

**School of Nursing**

**BSN**

**2020-2021**

**Learning Outcomes:**

Program Learning Outcome (PLO)	Description of Learning Outcome
<b>BSN PLO #1 Inquiring Faithfully</b>	Students will demonstrate knowledge, skill, and behavior of the evidence-based practice of nursing, which integrates growth in reasoning, analysis, decision-making and the application of theory with the goal of advocating for others and/or self. This includes holistic nursing skills and the nursing process.

**Outcome Measures:**

Program Learning Outcome (PLO)	Course	Description of Outcome Measure
<b>BSN PLO #1</b>	NSG4070 Leading Faithfully-A Synthesis and Transition to Professional Nursing Practice	<u>Evidence Based Practice (EBP) Group Paper</u> To engage students in an analysis of evidence-based practice within their clinical experience. This group paper addresses the followings: (1) Problem identification at the clinical site; (2) develop PICO question and obtain 8-10 research evidence; and (3) critical analysis and synthesis of the evidence.

**Note.** As of Fall 2019, sub-PLO's previously reported (i.e. 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 1.4, 1.5) on will no longer be assessed individually. The School of Nursing assesses only the overall PLO-1 of Inquiring Faithfully.

As of AY2020-2021, the School of Nursing selects the entire "EBP Group Paper" for assessing PLO #1, instead of assessing 3 different assignments ("Tell the Story" from NSG 4080 + "Clinical Evaluation" from NSG 4081+ "Reflective Journal from NSG 4091).

**Criteria for Success:**

Program Learning Outcome (PLO)	Course	Statement of Criteria for Success
<b>BSN PLO #1</b>	NSG4070 Leading Faithfully	<u>Evidence Based Practice Group Paper</u> 90% of students will achieve 81% or greater.

\*\*Since Fall 2017, quantitative rubrics are used to assess Guided Reflective Journals.

Aligned with DQP Learning Areas (circle one or more but not all five):

1. Specialized Knowledge
2. Broad Integrative Knowledge
3. Intellectual Skills/Core Competencies
4. Applied and Collaborative Learning
5. Civic and Global Learning

Longitudinal Data:

AY	Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding Success Criteria			Comments
	NSG480 Tell the Story	NSG481 Clinical Evaluations	NSG481 Reflective Journals	
SP 2015	N = 35	N = 20	**	See asterisk comment for NSG480 Reflective Journals. No quantitative data is available at this time.
	PLOs 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 1.4, 1.5 = 100%	PLOs 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 1.4, 1.5 = 100%	**	
FA 2015	N/A	N = 23	**	See asterisk comment for NSG480 Reflective Journals. No quantitative data is available at this time.
	N/A	PLOs 1.1, 1.2, 1.4, 1.5 = 100% PLO 1.3 = 87%	**	
SP 2016	N = 20	N=34	**	See asterisk comment for NSG480 Reflective Journals. No quantitative data is available at this time.
	PLOs 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 1.4, 1.5 = 95%	PLOs 1.1=94% 1.2=97% 1.3, 1.4, 1.5=100%	**	
FA 2016	N/A	N = 40	**	See asterisk comment for NSG480 Reflective Journals. No quantitative data is available at this time.
	N/A	PLOs 1.1, 1.4, 1.5= 100 % 1.2= 95% 1.3= 90%	**	
SP 2017	N = 38	N = 38	**	See asterisk comment for NSG480 Reflective Journals. No quantitative data is available at this time.
	PLOs 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 1.4, 1.5 = 95%	PLOs 1.1, 1.3, = 97 % 1.2, 1.4, 1.5 = 100 %	**	
FA 2017	N = 39	N = 36	N = 40	
	PLOs 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 1.4, 1.5 = 100%	PLOs 1.3, 1.4 = 100% 1.1, 1.2, 1.5 = 97%	PLOs 1-5 Total: 98.4% By Journal Topic: Humility: 96.5% Courage: 98.9% Discernment: 98% Forgiveness: 97.7% Mentoring/ Leadership: 99.7%	

<b>SP 2018</b>	N = 35	N = 35	N = 36	
	PLOs 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 1.4, 1.5 = 94%	PLOs 1.1, 1.5 = 97% 1.2, 1.3, 1.4 = 100%	PLOs 1-5 Total: 93.4% By Journal Topic: Humility: 92.5% Courage: 92% Discernment: 96% Forgiveness: 93.7% Free Choice/ Leadership 92%	
<b>FA 2018</b>	N = 31	N = 27	N = 31	
	PLOs 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 1.4, 1.5 = 100%	PLOs 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 1.5 = 100% PLO1.4 = 96.3%	PLOs 1-5 Total: 100% By Journal Topic: Humility: 100% Courage: 100% Discernment: 100% Forgiveness: 100% Free Choice/ Leadership 100% %	
<b>SP 2019</b>	<b>N = 31</b>	N = 31	N = 31	
	PLOs 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 1.4, 1.5 = 100%	PLO 1.2, 1.3, 1.4, 1.5 = 100% PLO 1.1 = 96.7%	PLOs 1-5 Total = 98% Journal Topic: -Humility: 96.8% -Courage: 100% -Discernment: 100% -Forgiveness: 100% -Free choice/Leadership = 96.8%	
<b>FA 2019</b>	N = 41	N = 41	N = 41	
	100%	98%	Humility = 100% Courage = 97% Discernment = 100% Forgiveness = 100% Leadership/Free choice = 100%	
<b>SP 2020</b>	N= 34	N = 34	N = 34	Due to COVID lockdown, student requirements for journals decreased to 3.
	100%	100%	Humility = 94% Courage = 100% Discernment = 98% Forgiveness = 100% Leadership/Free choice = 94%	

**Note.** As of Fall 2019, sub-PLO's previously reported (i.e. 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 1.4, 1.5) on will no longer be assessed individually. The School of Nursing assesses only the overall PLO-1 of Inquiring Faithfully.

As of AY2020-2021, the School of Nursing assesses the “EBP Group Paper” for assessing PLO #1. Thus, a new Table was created to collect the Longitudinal Data for each academic year by combining Spring and Fall data. See the below.

AY	Course	N	Students Meeting or Exceeding Success Criteria	Comments
2020-2021 (FA20+SP21)	NSG 4070	77	100% (77/77) students met or exceeded the benchmark.	

**Conclusions Drawn from Data:**

Program Learning Outcome (PLO)	Conclusions Drawn from Data
<b>BSN PLO #1</b>	Overall, students met or exceeded the benchmark. However, there were a few criteria that were below the benchmark: (1) Appraise Evidence; (2) Appraise synthesis; and (3) Effective Communication.

**Changes to be Made Based on Data:**

Program Learning Outcome (PLO)	Description of Changes to be Made Based on Data
<b>BSN PLO #1</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(1) Conduct detailed assessment of grading criteria where students fell short of benchmark (evidence appraisal &amp; synthesis &amp; communication) and revise the learning activities to focus more lecture time, reading assignments, discussion time, and individual assignments to critique &amp; synthesis evidence appraisals.</li> <li>(2) Assign individual assignments to students to individually critique evidence &amp; perform evidence synthesis paragraphs of evidence collected as a group.</li> <li>(3) Collaborate with NSG 3099 faculty to share data and discuss opportunities to enhance learning of research appraisals &amp; synthesis at the junior level. Work to build learning activities and assessments that link to senior leadership theory activities.</li> <li>(4) Focus improvements in learning activities addressing these SLOs &amp; criteria.</li> </ol>

**Rubrics Used:** Attached at the end of this document

NSG4070

Evidence Based Practice Group Paper

**School of Nursing  
BSN  
2020-2021**

**Learning Outcomes:**

Program Learning Outcome (PLO)	Description of Learning Outcome
<b>BSN PLO #2 Caring Faithfully</b>	The student will embrace a calling to the ministry of compassionate care for all people in response to God's grace, which aims to foster optimal health and bring comfort in suffering and death.

