## Assessment Data 2016-2017 Pupil Personnel Services

#### **Learning Outcome 1:**

EQUIP: Students are equipped with a deep and coordinated understanding of the knowledge, skills, and dispositions demonstrated by effective educators.

Equips candidates with an understanding of career development strategies used to prepare K-12 students for post-high school, college and career readiness options by integrating theories and developing current knowledge, attitudes and skills associated with this learning outcome in general and as it applies to diverse populations.

#### **Outcome Measure(s):**

GED 672 Signature Assignment GED 689 Culminating Research Project

#### **Criteria for Success (if applicable):**

Average score for the rubric content criteria is 3.5 or higher (on a scale of 1-4, with 4 being the highest). The rubric performance levels are: Exceeds Standard (4), Meets Standard (3), Below Standard (2), and Far Below Standard (1).

The three rubric content criteria are: Area of Focus, Literature Review, and Data Collection and Analysis.

#### **Aligned with DQP Learning Areas:**

- 1. Specialized Knowledge
- 2. Broad Integrative Knowledge
- 3. Intellectual Skills/Core Competencies
- 4. Applied and Collaborative Learning, and
- 5. Civic and Global Learning

#### **Longitudinal Data:**

GED672	Target: Average Score for the three is 3.0 or higher			
Rubric Content	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	
Criteria				
Personal Reflection	3.87			
Role of Philosophical	3.85			
Perspectives				
Role of Theoretical	3.81			
Perspectives				
Role of	3.91			
Cultural/Political				
Perspectives				

GED689	Target: Average Score for the three is 3.0 or higher			
Rubric Content	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	

Criteria		
Area of Focus	3.81	
Literature Review	3.69	
Data Collection and Analysis	3.51	

## **Conclusions Drawn from Data:**

Target is met. Students are performing at a high level on their competence in career and college-readiness strategies to be used in a K-12 setting.

#### **Learning Outcome 2:**

TRANSFORM: Students engage in ongoing development and competence to apply the knowledge, skills, and dispositions of effective educators in supportive diverse environments.

Transforms candidates by strengthening their core knowledge of planning, developing and implementing systemic comprehensive counseling programs to effectively analyze existing counseling programs, confirm their role as advocates for students and the counseling programs that support the academic, career and social/emotional needs of students using the ASCA Mindsets & Behaviors for Student Success.

#### **Outcome Measure:**

GED 672 Signature Assignment GED 689 Culminating Research Project

### Criteria for Success (if applicable):

Average score for the rubric content criteria is 3.5 or higher (on a scale of 1-4, with 4 being the highest). The rubric performance levels are: Exceeds Standard (4), Meets Standard (3), Below Standard (2), and Far Below Standard (1).

#### Aligned with DQP Learning Areas (circle one or more):

- 1. Specialized Knowledge
- 2. Broad Integrative Knowledge
- 3. Intellectual Skills/Core Competencies
- 4. Applied and Collaborative Learning, and
- 5. Civic and Global Learning

#### **Longitudinal Data:**

GED672	Target: Average Score for the three is 3.0 or higher			
Rubric Content	2016-2017 2017-2018 2018-2019			
Criteria				
Personal Reflection	3.87			
Role of	3.91			
Cultural/Political				
Perspectives				

GED689	Target: Average Score for the three is 3.0 or higher			
Rubric Content Criteria	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	
Impact on Teaching Practice	3.79			
Content	3.84			
Action Plan	3.78			

#### **Conclusions Drawn from Data:**

Target is met. Students are performing at a high level on their competence in their core knowledge of planning, developing and implementing systemic comprehensive counseling programs to effectively analyze existing counseling programs.

#### **Learning Outcome 3:**

EMPOWER: Program completers are empowered to sustain high levels of mastery and demonstrate continual transformation in their professional practice.

Empowers candidates to seek supporting research to anchor their assertions when employed in counseling programs and continually demonstrate mastery of program design that incorporates ethical, comprehensive counseling from crisis intervention to successfully navigating barriers to achievement to small group counseling to coordinating and collaborating with community-based service agencies.

