

**CHEMISTRY**  
**Core Competencies FA2020-SP2021**

**Core Competency: Critical Thinking**

Students will be able to examine, critique and synthesize information in order to arrive at reasoned conclusions.

**Outcome Measure:**

ETS Proficiency Profile Exam

**Criteria for Success (how do you judge if the students have met your standards):**

70% of the students will be marginal or proficient at Level 2 Reading/Critical Thinking.

**Aligned with DQP Learning Areas (circle one or more but not all five):**

1. Specialized Knowledge
2. Broad Integrative Knowledge
3. Intellectual Skills/Core Competencies
4. Applied and Collaborative Learning, and
5. Civic and Global Learning

**Longitudinal Data:**

	Percentage of Students Marginal or Proficient							
	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21
<b>Number of students</b>			n=21	n=25	n=17	n=20	n=35	n=26
<b>ETS Proficiency Profile Level 2 Reading</b>	84.6%	90.5%	95.2%	96.0%	88.2%	95.0%	74.3%	73.1%

**Conclusions Drawn from Data:**

The criteria for success have been met for the eight past academic years, therefore we conclude that students are able to examine, critique and synthesize information in order to arrive at reasoned conclusions. It is worth noting that while we meet our criteria for success, scores have slightly decreased over the years.

**Changes to be Made Based on Data:**

At this point, there is no need to make any changes to our program, however we will continue to monitor the trend over the years to ensure that our students meet our criteria for success.

**Rubric Used**

We use the ETS Proficiency Profile test results.

## CHEMISTRY Core Competencies

### **Core Competency: Written**

Students will be able to effectively express ideas and information to others through written communication.

### **Outcome Measure:**

ETS Proficiency Profile Exam

### **Criteria for Success (how do you judge if the students have met your standards):**

80% of the students will be marginal or proficient at Level 2 Writing.

### **Aligned with DQP Learning Areas (circle one or more but not all five):**

1. Specialized Knowledge
2. Broad Integrative Knowledge
3. Intellectual Skills/Core Competencies
4. Applied and Collaborative Learning, and
5. Civic and Global Learning

### **Longitudinal Data:**

	Percentage of Students Marginal or Proficient							
	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21
<b>Number of students</b>			n=21	n=25	n=17	n=20	n=35	n=26
<b>ETS Proficiency Profile Level 2 Writing</b>	76.9%	85.7%	95.2%	88.0%	82.4%	90.0%	74.3%	80.8%

### **Conclusions Drawn from Data:**

The criteria for success were met in all years except for 2013-14 and 2019-2020, when 76.9% and 74.3% of the students, respectively, were marginal or proficient at Level 2 Writing, slightly below the 80% criteria for success. Overall, students are able to effectively express ideas and information to others through written communication but we should consider whether the criteria for success is adequate this core competency.

### **Changes to be Made Based on Data:**

At this point, we do not need to make any changes but we will consider modifying the criteria for success to be consistent with the other core competencies.

### **Rubric Used**

We use the ETS Proficiency Profile test results.

**CHEMISTRY**  
**Core Competencies**

**Core Competency: Quantitative Reasoning**

Students will be able to solve problems that are quantitative in nature.

**Outcome Measure:**

ETS Proficiency Profile Exam

**Criteria for Success (how do you judge if the students have met your standards):**

90% of the students will be marginal or proficient at Level 2 Math.

**Aligned with DQP Learning Areas (circle one or more but not all five):**

1. Specialized Knowledge
2. Broad Integrative Knowledge
3. Intellectual Skills/Core Competencies
4. Applied and Collaborative Learning, and
5. Civic and Global Learning

**Longitudinal Data:**

	Percentage of Students Marginal or Proficient							
	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21
<b>Number of students</b>			n=21	n=25	n=17	n=20	n=35	n=26
<b>ETS Proficiency Profile Level 2 Math</b>	84.6%	95.2%	100.0%	100.0%	94.1%	95.0%	94.3%	88.5%

**Conclusions Drawn from Data:**

The criteria for success were met in all years except for 2013-14 and 2020-2021, when 84.6% and 88.5% of the students respectively were marginal or proficient at Level 2 Math. Overall, students are able to solve problems that are quantitative in nature but we should consider whether the criteria for success is adequate for this core competency.

**Changes to be Made Based on Data:**

At this point, we do not need to make any changes but we will consider modifying the criteria for success to be consistent with the other core competencies.

**Rubric Used**

We use the ETS Proficiency Profile test results.

**CHEMISTRY**  
**Core Competencies**

**Core Competency: Oral Communication**

Students will develop oral communication skills.