**Outcome Measures:**

Program Learning Outcome (PLO)	Course	Description of Outcome Measure
<b>BSN PLO #2</b>	NSG4080/4081-Nursing Capstone	<u>Servant Leadership Reflective Journal</u> This assignment includes students' reflection of servant leadership experience by addressing ethics and values consistent with the compassionate Christ's love for all and professional nursing.

**Note.** As of AY2020-2021, the School of Nursing selects the "Servant Leadership Reflective Journal" for assessing PLO #2, instead of assessing 3 different assignments ("Tell the Story" from NSG 4080 + "Clinical Evaluation" from NSG 4081+ "Reflective Journal from NSG 4091). However, we will have a further discussion to replace this Servant Leadership Reflective Journal with other assignment for AY 2021-2022.

**Criteria for Success:**

Program Learning Outcome (PLO)	Course	Statement of Criteria for Success
<b>BSN PLO #2</b>	NSG4080/4081-Nursing Capstone	90% of students will achieve 81% or greater.

**Aligned with DQP Learning Areas** (circle one or more but not all five):

1. Specialized Knowledge
2. Broad Integrative Knowledge
3. Intellectual Skills/Core Competencies
4. **Applied and Collaborative Learning**
5. **Civic and Global Learning**

Longitudinal Data:

Semester	Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding Success Criteria			Comments
	NSG480 Tell the Story	NSG481 Clinical Evaluations	NSG481 Reflective Journals	
SP 2015	N = 35	N = 20	**	See asterisk comment for NSG480 Reflective Journals. No quantitative data is available at this time.
	PLOs 2.1, 2.2, 2.3 = 100%	PLOs 2.1, 2.2, 2.3 = 100%	**	
FA 2015	N/A	N = 23	**	See asterisk comment for NSG480 Reflective Journals. No quantitative data is available at this time.
	N/A	PLOs 2.1, 2.2, 2.3 = 100%	**	
SP 2016	N = 20	N=34	**	See asterisk comment for NSG480 Reflective Journals. No quantitative data is available at this time.
	PLOs 2.1, 2.2, 2.3 = 95%	PLO 2.1, 2.3 =100% 2.2=94%	**	
FA 2016	N/A	N = 40	**	See asterisk comment for NSG480 Reflective Journals. No quantitative data is available at this time.
	N/A	PLOs 2.1, 2.3 = 100% PLO 2.2 = 90%	**	
SP 2017	N = 38	N = 38	**	See asterisk comment for NSG480 Reflective Journals. No quantitative data is available at this time.
	PLOs 2.1, 2.2, 2.3 = 95%	PLOs 2.1, 2.2 = 100% 2.3= 97%	**	
FA 2017	N = 39	N = 36	N = 40	
	PLOs 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, = 100%	PLOs 2.1, 2.3 = 100% 2.2 = 94%	PLOs 1-5 Total: 98.4% By Journal Topic: Humility: 96.5% Courage: 98.9% Discernment: 98% Forgiveness: 97.7% Mentoring/ Leadership: 99.7%	
SP 2018	N = 35	N = 35	N = 36	
	PLOs 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, = 94%	PLOs 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, = 100%	PLOs 1-5 Total: 93.4% By Journal Topic: Humility: 92.5% Courage: 92% Discernment: 96% Forgiveness: 93.7% Free Choice/ Leadership 92%	
FA 2018	N = 31	N = 27	N = 31	

	PLOs 2.1, 2.2, 2.3 = 100%	PLO's 2.1, 2.3 = 100% PLO 2.2 = 96.3%	PLOs 1-5 Total: 100% By Journal Topic: Humility: 100% Courage: 100% Discernment: 100% Forgiveness: 100% Free Choice/ Leadership 100%
<b>SP 2019</b>	N = 31	N = 31	N = 31
	PLOs 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, = 100%	PLO's 2.1, 2.2 & 2.3 = 100%	PLO's 1-5 Total = 98% Journal Topic: -Humility: 96.8% -Courage: 100% -Discernment: 100% -Forgiveness: 100% -Free choice/Leadership = 96.8%
<b>FA 19</b>	N=41	N = 41	N = 41
	100%	100%	Humility = 100% Courage = 97% Discernment = 100% Forgiveness = 100% Leadership/Free choice = 100%
<b>SP 20</b>	N = 34	N = 34	N = 34
	100%	100%	Humility = 94% Courage = 100% Discernment = 98% Forgiveness = 100% Leadership/Free choice = 94%

**Note.** As of Fall 2019, sub-PLO's previously reported (i.e. 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 1.4, 1.5) on will no longer be assessed individually. The School of Nursing assesses only the overall PLO-2 of Caring Faithfully.

As of AY2020-2021, the School of Nursing selects "Servant Leadership Reflective Journal" for assessing PLO #2. Thus, a new Table was created to collect the Longitudinal Data for each academic year by combining Spring and Fall data. See the below.

AY	Course	N	Students Meeting or Exceeding Success Criteria	Comments
2020-2021 (FA20)	NSG4080/4081-Nursing Capstone	40	100% (40/40) students met or exceeded the benchmark.	Servant Leadership Reflective Journal assignment was not included in Spring 2021, because students had to do many direct clinical hours (32 hours) at PLNU Wellness Center. Thus, this assignment was canceled for the Spring 2021 and data are not available.

**Conclusions Drawn from Data:**

Program Learning Outcome (PLO)	Conclusions Drawn from Data
BSN PLO #2	Students are achieving above the benchmark in all outcomes.

**Note:** As of Fall 2019, Sub-PLO's previously reported (i.e. 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 1.4, 1.5) on will no longer be assessed individually. For AY 2020-2021, the School of Nursing is assessing the overall PLO#2 of Caring Faithfully- Servant Leadership Reflective Journal.

**Changes to be Made Based on Data:**

Program Learning Outcome (PLO)	Description of Changes to be Made Based on Data
BSN PLO #2	(1) Continue to assess Caring Faithfully through this signature assignment in NSG 4080/81. (2) Compare & contrast the grading rubric for the Servant Leadership Reflective Journal with other SON Programs to consider revision of grading rubric for consistent measurement of Caring Faithfully PLO. (3) Consider revision of point distributions for criteria if the grading rubric is revised (to be more reflective of Caring concepts). (4) Will have further discussion at School of Nursing to select other signature assignment for assessing PLO #2.

**Rubrics Used:** Attached at the end of this document  
NSG 4080/4081: Servant Leadership Reflective  
Journal Rubric



**School of Nursing  
BSN  
2020-2021**

**Learning Outcomes:**

Program Learning Outcome (PLO)	Description of Learning Outcome
<b>BSN PLO #3 Communicating Faithfully</b>	The student will actively engage in the dynamic interactive process that is intrapersonal and interpersonal with the goal of advocating for others and/or self. This includes effective, culturally appropriate communication conveys information, thoughts, actions and feelings through the use of verbal and nonverbal skills.

**Outcome Measures:**

Program Learning Outcome (PLO)	Course	Description of Outcome Measure
<b>BSN PLO #3</b>	NSG4070 Leading Faithfully	<u>Evidence Based Practice Poster Presentation</u> This assignment involves digital poster presentation of the EBP group project findings.

**Note.** As of AY2020-2021, the School of Nursing selects the “ EBP Poster Presentation” for assessing PLO #3, instead of assessing 3 different assignments (“Tell the Story” from NSG 4080 + “Clinical Evaluation” from NSG 4081+ “Reflective Journal from NSG 4091).