#### **Outcome Measure(s):**

GED 672 Signature Assignment GED 689 Culminating Research Project

#### **Criteria for Success (if applicable):**

Average score for the rubric content criteria is 3.5 or higher (on a scale of 1-4, with 4 being the highest). The rubric performance levels are: Exceeds Standard (4), Meets Standard (3), Below Standard (2), and Far Below Standard (1).

#### Aligned with DQP Learning Areas (circle one or more):

- 1. Specialized Knowledge
- 2. Broad Integrative Knowledge
- 3. Intellectual Skills/Core Competencies
- 4. Applied and Collaborative Learning, and
- 5. Civic and Global Learning

#### **Longitudinal Data:**

GED672	Target: Average Score for the three is 3.0 or higher			
Rubric Content Criteria	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	
Personal Reflection	3.87			
Role of Cultural/Political Perspectives	3.91			
Written Communication	3.67			

GED689	Target: Average Score for the three is 3.0 or higher			
Rubric Content	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	
Criteria				
Impact on Teaching	3.79			
Practice				
Content	3.84			
Action Plan	3.78			
Organization and	3.62			
Clarity				

#### **Conclusions Drawn from Data:**

Target is met. Students are performing at a high level on their competence in using research to guide decisions regarding counseling practices and approaches.				

### **Rubrics Used**

## **GED 672 signature assignment**

	value: 1.00	value: 2.00	value: 3.00	value: 4.00
Personal Reflection on one's own personal philosophy of education with application to everyday experience and effective instructional practice	Demonstrates little or no evidence of an accurate understanding of this concept	Demonstrates a cursory, limited or partial understanding of this concept	Demonstrates an accurate and appropriate understanding of this concept	Consistently and accurately demonstrates a detailed understanding of this concept
Role of Philosophical Perspectives that contribute to personal philosophy formation with application to everyday experience and effective instructional practice	Demonstrates little or no evidence of an accurate understanding of this concept	Demonstrates a cursory, limited or partial understanding of this concept	Demonstrates an accurate and appropriate understanding of this concept	Consistently and accurately demonstrates a detailed understanding of this concept
Role of Theoretical Perspectives that contribute to personal philosophy formation with application to everyday experience and effective instructional practice	Demonstrates little or no evidence of an accurate understanding of this concept	Demonstrates a cursory, limited or partial understanding of this concept	Demonstrates an accurate and appropriate understanding of this concept	Consistently and accurately demonstrates a detailed understanding of this concept
Role of Cultural/Political Perspectives that contribute to personal philosophy formation with	•Demonstrates little or no evidence of an accurate understanding of this concept	Demonstrates a cursory, limited or partial understanding of this concept	Demonstrates an accurate and appropriate understanding of this concept	Consistently and accurately demonstrates a detailed understanding of this

	value: 1.00	value: 2.00	value: 3.00	value: 4.00
application to everyday experience and effective instructional practice				concept
Writing is effective in that grammar and spelling are correct, and thoughts are clear and organized.	Demonstrates little or no evidence of an accurate understanding of this concept	Demonstrates a cursory, limited or partial understanding of this concept	Demonstrates an accurate and appropriate understanding of this concept	Consistently and accurately demonstrates a detailed understanding of this concept

