS2’s (student with identified special needs) assets and needs to support meaningful engagement with the content-specific lesson goal(s)?
Rubric 1.4	How does the candidate plan instruction using knowledge of FS3’s assets and needs to support meaningful engagement with the content-specific lesson goal(s) and address the student’s well-being by creating a safe and positive learning environment during or outside of the lesson?
Teach and Assess	
Rubric 1.5	How does the candidate establish clear learning expectations based on an understanding of students’ prior knowledge and maintain a positive learning environment that supports all students to access and meet the content-specific learning goal(s)?
Rubric 1.6	How does the candidate actively engage students in deep learning of content and monitor/assess their understanding?
Reflect	
Rubric 1.7	How does the candidate analyze and describe the impact of their asset and needs-based lesson planning, teaching, and assessment of student learning and provide next steps to advance instruction for this group of students?
Apply	
Rubric 1.8	How will the candidate apply what they have learned in Cycle 1 about students’ learning to future instructional design to strengthen and extend students’ understanding of content and develop academic language?

**Learning Outcome:** PLO 2 - Demonstrate problem solving and decision-making skills within the context of a diverse educational environment.

**Outcome Measure: EDT3006 Signature Assessment Overall Score**

**Criteria for Success (how do you judge if the students have met your standards):** Average score for the group is 3.0 or higher (on a scale of 1-4 with 1 being low) on EDT3006 Signature Assessment.

**Aligned with DQP Learning Areas (circle one or more but not all five):**

1. Specialized Knowledge
2. Broad Integrative Knowledge
3. Intellectual Skills/Core Competencies
4. Applied and Collaborative Learning, and
5. Civic and Global Learning

**Longitudinal Data:**

	<b>Average of EDT3006 Signature Assessment Overall Score.</b>			
	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22*	3 yr Avg (SD)
Number of Students	18	27	20	3.83 (.38)
EDT3006 Sig. Ass. Overall Score	4.0	3.92	3.55	

\*2021-22 data collected 6/10/22, prior to the conclusion of the summer term.

**Conclusions Drawn from Data:** Criteria is met but with some concern. ISEE candidates demonstrated problem-solving and decision-making skills within the context of a diverse learning environment. In 2021-22 the average candidate score on the outcome measure exceeded the target of 3.0. The score has trended down over the last three academic years and the total change from 2019-20 to 2021-22 has exceed the 3yr SD. The change is real and will be examined by SOE leadership in preparation for the 2022-23 academic year.

**Changes to be Made Based on Data:** SOE leadership will examine the underlying data in preparation for the 2022-23 academic year during its summer retreat. Potential instructional changes will be workshopped with instructional faculty in August 2022 in preparation for the 2022-23 academic year.

**Rubric Used: EDT/EDU 3006 Signature Assessment Rubric sample elements 1-4.**

**EDU 3006/6001 Signature Assessment Rubric (2018)**

	value: 1.00 value: 1.00	value: 2.00 value: 2.00	value: 3.00 value: 3.00	value: 4.00 value: 4.00	Score/Level
Two specific learning needs identified, based on the case profile.	Inappropriate, irrelevant, inaccurate or missing identifiable learning needs	Minimal, limited, cursory, inconsistent, ambiguous or weakly connected identifiable learning needs	Appropriate, relevant, accurate and connected identifiable learning needs	Detailed, appropriate, relevant, accurate, clear and purposefully connected identifiable learning needs	
One instructional strategy or student activity identified, that could be challenging for the student, based on the case profile.	Inappropriate, irrelevant, inaccurate or missing adaptation	Minimal, limited, cursory, inconsistent, ambiguous or weakly connected adaptation	Appropriate, relevant, accurate and connected adaptation	Detailed, appropriate, relevant, accurate, clear and purposefully connected adaptation	
Explanation of why the instructional strategy or student activity was chosen as challenging for the student. Included knowledge of ELLs and analysis of student's learning needs.	Inappropriate, irrelevant, inaccurate or missing adaptation  Did not mention any knowledge of ELLs and did not connect to student's learning needs	Minimal, limited, cursory, inconsistent, ambiguous or weakly connected adaptation  Mentioned irrelevant knowledge of ELLs but did not connect to student's learning needs	Appropriate, relevant, accurate, connected, and effective adaptation  Mentioned relevant knowledge of ELLs but did not connect to student's learning needs	Detailed, appropriate, relevant, accurate, and clearly connected, and effective adaptation  Mentioned relevant knowledge of ELLs connected to student's learning needs	
Description of how to adapt instructional strategy or student activity to meet the student's learning needs. Included specific subject matter	Inappropriate, irrelevant, inaccurate or missing progress monitoring  Did not mention any specific subject matter pedagogy in response	Minimal, limited, cursory, inconsistent, ambiguous or weakly connected progress monitoring  Mentioned irrelevant specific subject matter pedagogy in response	Appropriate, relevant, accurate and connected progress monitoring with feedback  Mentioned relevant specific subject matter pedagogy in response	Detailed, appropriate, relevant, accurate, and clearly connected progress monitoring with feedback  Mentioned relevant and detailed specific subject matter pedagogy in response	