**Criteria for Success:**

Program Learning Outcome (PLO)	Course	Statement of Criteria for Success
<b>BSN PLO #3</b>	NSG4070 Leading Faithfully	<u>Evidence Based Practice Poster Presentation</u> 90% of students will achieve 81% or greater.

Aligned with DQP Learning Areas (circle one or more but not all five):

1. Specialized Knowledge
2. Broad Integrative Knowledge
2. Intellectual Skills/Core Competencies
4. Applied and Collaborative Learning
5. Civic and Global Learning

Longitudinal Data:

AY	Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding Success Criteria			Comments
	NSG480 Tell the Story	NSG481 Clinical Evaluations	NSG481 Reflective Journals	
<b>SP 2015</b>	N = 35	N = 20	**	See asterisked comment for NSG480 Reflective Journals. No quantitative data is available at this time.
	PLOs 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 3.4, 3.5 = 100%	PLOs 3.1, 3.2, 3.3 = 100%	**	
<b>FA 2015</b>	N/A	N = 23	**	See asterisked comment for NSG480 Reflective Journals. No quantitative data is available at this time.
	N/A	PLO 3.1, 3.2, 3.3 = 100%	**	
<b>SP 2016</b>	N = 20	N=34	**	See asterisked comment for NSG480 Reflective Journals. No quantitative data is available at this time.
	PLOs 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 3.4, 3.5 = 95%	PLO's 3.2, 3.4 3.5=100% PLO 3.1=97% PLO 3.3=94%		
<b>FA 2016</b>	N/A	N = 40	**	See asterisked comment for NSG480 Reflective Journals. No quantitative data is available at this time.
	N/A	PLO's 3.1, 3.5 = 100 % PLO 3.2=95% PLO 3.4= 92.5% PLO 3.3 = 90%	**	
<b>SP 2017</b>	<b>N = 38</b>	<b>N = 38</b>	**	See asterisked comment for NSG480 Reflective Journals. No quantitative data is available at this time.
	PLOs 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 3.4, 3.5 = 95%	PLOs 3.1, 3.4, 3.5 = 100% 3.2= 97% 3.3= 92%	**	
<b>FA 2017</b>	N = 39	N = 36	N = 40	

	PLOs 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 3.4, 3.5= 100%	PLOs 3.1, 3.2, 3.4, 3.5 = 100% 3.3 = 97%	PLOs 1-5 Total: 98.4% By Journal Topic: Humility: 96.5% Courage: 98.9% Discernment: 98% Forgiveness: 97.7% Mentoring/ Leadership: 99.7%
<b>SP 2018</b>	N = 35	N = 35	N = 36
	PLOs 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 3.4, 3.5= 94%	PLOs 3.1, 3.4, 3.5 = 100% 3.2, 3.3 = 97%	PLOs 1-5 Total: 93.4% By Journal Topic: Humility: 92.5% Courage: 92% Discernment: 96% Forgiveness: 93.7% Free Choice/ Leadership 92%
<b>FA 2018</b>	N = 31	N = 27	N = 31
	PLOs 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 3.4, 3.5= 100%	PLOs 3.1, 3.2, 3.4, 3.5 = 100% PLO 3.3 = 92.6%	PLOs 1-5 Total: 100% By Journal Topic: Humility: 100% Courage: 100% Discernment: 100% Forgiveness: 100% Free Choice/ Leadership 100%
<b>SP 2019</b>	N = 31	N = 31	N = 27
	PLOs 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 3.4, 3.5= 100%	PLO's 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 3.4, 3.5 = 100%	PLO's 1-5 Total = 98% Journal Topic: -Humility: 96.8% -Courage: 100% -Discernment: 100% -Forgiveness: 100% -Free choice/Leadershi p = 96.8%

<b>FA19</b>	N=41	N = 41	N = 41	
	100%	100%	Humility = 100% Courage = 97% Discernment = 100% Forgiveness = 100% Leadership/Free choice = 100%	
<b>SP 2020</b>	N = 34	N = 34	N = 34	
	100%	100%	Humility = 94% Courage = 100% Discernment = 98% Forgiveness = 100% Leadership/Free choice = 94%	

\*\*Since Fall 2017, rubrics regarding quantitative measures have been used to assess Guided Reflective Journals. Students are meeting benchmarks, and we will continue to assess.

**Note.** As of Fall 2019, sub-PLO’s previously reported (i.e. 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 1.4, 1.5) on will no longer be assessed individually. The School of Nursing assesses only the overall PLO-3 of Communicating Faithfully.

As of AY2020-2021, the School of Nursing assesses the entire “EBP Poster Presentation” for assessing PLO #3. Thus, a new Table was created to collect the Longitudinal Data for each academic year by combining Spring and Fall data. See the below.

AY	Course	N	Students Meeting or Exceeding Success Criteria	Comments
2020-2021 (FA20+SP21)	NSG 4070	77	100% (77/77) students met or exceeded the benchmark.	

**Conclusions Drawn from Data:**

Program Learning Outcome (PLO)	Conclusions Drawn from Data
<b>BSN PLO #3</b>	Students are achieving above the benchmark in all outcomes.

**Note:** As of Fall 2019, Sub-PLO’s previously reported (i.e. 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 1.4, 1.5) on will no longer be assessed individually. The School of Nursing is now only assessing the overall PLO#3 of Communicating Faithfully.

**Changes to be Made Based on Data:**

Program Learning Outcome (PLO)	Description of Changes to be Made Based on Data
<b>BSN PLO #3</b>	(1) Continue to assess Communicating Faithfully through this signature assignment in NSG 4070. (2) Compare & contrast the grading rubric for the EBP Poster, used on Scholarly Day, with other Poster Presentations in the School of Nursing. (3) Consider revision of the grading rubric to assess individual student's communicating faithfully contributions. Review point distributions for criteria in the grading rubric.

**Rubrics Used:** Attached at the end of this document  
NSG4070  
Evidence Based Practice Poster Presentation

**School of Nursing  
BSN  
2020-2021**

**Learning Outcomes:**

Program Learning Outcome (PLO)	Description of Learning Outcome
<b>BSN PLO #4 Following Faithfully</b>	Defined as claiming the challenge from Florence Nightingale that nursing is a “divine imposed duty of ordinary work.” The nursing student will integrate the ordinary work by complying with and adhering to regulatory and professional standards (e.g. American Nurses Association (ANA) Code of Ethics, the California Board of Registered Nursing (BRN), Scope of Nursing Practice, SON Handbook). This includes taking responsibility, being accountable for all actions and treating others with respect and dignity. (PLOs 4.1, 4.2, 4.3)

**Outcome Measures:**

Program Learning Outcome (PLO)	Course	Description of Outcome Measure
<b>BSN PLO #4</b>	NSG4070 Leading Faithfully- A Synthesis and Transition to Professional Nursing Practice	<u>Evidence Based Practice (EBP) Group Paper</u> To engage students in an analysis of evidence-based practice within their clinical experience. This group paper addresses the followings: (1) Problem identification at the clinical site; (2) develop PICO question and obtain 8-10 research evidence; and (3) critical analysis and synthesis of the evidence.

**Note:** As of Fall 19, Sub-PLO’s previously reported (i.e. 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 1.4, 1.5) on will no longer be assessed individually. The School of Nursing is now only assessing the overall PLO#4 of Following Faithfully.

As of AY2020-2021, the School of Nursing selects the entire “EBP Group Paper” for assessing PLO #4, instead of assessing 3 different assignments (“Tell the Story” from NSG 4080 + “Clinical Evaluation” from NSG 4081+ “Reflective Journal from NSG 4091).

