## Point Loma Nazarene University BACCALAUREATE SOCIAL WORK PROGRAM

## ASSESSMENT OF STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES

# 2018 Report<sup>1</sup> as of June 1, 2018

## CSWE Accreditation Standard AS 4. B

- 4.0.2 The program provides summary data and outcomes for the assessment of each of its competencies, identifying the percentage of students achieving the benchmark.
- 4.0.4 The program uses Form AS 4 (B) to report assessment outcomes to its constituents and the public on its website and routinely up-dates (minimally every 2 years) these postings.

All Council on Social Work Education programs measure and report student learning outcomes. Students are assessed on their mastery of the competencies that comprise the CSWE accreditation standards. These competencies are dimensions of social work practice that all social work professional training. A benchmark is set by the social work programs for each competency. An assessment score at or above that benchmark is considered by the program to represent mastery of that particular competency.

COMPETENCY	COMPETENCY	PERCENTAGE OF STUDENTS ACHIEVING/	Data Sources
	BENCHMARK	BENCHMARK	2018 Graduate Data
	Based on a 4.0 Scale	Findings	Final Field Evaluation and
			Program Learning Outcomes
Identify as a	90% at 2.75 or above	Achieved	Domain #1
Professional	100% 2.5 or above	Cumulative group average 3.63 (down)	Final Field Evaluation Items 1-6
Social Worker	No Item score below 2.0	90% Students scores above 3.0 (exceeds)	Learning Plan 1-6
		100% scores at or above 2.5	
		No item below 2.0	
Annly Ethical	90% at 2.75 or above	Range 2.5 to 4.0	Domain #2
Apply Ethical Principles	No item below 2.5 of 4.0	Achieved	Final Field Evaluation Items 7-10
Principles	No item below 2.5 of 4.0	Cumulative group average 3.53 (down) 90% Individual average 2.75 or above	Learning Plan 7-10
		No item below 2.5	Learning Flan 7-10
		Range 2.56 to 4.0	PLO Ethics
Apply Critical	90% at 2.75 or above	Achieved	Domain #3
Thinking	No Item score below 2.5	Cumulative group average 3.35 (down)	Final Field Evaluation 11-13
		90% individual average 2.75 or above	Learning Plan 11-13
		No score below 2.5	ŭ
		Range 2.5 to 4.0	PLO Critical Thinking
Engage	90% at 2.5 or above	Achieved	Domain #4
Diversity in	No Item score below 2	Cumulative group average 3.5 (down)	Final Field Evaluation 14-17
Practice		90% Individual average 3.5 or above 100%	Learning Plan 14-17
		2.5 or above (exceeds)	
		One student has item score below 2.0	PLO Diversity
		Range 1. to 4.0	
Advance Human	90% at 2.5 or above	Achieved	Domain #5
Rights/ Social and	No Item score below 2.0	Cumulative group average 3.52 (down)	Final Field Evaluation 18-20
Economic Justice		100% Individual average 3.0 or above	Learning Plan 18-20
		100% score 2.83 or above Range 2.5 to 4.0	
Engage Research	90% at 2.5 or above	Achieved	Domain #6
Informed Practice/	No Item score below 2.0	Cumulative group average 3.39 (down)	Final Field Evaluation 21-22
Practice Informed	145 Item Score Below 2.0	100% Individual average above 2.75	Learning Plan 21-22
Research		No item score below 2.5	
		Range 2.5 to 4.0	PLO Application
Apply Human Behavior	Not less than 2.0 of 4.0	Achieved	Domain #7
Knowledge	No Item score below 2.0	Cumulative group average 3.48 (down)	Final Field Evaluation 23-24

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Note: As of May 31, 2017 data is missing for two students who are completing field education. Report will be updated when data is available.

		100% Individual average 2.5 or above No score below 2.5 Range 2.5 to 4.0	Learning Plan 23-24 PLO Application
Engage Policy Practice to Advance Well- Being and Deliver Services	Not less than 2.0 Of 4.0 No Item score below 2.0	Achieved Cumulative group average 3.51 (down) 100% Individual average 2.5 or above No item below 2.0 Range 2.0 to 4.0	Domain #8 Final Field Evaluation 25-26 Learning Plan 25-26
Respond to Practice Contexts	Not less than 2.0 of 4.0 No Item score below 2.0	Achieved Cumulative group average: 3.4 (down) 100% Individual average 2.5 or above No score below 2.0 Range 2.0 to 4.0	Domain #9 Final Field Evaluation 27-28 Learning Plan 27-28
Practice Engagement	Not less than 2.0 of 4.0 No Item score below 2.0	Achieved Cumulative group average 3.55 (down) 100% Individual average 2.0 or above 1 student below 2.0 on item Range 2.08 to 4.0	Domain #10 a Final Field Evaluation 29-31 Learning Plan 29-31
Practice Assessment	90% at 2.0 or above No Item score below 2.0	Achieved Cumulative group average 3.51 (down) 100% Individual average 2.0 or above 1 student with an item below 2.0 (1.88) Range 2.8 to 4.0	Domain #10 b Final Field Evaluation 32-25 Learning Plan 32-35
Practice Intervention	90% at 2.0 or above No Item score below 2.0	Achieved Cumulative group average: 3.56 100% individual average 2.4 or above No item below 2.0 Range 3.0 to 4.0	Domain #10 c Final Field Evaluation 36-40 Learning Plan 36-40
Practice Evaluation	Not less than 2.0 of 4.0 No Item score below 2.0	Achieved Cumulative group average 3.25 (down) 90% Individual average above 2.25 No item score below 2.0 Range 2.25 to 4.0	Domain #10 d Final Field Evaluation 41 Learning Plan 41
PLNU Specific – Faith	Not less than 2.5 of 4.0 No Item score below 2.0	Achieved Cumulative group average 3.86 90% Individual average above 3.1 No item below 2.0 Range 2.42 to 4.0	Domain #11 Final Evaluation 42-43 Learning Plan 42-43

## NOTES:

- (1) This report is as of June 1, 2018 with scores for 10 of the 13 cohort included. Three students are completing the remainder of field placement hours during summer. As a result, final evaluations are not yet available. An updated report will be prepared in September 2018.
- (2) Given the small number of cases included in the report, the performance of one student consistently impacted outcomes. As a result most outcome scores were down from 2017. Absent this student, the data for the remainder of the cohort would have compared favorably with 2017.
- (3) In addition to these competency measures, the PLNU program prepares a supplemental report for foundational knowledge content and basic academic capacities for oral language, written communication, and critical thinking. The results of the CSWE Instruments will be compared with other measures to assess and identify areas where curriculum adjustment is needed.