**Learning Outcome:** PLO 3 - Distinguish how the role of a teacher-leader needs to continually adapt in relation to individual student needs, social and cultural influence, and school context.

**Outcome Measure: EDT3006 Signature Assessment Rubric Element 4 (adapt)**

**Criteria for Success (how do you judge if the students have met your standards):** Average score for the group is 3.0 or higher (on a scale of 1-4 with 1 being low) on EDT3006 Signature Assessment Rubric Element 4.

**Aligned with DQP Learning Areas (circle one or more but not all five):**

1. Specialized Knowledge
2. Broad Integrative Knowledge
3. Intellectual Skills/Core Competencies
4. Applied and Collaborative Learning, and
5. Civic and Global Learning

**Longitudinal Data:**

	Average of EDT3006 Signature Assessment Rubric Element 4.			
	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22*	3 yr Avg (SD)
Number of Students	18	27	20	3.80 (.53)
Element 4: How to adapt instructional strategy or student activity...	4.0	3.88	3.51	

\*2021-22 data collected 6/10/22, prior to the conclusion of the summer term.

**Conclusions Drawn from Data:** Criteria is met. ISEE candidates demonstrated continuous adaptation in their educational contexts through their performance on the outcome measure. In 2021-22 the average candidate score on the outcome measure exceeded the target of 3.0. The score has trended down over the last three academic years though the total change from 2019-20 to 2021-22 does not exceed the 3yr SD and began at the ceiling of the scale (4.0) in 2019-20. The change is approaching the 3yr SD and will be examined by SOE leadership in preparation for the 2022-23 academic year.

**Changes to be Made Based on Data:** SOE leadership will examine the underlying data in preparation for the 2022-23 academic year during its summer retreat. Potential instructional changes will be workshopped with instructional faculty in August 2022 in preparation for the 2022-23 academic year.

**Rubric Used**

	value: 1.00 value: 1.00	value: 2.00 value: 2.00	value: 3.00 value: 3.00	value: 4.00 value: 4.00	Score/Level
Description of how to adapt instructional strategy or student activity to meet the student's learning needs. Included specific subject matter pedagogy in description.	Inappropriate, irrelevant, inaccurate or missing progress monitoring  Did not mention any specific subject matter pedagogy in response	Minimal, limited, cursory, inconsistent, ambiguous or weakly connected progress monitoring  Mentioned irrelevant specific subject matter pedagogy in response	Appropriate, relevant, accurate and connected progress monitoring with feedback  Mentioned relevant specific subject matter pedagogy in response	Detailed, appropriate, relevant, accurate, and clearly connected progress monitoring with feedback  Mentioned relevant and detailed specific subject matter pedagogy in response	

**Learning Outcome:** PLO 4 - Evaluate personal, inter-personal social strengths and weaknesses, and incorporate personal style to effectively and ethically influence the workplace.

**Outcome Measure: EDT4009 Dispositions Overall Score**

**Criteria for Success (how do you judge if the students have met your standards):** Average score for the group is 3.0 or higher (on a scale of 1-4 with 1 being low) on EDT4009 Dispositions Overall Score.

