# PLNU forward

# **Department of Communication and Theatre**

#### **COM 465: Communication Theories and Research**

3 units

# **Spring 2019**

Meeting days: Tuesday and Thursday	Instructor title and name: Dr. Jeff Birdsell
Meeting times: 9:30-10:45am	<b>Phone:</b> 619-849-3370
	Office location and hours: Cabrillo 204
Meeting location: Bond Academic Center	Mondays and Tuesdays 1-3
151	Thursdays 8:15-9:15 (other times available by
	appointment)
Final Exam: Thursday, May 2	E-mail: jebirdse@pointloma.edu
10:30am-1pm	Twitter: @ProfBirdsell

# **PLNU Mission**

# To Teach ~ To Shape ~ To Send

Point Loma Nazarene University exists to provide higher education in a vital Christian community where minds are engaged and challenged, character is modeled and formed, and service becomes an expression of faith. Being of Wesleyan heritage, we aspire to be a learning community where grace is foundational, truth is pursued, and holiness is a way of life.

# **COURSE DESCRIPTION**

Survey of contemporary approaches to human communication emphasizing theory development, epistemological perspectives, meaning negotiation, and research methodology. Participants complete an original research project that is publicly presented in a poster session.

#### **COURSE LEARNING OUTCOMES**

Successful completion of the course should enable you to:

- Develop your own definition of communication rooted in disciplinary scholarship
- Analyze qualitative and quantitative data
- Compare communication theories and show their utility in everyday life and research
- Execute original communication research through the justification of its context, designing of methodology, interpretation of data, and comparison of results to communication theory

# **PROGRAM LEARNING OUTCOMES**

As a required course for all majors in the Department of Communication and Theatre, please visit the curriculum maps at <a href="http://assessment.pointloma.edu/academic-assessment/department-of-communication-theatre/curriculum-maps/">http://assessment.pointloma.edu/academic-assessment/department-of-communication-theatre/curriculum-maps/</a> to identify which PLOs this class addresses for your major.

# REQUIRED TEXTS AND RECOMMENDED STUDY RESOURCES

Davis, C. S., Powell, H., & Lachlan, K. L. (2013). *Straight talk about communication research methods* (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.). Dubuque, IA: Kendall Hunt.

#### **ASSESSMENT AND GRADING**

During the course of the semester you will be responsible for the following written assignments. See the descriptions below and the detailed instructions at the end of the syllabus for more details.

Assignment Distribution	on:				Grade S	Scale		
Definition Paper	30 pts		Perc	entag	e	Po	ints	
Theory Summary	60 pts	Α	93	-	100	930	-	1000
Method Summary	60 pts	A-	90	-	92.9	900	-	929
Project Proposal	30 pts	B+	87	-	89.9	870	-	899
Annotated Bibliography Method Presentation	60 pts 90 pts	В	83	-	86.9	830	-	869
Theory Presentation	90 pts	B-	80	-	82.9	800	-	829
Lit Review, Methods, Works Cited Draft	110 pts	C+	77	-	79.9	770	-	799
Lit Review, Methods, & Works	140 pts	С	73	-	76.9	730	-	769
Cited Revision + Results &	•	C-	70	-	72.9	700	-	729
Discussion Draft		D+	67	-	69.9	670	-	699
Poster Session	90 pts	D	63	-	66.9	630	-	669
Complete Research Paper	170 pts	D-	60	-	62.9	600	-	629
In-class activities	70 pts	F	0	-	59.9	0	-	599
(completed activities÷ total activities)								

#### **INCOMPLETES AND LATE ASSIGNMENTS**

All assignments are to be submitted/turned in by the beginning of the class session when they are due—including assignments posted in Canvas. Incompletes will only be assigned in extremely unusual circumstances. Assignments turned in past due will be accepted but the grade will be reduced by 10% as soon as the assignment is tardy and another 10% for every 24 hour period after that.

# **GRADING**

Regardless of your prior experiences with grading, I am insistent that a "C" is considered "average" or acceptable work, grades of "B" and "A" are awarded for above average and exceptional work respectively. Normally, those students receiving A's represent the top 10 - 25% of a class.

There is a 24-7 rule in this class: you must wait at least 24 hours after receiving a grade to discuss it, and no later than 7 days. Any discussion of grades must occur outside of class time. I will gladly talk about assignments (non-grade issues) past the 7-day period. To avoid confusion, you must (a) document the error in writing, (b) state why you think it is an error (based on the syllabus (course expectations, grading policies, etc.) and specific assignment details.), and (c) suggest what you think should be done to rectify the error (in cases where this is not obvious). These matters will be dealt with promptly. (If an error had been made which benefits you, please accept it as a "gift" which does not need to be reported.)

# **FINAL EXAMINATION POLICY**

Successful completion of this class requires taking the final examination **on its scheduled day**. The final examination schedule is posted on the <u>Class Schedules</u> site. No requests for early examinations or alternative days will be approved.

#### PLNU COPYRIGHT POLICY

Point Loma Nazarene University, as a non-profit educational institution, is entitled by law to use materials protected by the US Copyright Act for classroom education. Any use of those materials outside the class may violate the law.

