<u>Masters in Education</u> <u>Multiple Subject Preliminary Credential</u> <u>Multiple Year Assessment Plan</u> <u>2015-2016</u>

The California Commission of Teacher Credentialing (CTC), American Association of Colleges for Teacher Education (AACTE), National Council of Accreditation for Teacher Education (NCATE) and the Council of Accreditation for Educator Preparation (CAEP) requires universities offering credentials to biennially undertake a thorough review of the assessment processes and procedures to ensure program quality and ongoing improvement. This recursive seven year program improvement cycle and accreditation cycle provide the structure for ongoing, in depth, internal and external review process.

The following table lists the activities of these combined accreditation cycles. All data collected through Taskstream are disaggregated by program and regional center, and ultimately leads to the development of the required organization and program assessments and reports. Based upon the findings of these studies and reports, the programmatic changes and improvements are biennially implemented to improve candidate performance, program quality, and program operations.

Academic	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20
Year							
Cycle Year	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
General	Program	Program	Program	Program	Program	Program	Program
Accreditation	Data	Data	Data	Data	Data	Data	Data
Activities for	Collection	Collection	Collection	Collection	Collection	Collection	Collection
Education Accreditation Organizations	SOE Analysis & recommend revised practices	Implement revised practices	SOE Analysis & recommend revised practices	Implement revised practices	SOE Analysis & recommend revised practices	Implement revised practices VISIT: CTC CAEP NCATE	CTC & NCATE FOLLOW UP AS NEEDED
Due to CTC	Biennial Report		Biennial Report		Biennial Report		
Due to	PEDS	PEDS	PEDS	PEDS	PEDS	PEDS	PEDS
AACTE	Report	Report	Report	Report	Report	Report	Report
Due to	CAEP	CAEP	CAEP	CAEP	CAEP	CAEP	CAEP
NCATE/	Report	Report	Report	Report	Report	Report	Report
САЕР					CAEP 6 yr. Institutional Report		

Methods of Assessment and Criteria for Success

Though analyzed biennially, data is collected for each year of the assessment cycle. Focus groups representing staff, faculty, clinical supervisors, and advisory councils have worked together to discern a set of balanced assessment measures for each program/licensure. Faculty responsible for evaluating assessments are trained and calibrated to strengthen the validity of the process. These assessment measures are aligned with the Mission and Vision of the University as well as with the respective CTC standards. (Please see Student Learning Outcomes and

Curriculum Maps for this alignment.) Using Taskstream as the primary data management system, the program collects ongoing data from these assessments to gauge candidates' progress throughout their course of study and ensure CTC program standards are met.

Each direct signature assessment is evaluated using a four point rubric. The direct assessments for this program and supporting rubrics are listed below. All candidates are expected to be at the "proficient level of performance with a score of "3" or above in each rubric criterion.

Direct Measures:

Evaluation Instrument for Credential	Description	Standards Assessed
EDU 600 Foundations of Education	Candidates respond to broad	8A, 5, 6, 9, 3, 4, 12, 13, 10, 11,
and Learning Theory Signature	questions during an in-class	7A
Assessment	reflection. This reflection includes	
	knowledge gained throughout the	PLO 1, 2, 3 Introduced
	course.	
EDU 610 Methods of Teaching	Candidates respond to broad	6, 7A, 9, 10, 16
Reading and Writing Signature	questions during an in-class	
Assessment	reflection. This reflection includes	PLO 1, 2, 3 Developed
	knowledge gained throughout the	
	course.	
TPA 1 – Subject-specific pedagogy	Candidates respond to a	PLO 1, 2, 3 Developed
	performance task in the area of	
	Subject-Specific Pedagogy.	
TPA 2 – Designing instruction	Candidates respond to a	PLO 1, 3 Developed 2 Mastered
	performance task in the area of	
	Designing Instruction.	
TPA 3– Assessing Learning	Candidates respond to a	PLO 1, 2 Developed 3 Mastered
	performance task in the area of	
	Assessing Learning.	
TPA 4–Culminating Teaching	Candidates respond to a	PLO 1, 2, 3 Mastered
Experience	performance task in the area of	
	Culminating Teaching Experience.	