**Criteria for Success:**

Program Learning Outcome (PLO)	Course	Statement of Criteria for Success
<b>BSN PLO #4</b>	NSG4070 Leading Faithfully	<u>Evidence Based Practice Group Paper</u> 90% of students will achieve 81% or greater.

**Aligned with DQP Learning Areas (circle one or more but not all five):**

1. Specialized Knowledge
2. Broad Integrative Knowledge
3. Intellectual Skills/Core Competencies
4. **Applied and Collaborative Learning**
5. Civic and Global Learning

**Longitudinal Data:**

AY	Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding Success Criteria			Comments
	NSG480 Tell the Story	NSG481 Clinical Evaluations	NSG481 Reflective Journals	
<b>SP 2015</b>	N = 35	N = 20	**	See asterisked comment for NSG480 Reflective Journals. No quantitative data is available at this time.
	PLOs 4.1, 4.2, 4.3 = 100%	PLOs 4.1, 4.2, 4.3, 4.4, 4.5 = 100%	**	
<b>FA 2015</b>	N/A	N = 23	**	See asterisked comment for NSG480 Reflective Journals. No quantitative data is available at this time.
	N/A	PLOs 4.1, 4.4, 4.5 = 100% PLOs 4.2, 4.3 = 96%	**	
<b>SP 2016</b>	N = 20	N=34	**	See asterisked comment for NSG480 Reflective Journals. No quantitative data is available at this time.
	PLOs 4.1, 4.2, 4.3 = 95%	PLO's 4.1, 4.2=97% PLO 4.3=94%	**	
<b>FA 2016</b>	N/A	N = 40	**	See asterisked comment for NSG480 Reflective Journals. No quantitative data is available at this time.
	N/A	PLO's 4.1, 4.2, 4.3 = 100%	**	
<b>SP 2017</b>	<b>N = 38</b>	N = 38	**	See asterisked comment for NSG480 Reflective Journals. No quantitative data is available at this time.
	PLOs 4.1, 4.2, 4.3 = 95%	PLO's 4.1, 4.3 = 97% PLO4.2 = 100%	**	
<b>FA 2017</b>	N = 39	N = 36	N = 40	
	PLOs 4.1, 4.2, 4.3 = 100%	PLOs 4.1, 4.2, 4.3 = 100%	PLOs 1-5 Total: 98.4% By Journal Topic: Humility: 96.5% Courage: 98.9% Discernment: 98% Forgiveness: 97.7% Mentoring/ Leadership: 99.7%	

<b>SP 2018</b>	N = 35	N = 35	N = 36	
	PLOs 4.1, 4.2, 4.3 = 100%	PLOs 4.1, 4.2, 4.3 = 100%	PLOs 1-5 Total: 93.4% By Journal Topic: Humility: 92.5% Courage: 92% Discernment: 96% Forgiveness: 93.7% Free Choice/ Leadership 92%	
<b>FA 2018</b>	N = 31	N=31	N = 31	
	PLOs 4.1, 4.2, 4.3 = 100%	PLOs 4.2, 4.3 = 100% PLO 4.1 = 96%	PLOs 1-5 Total: 100% By Journal Topic: Humility: 100% Courage: 100% Discernment: 100% Forgiveness: 100% Free Choice/ Leadership 100%	
<b>SP 2019</b>	N = 31	N = 31	N = 27	
	PLOs 4.1, 4.2, 4.3 = 100%	PLOs 4.1, 4.2, 4.3 = 100%	PLO's 1-5 Total = 98% Journal Topic: -Humility: 96.8% -Courage: 100% -Discernment: 100% -Forgiveness: 100% -Free choice/Leadership = 96.8%	
<b>FA 19</b>	N=41	N = 41	N = 41	
	100%	100%	Humility = 100% Courage = 97% Discernment = 100% Forgiveness = 100% Leadership/Free choice = 100%	
<b>SP 20</b>	N = 34	N = 34	N = 34	
	100%	100%	Humility = 94% Courage = 100% Discernment = 98% Forgiveness = 100% Leadership/Free choice = 94%	

**Note.** As of Fall 2019, sub-PLO's previously reported (i.e. 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 1.4, 1.5) on will no longer be assessed individually. The School of Nursing assesses only the overall PLO-4 of Inquiring Faithfully.  
As of AY2020-2021, the School of Nursing assesses the entire "EBP Group Paper" for assessing PLO #4. Thus, a new Table was created to collect the Longitudinal Data for each academic year by combining Spring and Fall data. See the below.

AY	Course	N	Students Meeting or Exceeding Success Criteria	Comments
----	--------	---	--	----------

2020-2021 (FA20+SP21)	NSG 4070	77	100% (77/77) students met or exceeded the benchmark.	
--------------------------	----------	----	--	--

**Conclusions Drawn from Data:**

Program Learning Outcome (PLO)	Conclusions Drawn from Data
<b>BSN PLO #4</b>	Overall, students met or exceeded the benchmark. However, there were a few criteria that were below the benchmark: (1) Appraise Evidence; (2) Appraise synthesis; and (3) Effective Communication.

**Note:**

**Changes to be Made Based on Data:**

Program Learning Outcome (PLO)	Description of Changes to be Made Based on Data
<b>BSN PLO #4</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(1) Conduct detailed assessment of grading criteria where students fell short of benchmark (evidence appraisal &amp; synthesis &amp; communication) and revise the learning activities to focus more lecture time, reading assignments, discussion time, and individual assignments to critique &amp; synthesis evidence appraisals.</li> <li>(2) Assign individual assignments to students to individually critique evidence &amp; perform evidence synthesis paragraphs of evidence collected as a group.</li> <li>(3) Collaborate with NSG 3099 faculty to share data and discuss opportunities to enhance learning of research appraisals &amp; synthesis at the junior level. Work to build learning activities and assessments that link to senior leadership theory activities.</li> <li>(4) Focus improvements in learning activities addressing these SLOs &amp; criteria.</li> </ol>

**Rubrics Used:** Attached at the end of this document

NSG4070

Evidence Based Practice Group Paper



**School of Nursing**

**BSN**

**2020-2021**

**Learning Outcomes:**

Program Learning Outcome (PLO)	Description of Learning Outcome
<b>BSN PLO #5 Leading Faithfully</b>	The student will incorporate a foundational relationship with Christ and others and embrace a willingness to serve others in the midst of life-circumstances (e.g. illness, injustice, poverty). The student will role-model the need for “Sabbath Rest” as a means of personal renewal, and true care of the self so that service to others is optimally achieved. The student will incorporate the characteristics of a servant leader including: humility, courage, forgiveness, and discernment.

**Outcome Measures:**

Program Learning Outcome (PLO)	Course	Description of Outcome Measure
<b>BSN PLO #5</b>	NSG4081 Nursing Capstone Practicum	<u>Servant Leadership</u> Description of assignment here
	NSG4081 Nursing Capstone Practicum	<u>Proof of Attendance</u> Description of assignment here
	NSG 4081 Nursing Capstone Practicum	<u>Reflective Journal</u> There are some aspects of the SON Program Values that are difficult to measure (e.g. courage, forgiveness, and discernment). Faculty and staff in the SON felt strongly that while these concepts may be difficult to measure, they are extremely important to the formation of the professional Christian nurse. To that end, we are measuring these concepts through student journals.

**Note.** As of AY2020-2021, the School of Nursing selects the “ NCLEX-RN Exam Pass Rate” for assessing PLO #5, instead of assessing 3 different assignments (“Tell the Story” from NSG 4080 + “Clinical Evaluation” from NSG 4081+ “Reflective Journal from NSG 4091). See the new Table below.