#### PLNU ACADEMIC HONESTY POLICY

Students should demonstrate academic honesty by doing original work and by giving appropriate credit to the ideas of others. Academic <u>dis</u>honesty is the act of presenting information, ideas, and/or concepts as one's own when in reality they are the results of another person's creativity and effort. A faculty member who believes a situation involving academic dishonesty has been detected may assign a failing grade for that assignment or examination, or, depending on the seriousness of the offense, for the course. Faculty should follow and students may appeal using the procedure in the university Catalog. See <u>Academic Policies</u> for definitions of kinds of academic dishonesty and for further policy information.

# PLNU ACADEMIC ACCOMMODATIONS POLICY

If you have a diagnosed disability, please contact PLNU's Disability Resource Center (DRC) to demonstrate need and to register for accommodation by phone at 619-849-2486 or by e-mail at <a href="mailto:DRC@pointloma.edu">DRC@pointloma.edu</a>. See <a href="mailto:Disability Resource Center">Disability Resource Center</a> for additional information.

# PLNU ATTENDANCE AND PARTICIPATION POLICY

Regular and punctual attendance at all classes is considered essential to optimum academic achievement. If the student is absent from more than 10 percent of class meetings, the faculty member can file a written report which may result in de-enrollment. If the absences exceed 20 percent, the student may be de-enrolled without notice until the university drop date or, after that

date, receive the appropriate grade for their work and participation. See <u>Academic Policies</u> in the Undergraduate Academic Catalog.

# **CLASS ATTENDANCE AND PARTICIPATION**

Your attendance will be recorded when you sign in to the daily form that is passed around the classroom. You are responsible to ensure that your signature has been collected on the form. There is not stronger evidence that you were in attendance. If you did not sign in, you will be counted as having missed class regardless of other evidence you may provide.

Missing class will negatively impact your grade in the following way:

If you miss 0 classes = No impact on final grade

If you miss 1 class = No impact on final grade

If you miss 2 classes = 2% reduction of final grade

If you miss 3 classes = 3% reduction of final grade

If you miss 4 classes = 4% reduction of final grade

If you miss 5 classes = 5% reduction of final grade, etc.

At various points in the semester you will be asked to complete in-class activities. Your submissions in response to these activities will contribute to your grade (see Assessment and Grading). These activities may not be made up regardless of the reason for the absence.

Failure to attend the class meeting during Finals Week will be counted as missing 3 classes and factored in to attendance reductions.

# INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGY

While technological resources have made it possible to exchange messages at a greater rate, particularly through email, please remember that just because you're available to send a message doesn't mean I'm available to respond to those messages. I especially frown upon frantic emails sent hours before an exam or assignment. I typically respond to email within one 24-hour school day (M – F). For example, if you email me at 1:00pm on Wednesday afternoon, I will typically respond by 1:00pm on or before 1:00pm on Thursday afternoon. If you email me at 9:00 am on Saturday morning, I will typically respond on or before 9 am on Tuesday morning. It is your responsibility to plan ahead.

Research summaries I have read, along with my own experience as both an instructor and a student, suggest that taking notes by hand on paper improves information retention. If you are taking notes on a laptop or tablet, please disable your connection to the internet unless otherwise instructed.

Please silence your phone when you are in class. If a call or text interrupts our class session, I reserve the right to answer the phone on your behalf and/or make fun of an unoriginal ringtone.

# **ASSIGNMENTS AND READINGS**

All assignments must be typed (double-spaced, 8.5" x 11" paper, one-side, 225-250 wpp, 1" margins, 12pt font, Times New Roman or Courier typeface) unless otherwise instructed. Use the APA style guide where necessary (see https://owl.english.purdue.edu/owl/resource/560/01/ for formatting assistance). Written assignments and readings are due at the beginning of class on the appropriate day. Quizzes and online discussions are due according to the times listed in Canvas and on the attached calendar. The most likely time for your computer/internet connection to crash or printer to die/run out of ink, is minutes before an assignment is due. Please plan ahead.

# ASSIGNMENT INSTRUCTIONS, RUBRICS, AND CALENDAR

Refer to the attached pages for assignment instructions, rubrics, and the course calendar. Any modifications to these expectations and dates will be announced in-class or via email and updated on Canvas.

# **Defining Communication Paper**

The goal of this 500-750 word paper is for you to establish your own definition of communication. To do so, you should synthesize course readings to identify key areas of disagreement, contested elements, and/or tensions between definitions you have read. Once those have been identified, take a position on those spectrums and present your definition. End the paper by providing a real world or hypothetical example of something that would fit your definition and an example of an occurrence that would not "count" as communication, using your definition.