## **GED 689 Culminating Research Project**

# http://www.taskstream.com/GED 689

	value: 1.00	value: 2.00	value: 3.00	value: 4.00
Impact on	Project	<ul> <li>Project</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Project</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Project</li> </ul>
Teaching	describes no	describes little	describes some	describes clear
Practice	transformation of	transformation of	transformation of	transformation of
	candidates'	candidates'	candidates'	candidates'
	knowledge, skills	knowledge, skills	knowledge, skills	knowledge, skills
	and dispositions	and dispositions	and dispositions	and dispositions
	<ul> <li>Project does not</li> </ul>	Project	Project	<ul> <li>Project clearly</li> </ul>
	describe how and	describes very	describes how	describes how
	why research	little of how and	and why research	and why research
	improves student	why research	improves student	improves student
	learning	improves student	learning	learning
	Project does not	learning	Project is	Project clearly
	refer to existing	Project is	situated in and	refers to existing
	body of literature or literature is	vaguely situated in and tied to	tied to existing	body of literature or literature is
	inappropriate	existing body of	body of lite	appropriate
	Парргорпасе	literature		арргорпасе
Content	Report shows	Report shows	Report shows	Report shows a
Comon	no application of	little application of	some application	clear and detailed
	the research	the research	of the research	application of the
	cycle	cycle and shows	cycle that is	research cycle
	*	little connection	connected	and is connected
		throughout the	throughout the	throughout the
		paper	paper	paper
Area of	There is no	The area of	Area of focus is	Clearly stated
Focus	clear area of	focus is overly	somewhat vague	area of focus
	focus	broad or narrow	<ul> <li>Research</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Research</li> </ul>
	Research	Research	questions are	questions are

	value: 1.00	value: 2.00	value: 3.00	value: 4.00
	questions are	questions are	somewhat vague	clearly written
	inappropriate	unclear		and appropriate
Literature	• Few or no	• 3 recent	• 3 to 5 recent	• 5 or more
Review	citations	sources cited	sources cited	recent (5 years)
	• Less than 10	At least 10 total	At least 20 total	sources cited
	total sources	sources	sources	At least 20 total
	Citations are not	Some are	Most sources	sources
	in the proper format	relevant and credible	are relevant and credible	All sources are relevant and
	Tomat	Some citations	Most citations	credible
		are correctly	are correctly	All citations are
		made according	made according	correctly made
		to APA format	to APA format	according to APA
				format
Data	Little or no	• Some	Description of	Clear
Collection	description of	description of	target population	description of
and Analysis	target population	target population	<ul> <li>Some details of</li> </ul>	target population
	Little or no	Minimal	how data was	Detailed
	description of	description of	collected	description of
	how the data was	how data was	Utilizes at least	how data was
	collected  • Utilizes one	<ul><li>collected</li><li>Utilizes one or</li></ul>	two sources of data	<ul><li>collected</li><li>Utilizes multiple</li></ul>
	source of data	two sources of	Analysis of the	data sources
	No analysis of	data	data mentions	Detailed
	the data	Little analysis of	themes and	analysis of the
		the data	patterns	data provides
				identification of
				themes and
			_	patterns
Action Plan	• No	Little connection	• Some	Specific and
	recommendations	between findings	connection	clear connection
	or action plan	of the study, recommendations	between findings of the study,	between findings of the study,
		or action plan	recommendations	recommendations
		and the original	or action plan	or action plan
		questions	and the original	and the original
		Most	questions	questions
		components of	Elements of the	
		the action plan	action plan are	
		are missing	missing	
Organization	Narrative is	Narrative is	Narrative is	Narrative is
and Clarity	unclear and	unclear, lacks	somewhat clear,	clear, organized
	difficult to follow	organization	organized and	and succinct
		Statements are     rarely supported.	<ul><li>succinct</li><li>Statements are</li></ul>	Statements are
		rarely supported by evidence from	mostly supported	all supported by evidence from the
		the research	by evidence from	research
			the research	. 55541011
Format and	Report does not	Report	Report mostly	Report follows

	value: 1.00	value: 2.00	value: 3.00	value: 4.00
Quality	follow APA format and/or has many grammatical, punctuation or spelling errors	somewhat follows APA format with some (more than 5)grammatical, punctuation or spelling errors	follows APA format with minimal (fewer than 5) grammatical, punctuation or spelling errors	APA format with no grammatical, punctuation or spelling errors
Appendices	Relevant documents are missing	• Some relevant documents included	Most relevant documents included	All Relevant documents included