Program Learning Outcome (PLO)	Course	Description of Outcome Measure
<b>BSN PLO #5</b>	NCLEX Pass Rate from CA-BRN	<u>NCLEX-RN Pass Rate</u> The program demonstrates that it meets the licensure pass rate. This data will be collected from California Board of Registered Nursing (CA-BRN).

**Criteria for Success:**

Program Learning Outcome (PLO)	Course	Statement of Criteria for Success
BSN PLO #5	NSG4081 Nursing Capstone Practicum	<u>Servant Leadership</u> 90% of students will achieve 81% or greater.
	NSG4081 Nursing Capstone Practicum	<u>Proof of Attendance</u> 90% of students will achieve 81% or greater.
	NSG 4081 Nursing Capstone Practicum	<u>Reflective Journal</u> 90% of students will achieve 81% or greater.

**Note.** As of AY2020-2021, the School of Nursing selects the “NCLEX –RN Exam Pass Rate)” for assessing PLO #5, instead of assessing 3 different assignments (“Tell the Story” from NSG 4080 + “Clinical Evaluation” from NSG 4081+ “Reflective Journal from NSG 4091). See the new Table below.

Program Learning Outcome (PLO)	Course	Statement of Criteria for Success
BSN PLO #5	NCLEX Pass Rate from CA- BRN	NCLEX pass rate will be 90% or higher for the first-time test takers for the most recent calendar year (from May to December)

Aligned with DQP Learning Areas (circle one or more but not all five):

1. Specialized Knowledge
2. Broad Integrative Knowledge
3. Intellectual Skills/Core Competencies
4. Applied and Collaborative Learning
5. Civic and Global Learning

**Longitudinal Data:**

AY	Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding Success Criteria			Comments
	NSG480 Tell the Story	NSG481 Clinical Evaluations	NSG481 Reflective Journals	
<b>SP 2015</b>	N = 35	N = 20	**	See asterisk comment for NSG480 Reflective Journals. No quantitative data is available at this time.
	PLOs 5.1, 5.2, 5.3 = 100%	100% (PLOs 5.1, 5.2, 5.3)	**	
<b>FA 2015</b>	N/A	N = 23	**	See asterisk comment for NSG480 Reflective Journals. No quantitative data is available at this time.
	N/A	100% (PLOs 5.1, 5.2) 96% (PLOs 5.3)	**	
<b>SP 2016</b>	N =	N=34	**	See asterisk comment for NSG480 Reflective Journals. No quantitative data is available at this time.
	PLOs 5.1, 5.2, 5.3 = 95%	PLO's 5.1, 5.2, 5.3 =100%	**	
<b>FA 2016</b>	N/A	N = 40	**	See asterisk comment for NSG480 Reflective Journals. No quantitative data is available at this time.
	N/A	PLO's 4.1, 4.2, 4.3 = 100%	**	
<b>SP 2017</b>	N = 38	N = 38	**	See asterisk comment for NSG480 Reflective Journals. No quantitative data is available at this time.
	PLOs 5.1, 5.2, 5.3 = 95%	PLOs 5.1, 5.2 = 100% PLO 5.3 = 97%	**	
<b>FA 2017</b>	N = 39	N = 36	N = 40	
	PLOs 5.1, 5.2, 5.3 = 100%	PLOs 5.1, 5.2, 5.3 = 100%	PLOs 1-5 Total: 98.4% By Journal Topic: Humility: 96.5% Courage: 98.9% Discernment: 98% Forgiveness: 97.7% Mentoring/Leadership: 99.7%	

<b>SP 2018</b>	N = 35	N = 35	N = 36	
	PLOs 5.1, 5.2, 5.3 = 94%	PLOs 5.1, 5.2, 5.3 = 100%	PLOs 1-5 Total: 93.4% By Journal Topic: Humility: 92.5% Courage: 92% Discernment: 96% Forgiveness: 93.7% Free Choice/ Leadership 92%	
<b>FA 2018</b>	N = 31	N = 27	N = 31	
	PLOs 5.1, 5.2, 5.3 = 100%	PLOs 5.1, 5.2, 5.3 = 100%	PLOs 1-5 Total: 100% By Journal Topic: Humility: 100% Courage: 100% Discernment: 100% Forgiveness: 100% Free Choice/ Leadership 100%	
<b>SP 2019</b>	N = 31	N = 31	N = 27	
	PLOs 5.1, 5.2, 5.3 = 100%	PLO 5.1 & 5.3 = 100% PLO 5.2 = 96.7%	PLO's 1-5 Total = 98% Journal Topic: -Humility: 96.8% -Courage: 100% -Discernment: 100% -Forgiveness: 100% -Free choice/Leadership = 96.8%	
<b>FA 19</b>	N=41	N = 41	N = 41	
	100%	100%	Humility = 100% Courage = 97% Discernment = 100% Forgiveness = 100% Leadership/Free choice = 100%	
<b>SP 20</b>	N = 34	N = 34	N = 34	
	100%	100%	Humility = 94% Courage = 100% Discernment = 98% Forgiveness = 100% Leadership/Free choice = 94%	

\*\*Since Fall 2017, rubrics regarding quantitative measures have been used to assess Guided Reflective Journals. Students are meeting benchmarks, and we will continue to assess.

**Note.** As of Fall 2019, sub-PLO's previously reported (i.e. 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 1.4, 1.5) on will no longer be assessed individually. The School of Nursing assesses only the overall PLO-5 of Leading Faithfully.

As of AY2020-2021, the School of Nursing selects " NCLEX-RN Pass Rat" for assessing PLO #5. Thus, a new Table was created to collect the Longitudinal Data for each academic year by combining Spring and Fall data. See the below.

AY	Course	N	Students Meeting or Exceeding Success Criteria	Comments
2020-2021	NCLEX Pass Rate from CA-BRN	75	65 out of 75 graduates in May 2020 passed the NCLEX-RN exam on their first attempt (86.7%) –It did not meet the benchmark (below 90%).	

**Conclusions Drawn from Data:**

Program Learning Outcome (PLO)	Conclusions Drawn from Data
BSN PLO #5	Students are reporting anecdotally that they are experiencing high levels of anxiety while taking the exam. This is often attributed to test anxiety or personal stressors. Other possible reasons for exam failure include unpreparedness for the exam, based on either curriculum gaps or student performance issues.

**Note:** As of Fall 19, Sub-PLO's previously reported (i.e. 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 1.4, 1.5) on will no longer be assessed individually. The School of Nursing is now only assessing the overall PLO#5 of Leading Faithfully.

**Changes to be Made Based on Data:**

Program Learning Outcome (PLO)	Description of Changes to be Made Based on Data
BSN PLO #5	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The <b>SON Annual Bootcamp</b> was implemented in Fall 2020 to provide additional clinical skills practice and ensure foundational competency for patient safety before entering clinical rotations. This event takes place the first two weeks of the fall semester and is mandatory for all junior and senior nursing students.</li> <li>2. The <b>Resilience Program</b> has been incrementally implemented across all four years of the nursing program so that nursing students take one resilience course each year. This program seeks to build personal traits of resilience, including stress management skills, community building, self-awareness, and academic strategies for success.</li> <li>3. The <b>ATI NCLEX-preparedness program</b> has been increasingly utilized across the nursing program over the last five years. In Spring 2021, the RN Comprehensive Predictor policy was revised to give more point values to these associated tests, along with making the live ATI review days mandatory for all graduating seniors.</li> <li>4. New avenues for innovative clinical teaching are being explored with the <b>Wolters Kluwer (WK) teaching resource team</b>. The SON is incorporating the WK EHR platform into junior and senior clinical courses in Fall 2021, and will be incorporating the WK adaptive testing program into the senior courses in Spring 2022.</li> </ol>