# **DEFINING COMMUNICATION GRADING SHEET**

Name:								Sco	re:	/30
Grading Scale:										
1- Mis	ssing, not re	elevant	to the a	ssignme	ent					
	Improvem			_		equirem	nents as	presen	ted	
4, 5, 6-	Good, ave	rage wo	rk, satis	fies req	uireme	nts of a	ssignme	ent and	collegia	ate expectations
7 or 8-	Above ave	rage an	d super	ior work	k, excee	ds mini	mum re	equirem	ents, sl	nows depth of
	thought, a				•			•	ŕ	·
9-	Exception	•		_	regard.	far exce	eds exp	ectatio	ns	
-	<b>p</b>			, , ,	-6					
MECHANICS:										
Is this the first	draft of th	e paper	or has i	it been '	"polishe	ed" and	free fro	m spell	ing. svr	ntax. and
					=					ear to be thrown
together at the		•					-		парро	ar to be timown
(http://owl.en				•	•		A TOTTI			
( <u>iittp://owi.em</u>	giisii.purut	ie.euu/c	JWI/TES	Juice/ J	00/01/					
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	
DEPTH OF ANA						_				
		lear rea	sons to	support	t vour n	osition	on the	topic? F	lave vo	u used sources to
	-				-			-	-	what occurred
with anecdota	_	Commi	imeacio	ii tiicoi j	y 01 13 ti	ins merc	ciy your	mpres	31011 01	What occurred
with anecasta	т зарроге.									
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	
IMPLICATIONS	S:									
Is it clear to th		nat vou k	nave giv	en a su	ccinct r	esponse	2 Have	vou cle	arlv laid	d out what it
means if your		•	_			-		•	•	
means ii your	position is	correct.	Have	you reco	551112Ca	ana rek	Jutteu	эррозііі	ь роше	or view.
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	
OVERALL IMPA		_	3	-	,	U	,	0	,	
		, acract	اميرام من	ina alari	+, of +b	ought a	dan+h a	fanalys	ia vivia	Luwiting stulo
This is a functi	-	· -		_	-	_	-	-		
	_								-	ression that you
nave done an	excellent Jo	ob ot pre	eparing	and pre	senting	tne ass	signmer	it in pro	per tor	m and on time?
	4	3	•	<b>A</b>	_	•	-	c	•	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	

# **Theory Summary**

For this paper, you are to read and gain an understanding of one of the communication theories posted on Canvas. Your understanding must be demonstrated in the form of a 750-1000 word summary. The summary should include:

- A) An identification of the problem/context which is addressed by the theory. What is the theorist trying to solve? Additionally, what theoretical perspective or paradigm does the theory assume?
- B) What does the theory assert to be true about communication? Provide sufficient detail including, but not limited to, the primary thesis of the theory, the key researchers of the theory, where it emerged in time, and how it's been tested or adapted.
- C) Apply the basic ideas of the theory to some relevant, actual communication situation in your life.
- D) Provide a final commentary and critique of the theory. How useful is it? What are its limitations? Why?

# THEORY SUMMARY GRADING SHEET

Name:			<del></del>					Score	: /60	
7 or 8- Above thoug	ovement, average average and solutional solu	it neede ge work ge and s ilysis, an scholars paper or you follo it? Are c	ed, does , satisfie superior ad insigh hip in e has it b wed all itations	not sates required work,	rement exceeds gard, fa olished tions in ete and	s of assistantial of the syll	ignmen um req ds expe ee from abus or	t and courrement ctations	ollegiate expectation nts, shows depth of s	
DEPTH OF ANALYSIS  Does the paper proving round your argume with anecdotal supp	ide clea ent in co				-			-	-	
SUMMARY/APPLICATION  Does the response a conceptual context of the context of the context of the conceptual context of the	ccurate for the	reader t	o under	stand?	=				_	ıry?
OVERALL IMPACT: This is a function of choice of supporting have done an excellent	materi	ials, and	attenti	on to d	etail. Do	oes the	paper l	eave th	e impression that yo	

# **Method Summary and Article Deconstruction**

For this paper, you to read and gain an understanding of one of the communication methods outlined in Davis, Powell, and Lachlan (2013) (See Chapters 10, 11, 12, and 14). Your understanding must be demonstrated in the form of a summary. That includes:

- A) Identifying of the strengths and weaknesses of the method. Why/when should someone use this method? Why/when shouldn't someone use this method?
- B) A "checklist" that outlines the steps of successfully executing research using this method.

The second part of the assignment is to deconstruct a published, scholarly, peer-reviewed article that uses this method. You may select one that is referenced in the chapter you've selected or find one independently. "Deconstructing" the article will require you to create a "functional outline" of the article by identifying what each paragraph "does" (instead of summarizing what it "says"). Does the paragraph exist to provide a definition? Or transition between concepts? Or identify the sample used (etc.)?

Conclude your submission by identifying any discrepancies between how the book says the method should be performed and how the authors of your article described it.

