Rubric for lab reports completed in PLNU General Education lab courses (BIO 101, 103, 105, 210, and 211) for assessment of GE Learning outcomes 1a, 1d, and 1e:

Written: Students will be able to effectively express ideas and information to others through written communication.

Critical Thinking: Students will be able to examine, critique and synthesize information in order to arrive at reasoned conclusions.

Quantitative Reasoning: Students will be able to solve problems that are quantitative in nature.

Component	Initial (2 pts.)	Emerging (3 pts.)	Developed (4 pts.)	Highly Developed (5 pts.)
Introduction and hypothesis (Written)	No indication of purpose of the research Provides no background information Hypothesis is missing	 Some indication of purpose of the research Provides some background information, but some is inaccurate or irrelevant Provides the hypothesis, but the hypothesis is unclear /confusing 	 Clearly articulates the purpose of the research Provides some accurate and relevant background information Clearly identifies the hypothesis 	 Clearly articulates the purpose of the research, beyond the narrow topic Provides excellent background information Clearly identifies the hypothesis and makes a prediction
Methods and Materials	Methods are unclear and incomplete and materials are not sufficiently identified No variables correctly identified	Methods are basically explained, but incomplete with some materials not included Some variables correctly identified	 Explains methods and materials, but missing some details Most variables correctly identified 	Clearly and completely explains methods and materials All variables correctly identified
Results (Quantitative reasoning)	 Graphs and tables are poorly/inaccurately done No mention of tables/graphs in text Many opinion statements 	 Graphs and tables are inaccurate/missing labels with some errors Summarizes tables and graphs in text No clear reference to specific tables/graphs in text Obvious opinion statements 	 Graphs and tables are adequate but some labels/titles missing Generally accurately summarizes the tables and graphs in text Clear reference to some tables/graphs in the text Some opinion statements 	 Graphs and tables are well done and accurately labeled and titled Accurately summarizes the tables and graphs in text Clear reference to all tables/graphs in the text No opinion statements
Conclusion(s) (Critical thinking)	 Fails to identify conclusions, or conclusion is a simplistic summary with no connection to original hypothesis No mention of problems with the study No consideration of future research 	 Identifies conclusions and refers to some specific pieces of evidence, but no connection to original hypothesis Minimal consideration of problems with the study Minimal mention of future research 	 Clearly links evidence with the conclusion Some consideration of problems with the study Some mention of possible future research 	 Clearly links evidence with the conclusion and the original hypothesis Thorough consideration of problems with the study Several ideas for possible future research
Writing quality (Written)	No sections labeled Simplistic and/or unclear writing Consistent use of present or future tense Many errors	Some sections clearly labeled Unclear writing Mostly uses present or future tense Some errors	 Most sections clearly labeled Clear writing Sometimes uses past tense Few errors 	All sections clearly labeled Clear and sophisticated writing using advanced vocabulary; enjoyable to read Consistently uses past tense No errors