

Name of Program Here
Name of Credential Here
Multiple Year Assessment Plan
Summer 2014

The California Commission of Teacher Credentialing (CTC), American Association of Colleges for Teacher Education (AACTE), National Council of Accreditation for Teacher Education (NCATE) and the Council of Accreditation for Educator Preparation (CAEP) requires universities offering credentials to annually undertake a thorough review of the assessment processes and procedures to ensure program quality and ongoing improvement. The recursive seven year program improvement cycle and accreditation cycle provide the structure for ongoing, in depth, internal and external review process.

The following table lists the activities of these combined accreditation cycles. All data collected through Taskstream are disaggregated by program and regional center, and ultimately leads to the development of the required organization and program assessments and reports. Based upon the findings of these studies and reports, the programmatic changes and improvements are implemented to improve candidate performance, program quality, and program operations.

Academic Year	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20
Cycle Year	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
General Accreditation Activities for Education Accreditation Organizations	Program Data Collection SOE Analysis & recommend revised practices	Program Data Collection Implement revised practices	Program Data Collection SOE Analysis & recommend revised practices	Program Data Collection Implement revised practices	Program Data Collection SOE Analysis & recommend revised practices	Program Data Collection Implement revised practices VISIT: CTC CAEP NCATE	Program Data Collection CTC & NCATE FOLLOW UP AS NEEDED
Due to CTC	Biennial Report		Biennial Report		Biennial Report		
Due to AACTE	PEDS Report	PEDS Report	PEDS Report	PEDS Report	PEDS Report	PEDS Report	PEDS Report
Due to NCATE/ CAEP	CAEP Report	CAEP Report	CAEP Report	CAEP Report	CAEP Report CAEP 6 yr. Institutional Report	CAEP Report	CAEP Report

Methods of Assessment and Criteria for Success

For each year of the assessment cycle, data is collected through internal and external sources. Focus groups representing staff, faculty, clinical supervisors, and advisory councils have worked together to discern a set of balanced assessment measures. These assessment measures are aligned with the Mission and Vision of the University as well as with the respective CTC standards. (Please see Candidate Learning Outcomes and Curriculum Maps for this alignment.) Using TaskStream as the primary data storage system, the program collects ongoing data from

these assessments to gauge candidates' progress throughout their course of study and ensure CTC program standards are met. Each signature assignment is evaluated using a supporting rubric. The key assessments for this program and supporting rubrics are listed below. All candidates are expected to be at the "proficient level of performance with a score of "3" or above in each rubric criteria. Following these tables, are the accompanying rubrics.

Direct Measures:

Evaluation Instrument	Description	Standards Assessed

Indirect Measures:

Evaluation Instrument	Description	Use

Key Assessment Rubrics:

Rubrics can be found in the Use of Evidence Wheel. (Not in previous years' wheel.) ?