# METHOD SUMMARY AND ARTICLE DECONSTRUCTION GRADING SHEET

Name:								Scor	e:	/60
Grading Scale:										
	ing, not re	elevant t	o the a	ssignme	ent					
	mprovem			_		equirem	ents as	present	ted	
4, 5, 6- G	iood, avei	rage wo	rk, satis	fies req	uireme	nts of a	ssignme	ent and	collegiat	te expectations
7 or 8- A	bove ave	rage and	d super	ior work	k, excee	ds mini	mum re	quirem	ents, sho	ows depth of
t	hought, a	nalysis, a	and ins	ight						
9- E	xceptiona	al schola	rship in	every r	egard,	far exce	eds exp	ectatio	าร	
MECHANICS:										
Is this the first d	raft of the	e paper	or has i	it been "	'polishe	ed" and	free fro	m spelli	ng, synt	ax, and
grammatical err										
together at the		•				•			• • •	
(http://owl.engl	ish.purdu	e.edu/o	wl/reso	ource/5	60/01/)					
,										
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	
SUMMARY/DEC	ONSTRU	CTION:								
Does the respon	ise accura	ately sun	nmarize	e the me	ethod?	Is the c	hecklist	comple	te and o	lear? Is the
article used app	ropriate f	or the a	ssignm	ent? Is	the dec	onstruc	tion the	orough?	Are dis	crepancies
identified?										
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	
OVERALL IMPA										
This is a function	-	-		_	=	_	-			
choice of suppo	-								•	•
have done an ex	cellent jo	b of pre	paring	and pre	senting	the ass	ignmen	t in pro	per form	າ and on time?
		_	_	_	_	•	_	•	•	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	

# **Project Proposal**

In this presentation, you will propose an original research project that addresses the following areas.

1) An explanation of the need for this study which may include answers to the following questions:

**Research goals:** What problem(s) will the study address, and why is it important to address this? What intellectual, practical, or personal goals will this study attempt to accomplish? **Conceptual framework:** What are the most important theories, ideas, and knowledge (personal and scholarly) that inform this study? How have these shaped the study? What do we not know that your study will address?

**Research questions/Hypotheses:** What do you want to learn by doing this study? What are your independent and dependent variables?

2) An explanation of how your study will satisfy the need you've outlined which may include answers to the following questions:

**Site and participant selection:** What setting(s) will you study, and/or what individuals will you include in your study?

**Validity:** What do you see as the most important potential threats to the validity of your conclusions? What will you do to address these? What limitations on generalizability do you see?

3) A visualization of what the process would look like if someone joined your team

Data collection: How do you plan to collect your data and what data will you collect? How will these data enable you to answer your research questions/hypotheses?

**Data analysis:** What strategies and techniques will you use to make sense of your data? Why have you chosen these?

**Timeline:** What are the major segments of your project (including research, data gathering, IRB approval, writing, data input, statistical analysis, etc.) and when will they be accomplished?

4) A visualization of what you'd like your group composition and commitment level to be **Size:** How many coauthors do you need to accomplish this study?

**Skills:** What are you strongest in? What skills are you hoping other team members bring to the project?

**Commitment:** Will this project be the only one you have to worry about this semester? Are you juggling an internship and a puppy while enrolled in 465? Be clear how much you are able to commit to this study.

# PROJECT PROPOSAL GRADING SHEET

Name:								Scor	e:	/30
7 or 8- Abov thou	oveme I, avera e avera ght, an	nt need age wor age and alysis, a	ed, dook, satis superi	es not s fies req for work ght	atisfy rouille uireme k, excee	nts of a	ssignm mum re	ent and o	collegia ents, sh	te expectations ows depth of
MECHANICS:  Is this the first draft	of the	paper o	or has i	t been '	"polishe	ed" and	free fro	om spelli	ng, syn	tax, and
grammatical errors?	Have	you foll	owed a	all instru	uctions	in the s	yllabus	or does		
together at the last ( <a href="http://owl.english.j">http://owl.english.j</a>							A form	?		
(intep.//own.engilsh.)	paraac	cuu/o	WITTESC	ource/ 5	<u>00/01/</u> )					
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	
PROPOSAL CONTENT Was the need for the Were sampling, met the claims?	is stud	-	-							·
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	
OVERALL IMPACT: This is a function of choice of supporting have done an excell	g mate	rials, an	d atter	ntion to	detail.	Does th	e pape	r leave tl	ne impi	ression that you
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	

# **Annotated Bibliography**

Find **20** scholarly/academic sources relevant to your research topics. Using APA formatting, list all 20 sources in your annotated bibliography. Your source list should include:

5 sources that pertain to the theory/theories that frame your study

5 sources that pertain to your method/measures

5 sources that define or advance knowledge about your independent variable(s)

5 sources that define or advance knowledge about your dependent variable

Additionally, you should find **5 sources** that address the context/environment of your study. These can come from nonacademic sources but should be highly credible (sources like The Chronicle of Higher Education, UT San Diego, Sports Illustrated, Marie Claire, or Total Carp Magazine would likely be more credible than about.com, BuzzFeed, or The Point Weekly). These sources should be formatted using APA guidelines as well.

Each article listed should include the following:

- APA citation for article
- Which of the 5 categories (theory, method, IV, DV, or context) the article fits
- Why the article is valuable
- How the article may compare/contrast with other information in the bibliography

The final document should also include your research question and/or hypotheses

The annotated bibliography will be evaluated in terms of:

- Quality and relevance of sources
- APA format
- Fulfilling requirements in terms of content and length (working creatively within assignment constraints)
- Writing clarity, precision, focus, spelling & grammar, etc.