**Rubrics Used:** Not applicable.

Program/ Course/ Student Learning Outcomes	Initial (70%)	Emerging (75%)	Developing (85%)	Highly Developed (100%)  Student Learning Outcome (SLO):	Total 61 Possible Points	Points Awarded
<p>PLO 1 PLO 3 PLO 4</p> <p>BSN Essential III: Scholarship for Evidence-Based Practice</p> <p>BNS Essential VI: Interprofessional Communication and Collaboration for Improving Patient Health Outcomes</p>			<p>Meets 1 of 2 criteria of highly developed column</p>	<p><b>CATALYST:</b> SLO: Examine significant problem in an area of clinical nursing and proposes an evidence based practice change project that improves the quality of care.</p> <p><b>4 POINTS:</b> Meets the following criteria (n = 2); 2 point each criteria</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Clearly identifies primary problem through citing references/statistics/boundaries designated by regulatory agencies and professional practices</li> <li>• Queries staff on hypothetical unit regarding identified clinical practice and query is clearly summarized, adds support for need to change practice and advocates newly improved quality care for patient/family.</li> </ul>	4	
<p>PLO 1 PLO 4</p> <p>BSN Essential III: Scholarship for Evidence-Based Practice</p>				<p><b>ASK</b> SLO: Formulates a focused clinical PICO question using PICO format linking evidence to PICO question to guide acquisition of evidence and focus improvement of care. Describes appropriate EBP theoretical framework to guide this clinical practice change project.</p> <p><b>4 POINTS:</b> Meets the following criteria (n = 2); 2 point each criteria</p>	4	

				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Clearly identifies PICO question (Population, Intervention, Current Practice, Outcomes)</li> <li>Clearly identifies EBP theoretical framework used to guide this EBP practice change proposal project. Engages in a professional practice environment that promotes nursing excellence.</li> </ul>		
<p><b>PL0 1</b></p> <p><b>BSN Essential III: Scholarship for Evidence-Based Practice</b></p> <p><b>BNS Essential VI: Interprofessional Communication and Collaboration for Improving Patient Health Outcomes</b></p> <p><b>BSN Essential IV: Information Management and Application of Patient Care Technology</b></p>	<p><b>0-1 points</b> Meets 0-1 of 4 criteria in highly developed column</p>	<p><b>2 points</b> Meets 2 of 4 criteria in highly developed column</p>	<p><b>3 points</b> Meets 3 of 4 criteria in highly developed column</p>	<p><b>ACQUIRE</b></p> <p><b>SLO: Describes appropriate search strategies and acquisition of evidence.</b></p> <p><b>4 POINTS:</b> Meets the following criteria (n = 4); 1 point each criteria</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Clearly and succinctly,</li> <li>Discusses data bases used for search strategies.</li> <li>Describes search terms and key.</li> <li>Identifies # of pieces of evidence yielded</li> <li>Describes how evidence was prioritized and chosen for evaluation, critique, &amp; synthesis.</li> </ul>	<b>4</b>	
<p><b>PL0 1</b> <b>PL0 3</b></p> <p><b>BSN Essential III: Scholarship for Evidence-Based Practice</b></p> <p><b>BNS Essential VI: Interprofessional Communication and Collaboration for Improving Patient Health Outcomes</b></p> <p><b>BSN Essential IV: Information Management and Application of Patient Care Technology</b></p>	<p><b>0-4 points</b> Meets 0-1 of 4 criteria in highly developed column</p>	<p><b>8 points</b> Meets 2 of 4 criteria in highly developed column</p>	<p><b>12 points</b> Meets 3 of 4 criteria in highly developed column</p>	<p><b>APPRAISE</b></p> <p><b>SLO: Discusses level of evidence, validity, reliability and applicability of references in the Evidence Evaluation Table and narrative of the paper.</b></p> <p><b>16 POINTS:</b> Meets the following criteria (n = 4); 4 points each criteria</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Accurately identifies/addresses level of evidence using an identified hierarchy of evidence.</li> <li>Appraises sufficient body of 8-10 reliable and valid sources of evidence utilized to support best clinical practice. Sufficiently critiquing the evidence's validity,</li> </ul>	<b>16</b>	

				<p>reliability, and applicability correctly in concise writing style using research terminology.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Includes in appendices, an accurate appraisal of 1 piece of evidence using the Rapid Critical Appraisals (RCAs) and attaches the completed RCA and copy of the 1 evidence article to the paper.</li> <li>Appropriate and accurate use of the Evidence Evaluation &amp; Evidence Synthesis Tables, concise summaries of articles help to correctly identify best practice.</li> </ul>		
<p>PLO 1 PLO3 PLO 5</p> <p>BSN Essential III: Scholarship for Evidence-Based Practice</p> <p>BNS Essential VI: Interprofessional Communication and Collaboration for Improving Patient Health Outcomes</p> <p>BSN Essential IV: Information Management and Application of Patient Care Technology</p>	<p><b>0-5 points</b> Meets 0-1 of 4 criteria in highly developed column</p>	<p><b>10 points</b> Meets 2 of 4 criteria in highly developed column</p>	<p><b>15 points</b> Meets 3 of 4 criteria in highly developed column</p>	<p><b>APPRAISE</b></p> <p><b>SLO: Synthesizes research articles summarizing the evidence that supports best practice related to the problem selected.</b></p> <p><b>20 POINTS:</b> Meets the following criteria (n = 4); 5 points each criteria</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Clearly and accurately contrasts and compares the evidence to existing clinical practice</li> <li>Accurately identifies and rationalizes implications for nursing practice- exhibits nursing practice as patient advocacy that reflects sensitivity to diversity in a holistic manner within the new practice change</li> <li>Summarizes scholarly paper with a clearly worded conclusion identifying clinical practice and incorporates relevant data that supports whether or not the clinical practice is consistent with the best practice identified in the evidence.</li> <li>All elements addressed / represented in a logical flow of ideas, identifies relevant</li> </ul>	<b>20</b>	



				<b>findings, alternate views, explanations in concise writing style using research terminology- evidence of scholarly writing through use of research terminology</b>		
<p>PLO 1 PLO3</p> <p><b>BSN Essential III: Scholarship for Evidence-Based Practice</b></p> <p><b>BNS Essential VI: Interprofessional Communication and Collaboration for Improving Patient Health Outcomes</b></p> <p><b>BSN Essential IV: Information Management and Application of Patient Care Technology</b></p>	<p><b>0-2 points</b> <b>Meets 0-9 of 16 criteria in highly developed column</b></p>	<p><b>4 points</b> <b>Meets 10-11 of 16 criteria in highly developed column</b></p>	<p><b>6 points</b> <b>Meets 12-13 of 16 criteria in highly developed column</b></p>	<p><b>SLO: Uses written, nonverbal, and emerging technology methods to communicate effectively.</b></p> <p><b>8 POINTS:</b> <b>Meets the following criteria (n = 16); 0.5 points each criteria or has 14 of 16 items</b></p> <p>Applied <b>APA format</b> according to the 7<sup>th</sup> edition of the APA Manual, including at least 11 of the 13 following elements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Title page</li> <li>• Font size and typeface</li> <li>• Running head</li> <li>• Page numbers</li> <li>• Margins</li> <li>• Spacing</li> <li>• Headings</li> <li>• Abbreviations</li> <li>• Professional Language (no contractions, colloquialisms, clichés, slang, etc)</li> <li>• Citations, in text</li> <li>• Citations, end of text</li> <li>• Quotes (max = 2)</li> <li>• Proper citation of direct quotes</li> <li>• Reference pages</li> <li>• Appendix(ces), easy to understand- Evidence Evaluation Table, Evidence Synthesis Table</li> <li>• Appendix(ces), referred to in paper and linked to narrative of paper in a smooth, logical way</li> </ul>	<b>8</b>	
<p>PLO 1 PLO 3 PLO 4 PLO 5</p> <p><b>BSN Essential III: Scholarship for Evidence-Based Practice</b></p> <p><b>BNS Essential VI: Interprofessional Communication and Collaboration for</b></p>	<p><b>0-2 points</b> <b>Submitted paper including &lt;6 of 10 criteria listed in “highly developed” criteria</b></p>	<p><b>3 points</b> <b>Submitted paper including 6-7 of 10 criteria listed in “highly developed” criteria</b></p>	<p><b>4 points</b> <b>Submitted paper including 8 of 10 criteria listed in “highly developed” criteria</b></p>	<p><b>SLO: Promote the image of nursing by modeling the values and articulating the knowledge, skills, and attitudes of the nursing profession.</b></p> <p><b>5 points:</b> <b>Meets 9-10 criteria</b> <b>Meets the following criteria (n=10), 0.5 points each</b></p> <p>Submitted <b>professional paper</b> including at least 10</p>	<b>5</b>	