**Aligned with DQP Learning Areas (circle one or more but not all five):**

1. Specialized Knowledge
2. Broad Integrative Knowledge
3. Intellectual Skills/Core Competencies
4. Applied and Collaborative Learning, and
5. Civic and Global Learning

**Longitudinal Data:**

	Average of EDT4009 Dispositions Overall Score.			
	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22*	3 yr Avg (SD)
Number of Students	13	45	12	3.71 (.39)
EDT4009 Dispositions Overall Score	3.48	3.74	3.83	

\*2021-22 data collected 6/10/22, prior to the conclusion of the summer term.

**Conclusions Drawn from Data:** Criteria is met. ISEE candidates effectively and ethically influenced their workplace through their performance on the outcome measure. In 2021-22 the average candidate score on the outcome measure exceeded the target of 3.0. The score has trended up over the last three academic years, exceeding the 3yr SD of .39. This growth over time is positive and real.

**Changes to be Made Based on Data:** SOE leadership has targeted this outcome measure as one that might benefit from shifting toward an externally administered and validated performance measure like TPA1 or TPA2 as both offer indicators that could be mapped to this PLO.

**Rubric Used**

## Dispositions and Indicators of Noble Character

	Inappropriate value: 1.00	Area of Concern value: 2.00	Appropriate value: 3.00	Exceptional value: 4.00	Score/ Level
<p><b>1. Honor;</b> The candidate honors and respects the worthiness of all individuals in word and deed based on PLNU's Wesleyan heritage: We are individuals created in the image of God, committed to civility, respect, hospitality, grace and service, demonstrating coherence in attitudes and actions.</p>	Demonstrates indicator infrequently if at all. No indication of desire to improve.	Demonstration of this indicator is frequently missing. May have some difficulty in responding openly to feedback from peers or teacher.	Demonstrates indicator with minimal prompting. Demonstrates an openness to reflect on feedback from peers or teacher.	Consistently and spontaneously demonstrates indicator with relative ease. Demonstrates the ability to self-correct or demonstrates responsiveness to feedback from peers or teacher if areas for improvement are discussed.	
<p><b>2. Spirit of Harmony and Collaboration;</b> The candidate actively contributes to the learning community with caring, patience and respect for the diversity of learners. The candidate takes responsibility for resolving conflicts or issues with others, and teaches students those skills, in a way that sustains and enhances a healthy and safe learning community. The candidate's flexibility and humility assures that all students have the opportunity to achieve to their potential.</p>	Demonstrates indicator infrequently if at all. No indication of desire to improve.	Demonstration of this indicator is frequently missing. May have some difficulty in responding openly to feedback from peers or teacher.	Demonstrates indicator with minimal prompting. Demonstrates an openness to reflect on feedback from peers or teacher.	Consistently and spontaneously demonstrates indicator with relative ease. Demonstrates the ability to self-correct or demonstrates responsiveness to feedback from peers or teacher if areas for improvement are discussed.	
<p><b>3. Reflective Learner;</b> The candidate shows awareness of areas of strength, interests, learning style, and areas for continuing growth; generates and follows through on personalized growth plans. The candidate demonstrates that serving as a professional educator is a confirmed calling to equip, to transform and to empower every student to fulfill his or her full potential.</p>	Demonstrates indicator infrequently if at all. No indication of desire to improve.	Demonstration of this indicator is frequently missing. May have some difficulty in responding openly to feedback from peers or teacher.	Demonstrates indicator with minimal prompting. Demonstrates an openness to reflect on feedback from peers or teacher.	Consistently and spontaneously demonstrates indicator with relative ease. Demonstrates the ability to self-correct or demonstrates responsiveness to feedback from peers or teacher if areas for improvement are discussed.	
<p><b>4. Professional and Positive Perseverance;</b> The candidate displays passion for teaching and learning by remaining positive, engaged and accountable to the norms and expectations of the learning community, especially when academic or professional assignments are perceived as challenging. The candidate is reflective and receptive to formative feedback.</p>	Demonstrates indicator infrequently if at all. No indication of desire to improve.	Demonstration of this indicator is frequently missing. May have some difficulty in responding openly to feedback from peers or teacher.	Demonstrates indicator with minimal prompting. Demonstrates an openness to reflect on feedback from peers or teacher.	Consistently and spontaneously demonstrates indicator with relative ease. Demonstrates the ability to self-correct or demonstrates responsiveness to feedback from peers or teacher if areas for improvement are discussed.	