# ANNOTATED BIBLIOGRAPHY GRADING SHEET

Name(s):				_				Scoi	e:	/60
7 or 8- Abo thou	roveme d, avera ve aver ught, an	nt need age wor age and alysis, a	led, do k, satis I superi and insi	es not s fies req for work	atisfy re uireme x, excee	nts of a ds mini	ssignme	ent and quirem	collegia ents, sh	te expectations ows depth of
MECHANICS: Is this the first draft grammatical errors together at the last (http://owl.english	? Have t mome	you fol nt? Are	lowed a	all instruns compource/56	octions olete an 60/01/)	in the s Id in AP	yllabus	or does	-	-
SOURCES:  Are all sources schoquestion/hypothes article or is it mere	olarly/a sis? Doe ly a des	cademi es the a criptior	c/credi nnotati n of it?	ble? Ho	ow relevear to a	/ant are dequat	e the sou ely sum	urces se marize a	lected t	•
OVERALL IMPACT: This is a function o attention to detail. preparing and pres	f many Does tl	ne assig	nment	leave th	ne impr	ession t	that you	have d		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	
					Page 15					

#### **Method Presentation**

This presentation will allow your group to get feedback on the methodological decisions you have made. Your group will have 15-20 minutes to address the following questions (HINT: your individual Methods papers from earlier in the semester may help address some of these):

What method will you be using? How did you arrive at that decision? What are some "best practices" of the method? Has someone done something similar to what you're proposing?

What measures will you be using? How have these measures been used in the past? How do you know they are reliable and/or valid? How do you plan to use them? What modifications or justifications will you need to make?

**Hypotheses/Research Questions.** How do you plan to test your hypotheses/answer research questions? What will you be looking for in order to make claims about them?

		WEIF	HOD PRESEN	ITATION EV	ALUATION F			_
Name:						Score:	/	<b>'</b> 90
Grading Scale:								
1- Mis	ssing, not re	levant to th	e assignmer	nt				
2 or 3-	Improveme	ent needed,	does not sa	itisfy require	ements as pr	esented		
4, 5, 6-	Good, aver	age work, s	atisfies requ	irements of	assignment	and collegiate	e expecta	tions
7 or 8-	Above aver	age and su	perior work,	exceeds mi	nimum requ	irements, sho	ws depth	of thought,
	analysis, ar	nd insight						
9-	Exceptiona	l scholarshi	p in every re	egard, far ex	ceeds expec	tations		
INTRODUCTION	<u>\</u> :							
Did the introdu	ction grab a	ttention an	d engage th	e audience d	or just begin	? Was the issu	ue/contex	t/problem
defined and the	e presentatio	on previewe	ed? Was the	e philosophi	cal perspecti	ve consistent	with this	method
identified and e	explained?							
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
METHOD:								
Was the metho	d well sumn	narized and	explained o	r left vague	? Was the e	xplanation co	mprehens	sive or were
things left out?	Were the k	ey research	ers identifie	ed and centr	al concepts	clarified? Hav	e you exp	lained it with
enough detail fo	or others to	understand	<del>!</del> ?					
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
<b>MEASURES</b> :								
Were measures	presented	and justifie	d as reliable	and valid?	Were modifi	cations to exi	sting met	hods
explained? Did	the selected	d measures	appear app	ropriate to a	address the I	nypotheses or	research	questions?
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
H/RQ Analysis:								
Did you clearly	articulate yo	our plan for	analyzing yo	our data? Is	the plan app	ropriate give	n your hy	ootheses or
research questi	ons?							
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
<b>DELIVERY</b> :								
Were the prese	nters verba	lly fluid or v	vere there m	nany fillers (	e.g., um, ah,	you know, lik	e, etc.)? '	Was
movement purp	poseful or fr	enetic? Dic	presenters	exhibit ene	rgy, make ey	e contact wit	h all audie	ence members,
and smile often	? Was the t	eam well re	ehearsed an	d coordinate	ed or did ped	ple not know	what to	do? Was
appearance nea	at and profe	ssional or to	oo casual?					
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
USE OF TIME:								
Was the presen	tation lengt	h appropria	ite? Was th	e time avail	able manage	d well so that	various a	ctivities could
be included and	d still cover	everything?						
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
OVERALL IMPA	<u>.CT</u> :							
This is a combin		the items a	bove and th	ey synergy o	reated wher	n they are put	together	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9

# **Theory Presentation**

This presentation will allow your group to get feedback on the theoretical decisions you have made. Your group will have 15-20 minutes to address the following questions (HINT: your individual Theory papers from earlier in the semester may help address some of these):

**Theory.** What theory will you be using to frame your study? What does the theory assert (broadly)? What is the theory's origin? How did it emerge? How has it been tested, explored, and advanced?

**Model.** What does the theory "look" like? Draw a model/diagram to help your classmates visualize the theory.

**Application.** Why did you choose this theory? Were others considered? What variables or contexts are common in research that employs this theory? How does/do your hypothesis/hypotheses or research question(s) emerge from this theory?