<p><b>Improving Patient Health Outcomes</b></p> <p><b>BSN Essential IV: Information Management and Application of Patient Care Technology</b></p>				<p>of the following (n= 10):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Maintenance of confidentiality</li> <li>- Timely submission through turnitin.com to Canvas</li> <li>- 8-12 pages in length (exclusive of title and reference page); content starting on page13 will not be included in grading</li> <li>- Organized with an introduction, body, conclusion and necessary transitions</li> <li>- Contains less than 4 grammar errors for the entire paper, including appendices</li> <li>- Contains less than 2 spelling and/or punctuation errors for the entire paper, including appendices</li> <li>- Sentences written without fragments or run-ons</li> <li>- Paragraphs are neither short or long</li> <li>- Text written without bias (e.g. gender) or informality (e.g. first person)</li> <li>- At least 2 per team member current (<math>\leq</math> 5 years) research journals and/or professional sources cited</li> </ul>		
---	--	--	--	---	--	--

Learning Outcomes	Total points possible	Initial (70%)	Emerging (75%)	Developed (85%)	Highly Developed (100%)	Student score
<p>PLO 1 PLO 3 PLO 4</p> <p>BSN Essential III: Scholarship for Evidence-Based Practice</p> <p>BNS Essential VI: Interprofessional Communication and Collaboration for Improving Patient Health Outcomes</p> <p>BSN Essential IV: Information Management and Application of Patient Care Technology</p>	<p>4</p>	<p><b>1 point</b> Meets 1 of 4 criteria of highly developed column</p>	<p><b>2 points</b> Meets 2 of 4 criteria of highly developed column</p>	<p><b>3 points</b> Communicated using 6-7 of 8 “highly developed” criteria</p>	<p><b>4 points</b> Meets 8 of 8 criteria, each worth 0.5 point (n=8)</p> <p><b>SLO: Uses written, verbal, non-verbal, and emerging technology methods to communicate effectively.</b> <b>Communicated using appropriate verbal and non-verbal skills including (n=8):</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Introduction of self with first name, last name and credentials</li> <li>- Professional language without informality (e.g. slang, profanity) or bias</li> <li>- Appropriate rate, neither too fast nor too slow.</li> <li>- Appropriate volume for the environment</li> <li>- Engaging eye contact</li> <li>- Speech free from fillers (e.g. uh, like, etc)</li> <li>- Effective and non-distracting gestures</li> <li>- Expert response to questions</li> </ul>	
<p>PLO 1 PLO 3 PLO 4</p> <p>BSN Essential III: Scholarship for Evidence-Based Practice</p> <p>BNS Essential VI: Interprofessional Communication and Collaboration for Improving Patient Health Outcomes</p> <p>BSN Essential IV:</p>	<p>6</p>	<p><b>0 points</b> Presented using &lt; 8 of 12 “highly developed” criteria</p>	<p><b>2 points</b> Presented using 8-9 of 12 “highly developed” criteria</p>	<p><b>4 points</b> Presented using 10-11 of 12 “highly developed” criteria</p>	<p><b>6 points</b> Meets 12 criteria, 0.5 points each <b>SLO: Assume accountability for personal and professional behaviors.</b> <b>Presented in professional manner including (n=12):</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Timely preparation, arrival to class and start of presentation</li> <li>- Professional attire (e.g. non-scrubs, clean/odor-free, wrinkle-free and loose fitting attire)</li> </ul>	

<p><b>Information Management and Application of Patient Care Technology</b></p>					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Business appropriate shoes, no sandals or flip flops</li> <li>- Shirt/Blouse without exposure of cleavage, chest or midriff</li> <li>- Skirt length no more than 2 inches above the knee</li> <li>- Slacks/pants hemmed, non-denim</li> <li>- Underwear not visible or outlined</li> <li>- Conservative jewelry (e.g. only one pair of earrings to the ear lobe)</li> <li>- Unadventurous , styled and neat hair/grooming</li> <li>- Distraction-free (e.g. chewing gum, food)</li> <li>- No visible tattoos</li> <li>- Time-limit adherence to 3 - 5 minutes, including Q&amp;A period</li> </ul>	
<p>PLO 1 PLO 3 PLO 4 PLO 5</p> <p><b>BNS Essential VI: Interprofessional Communication and Collaboration for Improving Patient Health Outcomes</b></p> <p><b>BSN Essential IV: Information Management and Application of Patient Care Technology</b></p>	<p>16</p>	<p><b>Meets 1 of 4 criteria of highly developed column</b></p>	<p><b>Meets 2 of 4 criteria of highly developed column</b></p>	<p><b>Meets 3 of 4 criteria of highly developed column</b></p>	<p><b>16 points</b> <b>Meets all 4 of 4 criteria, each worth 4 points (n=4)</b></p> <p><b>SLO: Constructs poster to disseminate information gathered from Evidenced Based Practice project.</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Appropriate use of poster template. Visually appealing design with graphics and text boxes.</li> <li>- Language was professional and formal without bias, ambiguity or too much simplicity.</li> <li>- Creative construction of poster with effort to present main points of project &amp; patient care advocacy: Catalyst, Assess (Regulations/Statistics/Practice), Ask, Acquire, Appraise, Apply, Analyze.</li> <li>- Sufficient information was presented to draw in audience and enhance learning. Clear &amp; easy to understand.</li> </ul>	

**Pertinent BSN Essentials:**

- **BSN Essential III: Scholarship for Evidence-Based Practice**

- **BNS Essential VI: Interprofessional Communication and Collaboration for Improving Patient Health Outcomes**



## NRS480 Guided Reflective Journal Rubric

	<b>Highly Developed (7 pts)</b>	<b>Developed (6 pts)</b>	<b>Emerging (0.200 pt)</b>	<b>Initial (0.100 pt)</b>
<p>Description of the Clinical Context, Clinical Experience &amp; Identification of Journal Theme PLO 1-5 BSN Essentials: II, IV, VI, VIII, IX (1.000, 20%) AACN-BACC-ESS-2008.2 AACN-BACC-ESS-2008.4 AACN-BACC-ESS-2008.6 AACN-BACC-ESS-2008.8 AACN-BACC-ESS-2008.9</p>	<p>Addresses 7 of the 7 criteria Clinical Context:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• What clinical day/night or mentoring scenario is this?</li> <li>• What theme will you address in the journal?</li> <li>• Describe the context of the unit/mentoring experience</li> </ul> <p>Clinical Experience:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provide an overview of your patient assignment/mentoring experience</li> <li>• What happened on this clinical shift/mentoring scenario?</li> <li>• What specific actions took place during the situation/event? (Your actions? Others?)</li> <li>• Were there any specific or unique conditions related to the event?</li> </ul>	<p>Addresses 6 of the 7 areas in the “Highly Developed” column</p>	<p>NO points will be earned . . .</p> <p>No response to question(s) or lack of basic content and discussion</p> <p>Submitted beyond due date/time</p>	<p>NO points will be earned . . .</p> <p>No response to question(s) or lack of basic content and discussion</p> <p>Submitted beyond due date/time</p>
<p>Personal Reactions &amp; Evaluation of the Situation: Description of what you were thinking and feeling.</p>	<p>Addresses 7 of the 7 criteria</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• What were your thoughts during the event?</li> <li>• What were your feelings related to the event?</li> </ul>	<p>Addresses 6 of the 7 areas in the “Highly Developed”</p>	<p>NO points will be earned . . .</p> <p>No response to question(s) or lack</p>	<p>NO points will be earned . . .</p> <p>No response to question(s) or</p>