**Outcome Measure:** Chemistry Research Study Presentation in Chemistry Seminar (CHE4095).

**Criteria for Success:** At least 80% of students will have an average score of 3 or higher.

**Longitudinal Data:**

<b>% students with average score of 3.0 or higher</b>	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
	n=10	n=12	n=18	n=13	n=11	n=18	n=14
<b>Oral Communication</b>	100%	83.3%	100%	100%	100%	100%	92.9%

**Conclusions Drawn from Data:** The criteria for success were met in all years 2015 – 2021, therefore we conclude that students are successful in oral communication. We believe this can be attributed to several factors including an increased number of oral presentations required in upper division courses and students' involvement in our summer research where they present their project to each other on a weekly basis.

**Changes to be Made Based on Data:** No changes are necessary.

**Rubric Used:** See below.

## CHEMISTRY Core Competencies

### **Core Competency: Information Literacy**

Students will develop information literacy skills.

**Outcome Measure:** Chemistry Research Study Presentation in Chemistry Seminar (CHE4095 Spring 2016 - 2020) and Chemistry Ethics Paper in Chemistry Seminar (CHE4095 Spring 2015).

**Criteria for Success (if applicable):** At least 80% of students will have an average score of 3 or higher.

### **Longitudinal Data:**

<b>% Students with average score of 3.0 or higher</b>	<b>2015</b>	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2018</b>	<b>2019</b>	<b>2020</b>	<b>2021</b>
	n=10	n=12	n=18	n=13	n=11	n=18	n=14
<b>Information Literacy</b>	80%	91.7%	100%	84.6%	100%	94.4%	100%

**Conclusions Drawn from Data:** The criteria for success were met in all years 2015 – 2021, therefore, we conclude that students are successful in information literacy.

**Changes to be Made Based on Data** No changes are necessary as our students clearly learn to develop oral communication skills over their 4 years at PLNU.

**Rubric Used:** See below.

## CHE 495 rubrics (Oral Communication and Information Literacy)

	<b>Outstanding</b>	<b>High satisfactory</b>	<b>Low satisfactory</b>	<b>unsatisfactory</b>
<b>Command of background material</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Clearly knows material and key facts by memory</li> <li>● Expands on PPT slides</li> <li>● Content and language appropriate for audience</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Clearly knows key facts with a few memory slips</li> <li>● Some expansion on PPT slides</li> <li>● Partial audience adaptation of content</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Read some information; knows some facts from memory</li> <li>● No expansion on PPT slide content</li> <li>● little audience adaptation of content</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Read sentence from slides</li> <li>● Dependent on notes</li> <li>● Lack audience adaptation of content</li> </ul>
<b>Organization</b>	Organizational pattern (specific introduction and conclusion, sequenced material within the body, and transitions) is clearly and consistently observable and is skillful and makes the content of the presentation cohesive.	Organizational pattern (specific introduction and conclusion, sequenced material within the body, and transitions) is clearly and consistently observable within the presentation	Organizational pattern (specific introduction and conclusion, sequenced material within the body, and transitions) is intermittently observable within the presentation.	Organizational pattern (specific introduction and conclusion, sequenced material within the body, and transitions) is not observable within the presentation.
<b>Delivery</b>	Delivery techniques (posture, gesture, eye contact, and vocal expressiveness) make the presentation compelling, and speaker appears polished and confident.		Delivery techniques (posture, gesture, eye contact, and vocal expressiveness) detract from the presentation	Delivery techniques (posture, gesture, eye contact, and vocal expressiveness) detract from the understandability of the presentation, and speaker appears uncomfortable.

		Delivery techniques (posture, gesture, eye contact, and vocal expressiveness) make the presentation interesting, and speaker appears comfortable.	understandable, and speaker appears tentative.	
<b>Use Information Effectively to Accomplish a specific purpose</b>	Communicates, organizes and synthesizes information from sources to fully achieve a specific purpose, with clarity and depth	Communicates, organizes and synthesizes information from sources. Intended purpose is achieved.	Communicates and organizes information from sources. The information is not yet synthesized, so the intended purpose is not fully achieved.	Communicates information from sources. The information is fragmented and/or used inappropriately (misquoted, taken out of context, or incorrectly paraphrased, etc.), so the intended purpose is not achieved.
<b>Determine the Extent of Information</b>	Effectively defines the scope of the research question or thesis. Effectively determines key concepts. Types of information (sources) selected directly relate to concepts or answer research question.	Defines the scope of the research question or thesis completely. Can determine key concepts. Types of information (sources) selected relate to concepts or answer research question.	Defines the scope of the research question or thesis incompletely (parts are missing, remains too broad or too narrow, etc.). Can determine key concepts. Types of information (sources) selected partially relate to concepts or answer research question.	Has difficulty defining the scope of the research question or thesis. Has difficulty determining key concepts. Types of information (sources) selected do not relate to concepts or answer research question.