# THEORY PRESENTATION EVALUATION FORM

Grading Scale:  2- Missing, not relevant to the assignment 2 or 3- Improvement needed, does not satisfy requirements as presented 4, 5, 6- Good, average work, satisfies requirements of assignment and collegiate expectations 7 or 8- Above average and superior work, exceeds minimum requirements, shows depth of thought, analysis, and insight 9- Exceptional scholarship in every regard, far exceeds expectations  INTRODUCTION:  Did the introduction grab attention and engage the audience or just begin? Was the issue/context/problem defined and the presentation previewed? Was the philosophical perspective consistent with this theory identified and explained?  1
2 or 3- Improvement needed, does not satisfy requirements as presented 4, 5, 6- Good, average work, satisfies requirements of assignment and collegiate expectations 7 or 8- Above average and superior work, exceeds minimum requirements, shows depth of thought, analysis, and insight 9- Exceptional scholarship in every regard, far exceeds expectations  INTRODUCTION:  Did the introduction grab attention and engage the audience or just begin? Was the issue/context/problem defined and the presentation previewed? Was the philosophical perspective consistent with this theory identified and explained?  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9  THEORY:  Was the theory well summarized and explained or left vague? Was the explanation comprehensive or were things left out? Were the key researchers identified and central concepts clarified?  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9  MODEL:  Was the model visually appealing? Did it make sense given your description of the theory? Was the model well integrated or just tacked on?  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9  DELIVERY:  Were the presenters verbally fluid or were there many fillers (e.g., um, ah, you know, like, etc.)? Was movement purposeful or frenetic? Did presenters exhibit energy, make eye contact with all audience members, and smile often? Was the team well rehearsed and coordinated or did people not know what to do? Was appearance neat and professional or too casual?
4, 5, 6- Good, average work, satisfies requirements of assignment and collegiate expectations 7 or 8- Above average and superior work, exceeds minimum requirements, shows depth of thought, analysis, and insight 9- Exceptional scholarship in every regard, far exceeds expectations  INTRODUCTION:  Did the introduction grab attention and engage the audience or just begin? Was the issue/context/problem defined and the presentation previewed? Was the philosophical perspective consistent with this theory identified and explained?  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9  THEORY:  Was the theory well summarized and explained or left vague? Was the explanation comprehensive or were things left out? Were the key researchers identified and central concepts clarified?  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9  MODEL:  Was the model visually appealing? Did it make sense given your description of the theory? Was the model well integrated or just tacked on?  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9  DELIVERY:  Were the presenters verbally fluid or were there many fillers (e.g., um, ah, you know, like, etc.)? Was movement purposeful or frenetic? Did presenters exhibit energy, make eye contact with all audience members, and smile often? Was the team well rehearsed and coordinated or did people not know what to do? Was appearance neat and professional or too casual?
7 or 8- Above average and superior work, exceeds minimum requirements, shows depth of thought, analysis, and insight 9- Exceptional scholarship in every regard, far exceeds expectations  INTRODUCTION:  Did the introduction grab attention and engage the audience or just begin? Was the issue/context/problem defined and the presentation previewed? Was the philosophical perspective consistent with this theory identified and explained?  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9  THEORY:  Was the theory well summarized and explained or left vague? Was the explanation comprehensive or were things left out? Were the key researchers identified and central concepts clarified?  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9  MODEL:  Was the model visually appealing? Did it make sense given your description of the theory? Was the model well integrated or just tacked on?  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9  DELIVERY:  Were the presenters verbally fluid or were there many fillers (e.g., um, ah, you know, like, etc.)? Was movement purposeful or frenetic? Did presenters exhibit energy, make eye contact with all audience members, and smile often? Was the team well rehearsed and coordinated or did people not know what to do? Was appearance neat and professional or too casual?
thought, analysis, and insight  9- Exceptional scholarship in every regard, far exceeds expectations  INTRODUCTION:  Did the introduction grab attention and engage the audience or just begin? Was the issue/context/problem defined and the presentation previewed? Was the philosophical perspective consistent with this theory identified and explained?  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9  THEORY:  Was the theory well summarized and explained or left vague? Was the explanation comprehensive or were things left out? Were the key researchers identified and central concepts clarified?  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9  MODEL:  Was the model visually appealing? Did it make sense given your description of the theory? Was the model well integrated or just tacked on?  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9  DELIVERY:  Were the presenters verbally fluid or were there many fillers (e.g., um, ah, you know, like, etc.)? Was movement purposeful or frenetic? Did presenters exhibit energy, make eye contact with all audience members, and smile often? Was the team well rehearsed and coordinated or did people not know what to do? Was appearance neat and professional or too casual?
9- Exceptional scholarship in every regard, far exceeds expectations  INTRODUCTION:  Did the introduction grab attention and engage the audience or just begin? Was the issue/context/problem defined and the presentation previewed? Was the philosophical perspective consistent with this theory identified and explained?  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9  THEORY:  Was the theory well summarized and explained or left vague? Was the explanation comprehensive or were things left out? Were the key researchers identified and central concepts clarified?  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9  MODEL:  Was the model visually appealing? Did it make sense given your description of the theory? Was the model well integrated or just tacked on?  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9  DELIVERY:  Were the presenters verbally fluid or were there many fillers (e.g., um, ah, you know, like, etc.)? Was movement purposeful or frenetic? Did presenters exhibit energy, make eye contact with all audience members, and smile often? Was the team well rehearsed and coordinated or did people not know what to do? Was appearance neat and professional or too casual?
INTRODUCTION:  Did the introduction grab attention and engage the audience or just begin? Was the issue/context/problem defined and the presentation previewed? Was the philosophical perspective consistent with this theory identified and explained?  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9  THEORY:  Was the theory well summarized and explained or left vague? Was the explanation comprehensive or were things left out? Were the key researchers identified and central concepts clarified?  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9  MODEL:  Was the model visually appealing? Did it make sense given your description of the theory? Was the model well integrated or just tacked on?  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9  DELIVERY:  Were the presenters verbally fluid or were there many fillers (e.g., um, ah, you know, like, etc.)? Was movement purposeful or frenetic? Did presenters exhibit energy, make eye contact with all audience members, and smile often? Was the team well rehearsed and coordinated or did people not know what to do? Was appearance neat and professional or too casual?