	<b>Highly Developed (7 pts)</b>	<b>Developed (6 pts)</b>	<b>Emerging (0.200 pt)</b>	<b>Initial (0.100 pt)</b>
<p>Use the following questions to guide your description as applicable. PLO 1-5 BSN Essentials: I, II, IV, V, VI, VII, VIII, IX (1.000, 20%) AACN-BACC-ESS-2008.1 AACN-BACC-ESS-2008.2 AACN-BACC-ESS-2008.4 AACN-BACC-ESS-2008.5 AACN-BACC-ESS-2008.6 AACN-BACC-ESS-2008.7 AACN-BACC-ESS-2008.8 AACN-BACC-ESS-2008.9</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Were your actions the most appropriate for this particular event?</li> <li>• Why or why not?</li> <li>• What were the positive and negative aspects and outcomes of the incident?</li> <li>• Were there aspects or influences that interfered with doing a better job?</li> <li>• What were they?</li> </ul>	<p>column</p>	<p>of basic content and discussion</p> <p>Submitted beyond due date/time</p>	<p>lack of basic content and discussion</p> <p>Submitted beyond due date/time</p>
<p>Analysis of the Situation PLO 1-5 BSN Essentials: I-IX (1.000, 20%) AACN-BACC-ESS-2008.1 AACN-BACC-ESS-2008.2 AACN-BACC-ESS-2008.3 AACN-BACC-ESS-2008.4 AACN-BACC-ESS-2008.5</p>	<p>Addresses 7 of the 7 criteria</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• What personal clinical/mentoring objectives did you meet today and how?</li> <li>• Incorporate/Integrate two text/ATI/journal theory/references into the body of written clinical experience. Ensure at least 2 references are focused on the journal theme and from</li> </ul>	<p>Addresses 6 of the 7 areas in the “Highly Developed” column</p>	<p>NO points will be earned . . .</p> <p>No response to question(s) or lack of basic content and discussion</p> <p>Submitted beyond due date/time</p>	<p>NO points will be earned . . .</p> <p>No response to question(s) or lack of basic content and discussion</p> <p>Submitted beyond due</p>



	Highly Developed (7 pts)	Developed (6 pts)	Emerging (0.200 pt)	Initial (0.100 pt)
AACN-BACC-ESS-2008.6 AACN-BACC-ESS-2008.7 AACN-BACC-ESS-2008.8 AACN-BACC-ESS-2008.9	<p>course textbooks or from pertinent topical research articles from peer-reviewed journals.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• How can you make sense of what happened?</li> <li>• At the time of the incident, what guided your actions?</li> <li>• What should you have used to guide your actions?</li> <li>• How did your knowledge in this situation? Did you possess the knowledge and skill level needed for the situation?</li> <li>• What did you learn that surprises you?</li> </ul>			date/time
<p>Conclusion. Identify your lessons learned, how you will incorporate what you have learned today into your nursing practice. PLO 1-5 BSN Essentials: I-IX (1.000, 20%)AACN-BACC-ESS-2008.1 AACN-BACC-ESS-2008.2</p>	<p>Addresses 7 of the 7 criteria</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• What did you learn as a result of the situation?</li> <li>• What were lessons learned?</li> <li>• Do you need to modify your beliefs, assumptions, and attitudes?</li> <li>• If this situation were to occur again, how will you act similarly/differently?</li> <li>• How has the situation</li> </ul>	<p>Addresses 6 of the 7 areas in the “Highly Developed” column</p>	<p>NO points will be earned . . .</p> <p>No response to question(s) or lack of basic content and discussion</p> <p>Submitted beyond due date/time</p>	<p>NO points will be earned . . .</p> <p>No response to question(s) or lack of basic content and discussion</p> <p>Submitted beyond due</p>

	Highly Developed (7 pts)	Developed (6 pts)	Emerging (0.200 pt)	Initial (0.100 pt)
<p>AACN-BACC-ESS-2008.3 AACN-BACC-ESS-2008.4 AACN-BACC-ESS-2008.5 AACN-BACC-ESS-2008.6 AACN-BACC-ESS-2008.7 AACN-BACC-ESS-2008.8 AACN-BACC-ESS-2008.9</p>	<p>influenced your practice?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• What were your resources (e.g. human, electronic) to arrive at a conclusion?</li> <li>• To whom would you communicate this learning?</li> </ul>			<p>date/time</p>
<p>Professional Writing PLO 1-5 BSN Essentials: I, III, IV, VIII, IX(1.000, 20%) AACN-BACC-ESS-2008.1 AACN-BACC-ESS-2008.3 AACN-BACC-ESS-2008.4 AACN-BACC-ESS-2008.8 AACN-BACC-ESS-2008.9</p>	<p>Addresses 7 of the 7 criteria</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Correct grammar/spelling with less than 3 errors.</li> <li>• APA format (6th ed.) Title page, page numbers, running head, headers, citations, references.</li> <li>• First person narrative may be used during the guided reflection.</li> <li>• Cover Page includes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Student Name,</li> <li>• Journal Number,</li> <li>• Journal Theme,</li> <li>• Date of Clinical/Mentoring Experience,</li> <li>• Preceptor Initials (if applicable),</li> <li>• Due Date (7 days after the</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<p>Addresses 6 of the 7 areas in the “Highly Developed” column</p>	<p>NO points will be earned . . .</p> <p>No response to question(s) or lack of basic content and discussion</p> <p>Submitted beyond due date/time</p>	<p>NO points will be earned . . .</p> <p>No response to question(s) or lack of basic content and discussion</p> <p>Submitted beyond due date/time</p>

	<b>Highly Developed (7 pts)</b>	<b>Developed (6 pts)</b>	<b>Emerging (0.200 pt)</b>	<b>Initial (0.100 pt)</b>
	beginning of the clinical shift <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Content organized with a final summarized paragraph</li> <li>• Clearly understood</li> </ul>			

## Standards

AACN-BACC-ESS-2008.1

Essential I: Liberal Education for Baccalaureate Generalist Nursing Practice

AACN-BACC-ESS-2008.2

Essential II: Basic Organizational and Systems Leadership for Quality Care and Patient Safety

AACN-BACC-ESS-2008.3

Essential III: Scholarship for EvidenceBased Practice

AACN-BACC-ESS-2008.4

Essential IV: Information Management and Application of Patient Care Technology

AACN-BACC-ESS-2008.5

Essential V: Healthcare Policy, Finance, and Regulatory Environments

AACN-BACC-ESS-2008.6

Essential VI: Interprofessional Communication and Collaboration for Improving Patient Health Outcomes

AACN-BACC-ESS-2008.7

Essential VII: Clinical Prevention and Population Health

AACN-BACC-ESS-2008.8

Essential VIII: Professionalism and Professional Values

AACN-BACC-ESS-2008.9

Essential IX: Baccalaureate Generalist Nursing Practice