### IJWL

# PLO Data for Literature/English: Fa2019-Sp2020

### **Learning Outcome 1:**

Students who complete the program will be able to integrate their literature studies with ongoing reflection and hospitable engagement with a diverse world.

#### **Outcome Measure**

A reflective essay to be completed by graduating seniors sometime during their final year of study and submitted to Live Text in the Senior Portfolio.

#### **Criteria for Success**

An average score of 87.5% on the AAC&U Integrative Learning Rubric and a score of 81.25% on the AAC&U Lifelong Learning Rubric.

## Aligned with DQP Learning Areas (circle/highlight one or more but not all five)

- 1. Specialized Knowledge
- 2. Broad Integrative Knowledge
- 3. Intellectual Skills/Core Competencies
- 4. Applied and Collaborative Learning, and
- 5. Civic and Global Learning

### Longitudinal Data: Percentage of Class Meeting Targets for PLO #1

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
AAC&U Integrative	67%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Learning						
AAC&U Lifelong	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Learning						
# of Students	6	6	9	7	11	2

# **Conclusions Drawn from Data**

Based on the data sample totaling 41 students comprised of Literature majors completing the major from 2015-2020, the average percentage of students meeting the criterion set for Integrative Learning is 100%. These results indicate that our Literature majors are meeting our targets for PLO #1.

## **Changes to be Made Based on Data**

No changes were made based on the data.

### **Rubrics Used**

- 1) AAC&U Integrative Learning (only Criteria #1-Connections to Experience, 2-Connections to Discipline, 3-Transfer, 5-Reflection and Self-Assessment)
- 2) AAC&U Foundation Skills for Lifelong Learning (only Criteria #4-Transfer; #5-Reflection)

## **Learning Outcome 2**

Students who complete the program will be able to identify and articulate characteristics and trends of diverse literatures and historical periods: dates, styles, authors, and canon formation.

#### **Outcome Measure**

The ETS Field Test in Literature.

#### **Criteria for Success**

Our target performance on the ETS Literature Field Test is to have our students scoring at least 5 points above the national average in their knowledge of the subcategories as designated by the ETS Test:

- Literature Pre-1900
- Literature 1901 and Later
- Literary Analysis
- Literary History and Identification

These subcategories correspond to our PLOs 2 and 3 (literary-historical periods, dates, styles, authors; major literary-theoretical perspective and terminology; and literary terms).

## Aligned with DQP Learning Areas (circle/highlight one or more but not all five)

- 1. Specialized Knowledge
- 2. Broad Integrative Knowledge
- 3. Intellectual Skills/Core Competencies
- 4. Applied and Collaborative Learning,
- 5. Civic and Global Learning

### **Longitudinal Data: Student Scores in Relation to the National Mean**

	2018	2019	2020	National Mean	Lit. Program
				2011-17	Targets
Pre-1900	48	58	64	52.9	57.9
1901 and Later	57	58	66	53.5	58.5
Literary Analysis	49	56	51	52.9	57.9
Literary History and Identification	49	60	83	53	58

## **Conclusions Drawn from Data**

The 2018 group of students did not reach the program goals; however, they did surpass the national mean in one of the four categories. The 2019 students met 2 of the 4 program goals and surpassed the national mean in all four categories. Students in 2020 met 2 of the 4 program goals, particularly excelling in the Literary History and Identification category.

## **Changes to be Made Based on Data**

The sample size remains small, so no changes have been made based on this data.

**Rubric Used:** No rubric is required.

# **Learning Outcome 3:**

Students who complete the program will be able to develop and support close readings of texts using literary theory and terminology.

### **Outcome Measure**

Senior Portfolio Major Researched Essay written in the capstone course LIT 495 Literary Theory and Scholarship.

### **Criteria for Success**

An average of 85% on the two assessors' scores on the designated AAC&U Rubrics.

# Aligned with DQP Learning Areas (circle/highlight one or more but not all five)

- 1. Specialized Knowledge
- 2. Broad Integrative Knowledge
- 3. Intellectual Skills/Core Competencies
- 4. Applied and Collaborative Learning, and
- 5. Civic and Global Learning

# **Longitudinal Data: Capstone Research Paper**

Capstone Research Essay	2017	2018	2019	2020	Lit Program
					Targets
Information Literacy	78%	91%	91%	98%	85%
Written Communication	78%	86%	89%	95%	85%
Critical Thinking	78%	87%	90%	100%	85%
Total # of Students	9	7	11	2	29

# **Conclusions Drawn from Data**

Although the program targets were met the last three years, the performance numbers are highly variable from year to year, especially because we test so few students at a time. We will need to gather additional years of longitudinal data before reasonable conclusions may be suggested.

## **Changes to be Made Based on Data**

No changes are planned based on the data.

#### **Rubric Used**

AAC&U Rubrics: Information Literacy, Written Communication, Critical Thinking

## **Learning Outcome 4:**

Students who complete the program will be able to employ strong research, rhetorical, linguistics, literary, and analytical skills in their writing.

### **Outcome Measure**

Senior Portfolio Major Researched Essay written in the capstone course LIT 495 Literary Theory and Scholarship.

## **Criteria for Success**

An average of 85% on the two assessors' scores on the designated AAC&U Rubrics.

# Aligned with DQP Learning Areas (circle/highlight one or more but not all five)

- 1. Specialized Knowledge
- 2. Broad Integrative Knowledge
- 3. Intellectual Skills/Core Competencies
- 4. Applied and Collaborative Learning, and
- 5. Civic and Global Learning

# **Longitudinal Data: Capstone Research Paper**

Capstone Research Essay	2017	2018	2019	2020	Lit Program
					Targets
Information Literacy	78%	91%	91%	98%	85%
Written Communication	78%	86%	89%	95%	85%
Critical Thinking	78%	87%	90%	100%	85%
Total # of Students	9	7	11	2	29

# **Conclusions Drawn from Data**

Although the program targets were met the last two years, the performance numbers are highly variable from year to year, especially because we test so few students at a time. We will need to additional years of longitudinal data before reasonable conclusions may be suggested.

## **Changes to be Made Based on Data**

No changes are planned based on the data.

#### **Rubric Used**

AAC&U Rubrics: Information Literacy, Written Communication, Critical Thinking

## **Learning Outcome 5:**

Students who complete the program will be able to present literary analysis to formal audiences, demonstrating strategies for audience engagement and oral communication of written work.

### **Outcome Measure**

A formal oral presentation of literary scholarship completed as part of the Senior Portfolio.

#### **Criteria for Success**

An average of 80% on the two assessors' scores on the designated AAC&U Rubrics.

## Aligned with DQP Learning Areas (circle/highlight one or more but not all five)

- 1. Specialized Knowledge
- 2. Broad Integrative Knowledge
- 3. Intellectual Skills/Core Competencies
- 4. Applied and Collaborative Learning, and
- 5. Civic and Global Learning

**Longitudinal Data: Capstone Oral Presentation** 

	2017	2018	2019	2020	Lit Program Target on the AAC&U Rubric
Percentage of Students Meeting	100%	100%	100%	100%	80%
Target					
Total # of Students	9	7	11	2	29

### **Conclusions Drawn from Data**

Students are meeting the program objectives.

## **Changes to be Made Based on Data**

No changes are planned based on the data.

### **Rubric Used**

AAC&U Rubric: Oral Communication