Did the introduction grab attention and engage the audience or just begin? Was the issue/context/problem defined and the presentation previewed? Was the philosophical perspective consistent with this theory identified and explained?  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9  THEORY:  Was the theory well summarized and explained or left vague? Was the explanation comprehensive or were things left out? Were the key researchers identified and central concepts clarified?  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9  MODEL:  Was the model visually appealing? Did it make sense given your description of the theory? Was the model well integrated or just tacked on?  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9  DELIVERY:  Were the presenters verbally fluid or were there many fillers (e.g., um, ah, you know, like, etc.)? Was movement purposeful or frenetic? Did presenters exhibit energy, make eye contact with all audience members, and smile often? Was the team well rehearsed and coordinated or did people not know what to do? Was appearance neat and professional or too casual?
defined and the presentation previewed? Was the philosophical perspective consistent with this theory identified and explained?  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9  THEORY:  Was the theory well summarized and explained or left vague? Was the explanation comprehensive or were things left out? Were the key researchers identified and central concepts clarified?  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9  MODEL:  Was the model visually appealing? Did it make sense given your description of the theory? Was the model well integrated or just tacked on?  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9  DELIVERY:  Were the presenters verbally fluid or were there many fillers (e.g., um, ah, you know, like, etc.)? Was movement purposeful or frenetic? Did presenters exhibit energy, make eye contact with all audience members, and smile often? Was the team well rehearsed and coordinated or did people not know what to do? Was appearance neat and professional or too casual?
THEORY:  Was the theory well summarized and explained or left vague? Was the explanation comprehensive or were things left out? Were the key researchers identified and central concepts clarified?  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9  MODEL:  Was the model visually appealing? Did it make sense given your description of the theory? Was the model well integrated or just tacked on?  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9  DELIVERY:  Were the presenters verbally fluid or were there many fillers (e.g., um, ah, you know, like, etc.)? Was movement purposeful or frenetic? Did presenters exhibit energy, make eye contact with all audience members, and smile often? Was the team well rehearsed and coordinated or did people not know what to do? Was appearance neat and professional or too casual?
THEORY:  Was the theory well summarized and explained or left vague? Was the explanation comprehensive or were things left out? Were the key researchers identified and central concepts clarified?  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9  MODEL:  Was the model visually appealing? Did it make sense given your description of the theory? Was the model well integrated or just tacked on?  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9  DELIVERY:  Were the presenters verbally fluid or were there many fillers (e.g., um, ah, you know, like, etc.)? Was movement purposeful or frenetic? Did presenters exhibit energy, make eye contact with all audience members, and smile often? Was the team well rehearsed and coordinated or did people not know what to do? Was appearance neat and professional or too casual?
Was the theory well summarized and explained or left vague? Was the explanation comprehensive or were things left out? Were the key researchers identified and central concepts clarified?  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9  MODEL:  Was the model visually appealing? Did it make sense given your description of the theory? Was the model well integrated or just tacked on?  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9  DELIVERY:  Were the presenters verbally fluid or were there many fillers (e.g., um, ah, you know, like, etc.)? Was movement purposeful or frenetic? Did presenters exhibit energy, make eye contact with all audience members, and smile often? Was the team well rehearsed and coordinated or did people not know what to do? Was appearance neat and professional or too casual?
things left out? Were the key researchers identified and central concepts clarified?  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9  MODEL:  Was the model visually appealing? Did it make sense given your description of the theory? Was the model well integrated or just tacked on?  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9  DELIVERY:  Were the presenters verbally fluid or were there many fillers (e.g., um, ah, you know, like, etc.)? Was movement purposeful or frenetic? Did presenters exhibit energy, make eye contact with all audience members, and smile often? Was the team well rehearsed and coordinated or did people not know what to do? Was appearance neat and professional or too casual?
MODEL: Was the model visually appealing? Did it make sense given your description of the theory? Was the model well integrated or just tacked on?  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9  DELIVERY: Were the presenters verbally fluid or were there many fillers (e.g., um, ah, you know, like, etc.)? Was movement purposeful or frenetic? Did presenters exhibit energy, make eye contact with all audience members, and smile often? Was the team well rehearsed and coordinated or did people not know what to do? Was appearance neat and professional or too casual?  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9
MODEL: Was the model visually appealing? Did it make sense given your description of the theory? Was the model well integrated or just tacked on?  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9  DELIVERY: Were the presenters verbally fluid or were there many fillers (e.g., um, ah, you know, like, etc.)? Was movement purposeful or frenetic? Did presenters exhibit energy, make eye contact with all audience members, and smile often? Was the team well rehearsed and coordinated or did people not know what to do? Was appearance neat and professional or too casual?  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9
Was the model visually appealing? Did it make sense given your description of the theory? Was the model well integrated or just tacked on?  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9  DELIVERY:  Were the presenters verbally fluid or were there many fillers (e.g., um, ah, you know, like, etc.)? Was movement purposeful or frenetic? Did presenters exhibit energy, make eye contact with all audience members, and smile often? Was the team well rehearsed and coordinated or did people not know what to do? Was appearance neat and professional or too casual?  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9
integrated or just tacked on?  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9  DELIVERY:  Were the presenters verbally fluid or were there many fillers (e.g., um, ah, you know, like, etc.)? Was movement purposeful or frenetic? Did presenters exhibit energy, make eye contact with all audience members, and smile often? Was the team well rehearsed and coordinated or did people not know what to do? Was appearance neat and professional or too casual?  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9
DELIVERY:  Were the presenters verbally fluid or were there many fillers (e.g., um, ah, you know, like, etc.)? Was movement purposeful or frenetic? Did presenters exhibit energy, make eye contact with all audience members, and smile often? Was the team well rehearsed and coordinated or did people not know what to do? Was appearance neat and professional or too casual?  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9
Were the presenters verbally fluid or were there many fillers (e.g., um, ah, you know, like, etc.)? Was movement purposeful or frenetic? Did presenters exhibit energy, make eye contact with all audience members, and smile often? Was the team well rehearsed and coordinated or did people not know what to do? Was appearance neat and professional or too casual?  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9
movement purposeful or frenetic? Did presenters exhibit energy, make eye contact with all audience members, and smile often? Was the team well rehearsed and coordinated or did people not know what to do? Was appearance neat and professional or too casual?  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9
USE OF TIME:
<u> </u>
Was the presentation length appropriate? Was the time available managed well so that various activities could
be included and still cover everything?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9
OVERALL IMPACT:
This is a combination of all the items above and they synergy created when they are put together.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9
Page

# Literature Review, Methods, Works Cited Section Draft

#### **Title Page**

Follow APA format using the OWL guide available at https://owl.english.purdue.edu/owl/resource/560/01/

#### **Literature Review**

Develop the background for your topic. Explain why your topic is interesting or important. Introduce any key terms and describe previous work on the topic. Explain the questions that the previous work answered, and explain how the previous work leads up to your question or hypothesis. Conceptually define variables. Conclude the literature review with your *hypothesis* and/or your research question.

#### Methods

Describe your experiment or study in plenty of detail. Include enough detail that someone could actually do the experiment well enough to get good results. Explain why you've designed the experiment in the way you have; try to convince the reader that your experiment is the best way to test your hypothesis. You might want to include a diagram, drawing, or table, if it will help you explain your experiment or study. Selecting an appropriate method will require some independent research and readings on different methodologies and becoming an "expert" in the particular method for your study. In the same way a hammer (as opposed to a screwdriver) is the best tool to be used when driving a nail, so too should your method align with your research question or hypothesis. As part of this section you need to:

Decide on the best method for getting an answer to your RQ/H.

- Describe in detail what methodology you will use, and justify your decisions: (e.g., Experimental research, survey research, textual analysis, ethnographic research,
- Define and describe your population and sample.
  - What is your sampling design? Who will you talk to? How many?
  - o When/where/how?
  - (If applicable) Describe how you will select your sample.
  - o (If applicable) Describe how you will administer your instrument.
  - (If applicable) Describe how/when you will conduct observation(s).
- How will you address concerns about validity, reliability, and ethics?
- (If applicable) What are your variable(s)?
  - Operational definition of each variabls
  - Which are independent/dependent (if applicable)
- List information to be gathered.
- List the types of questions you will ask.
- Describe how you intend to analyze the results.

# Revised Lit Review, Methods Section and Works Cited, Results and Discussion Draft

#### **Results**

What were the results of your method? Describe, in detail, the characteristics of your sample. Talk through what you found: put words to the math, categories, or tensions (but avoid explaining in detail what those numbers/categories/tensions *mean*). Direct the reader to any appendices, figures, or tables you "talk out" in this section.

# Discussion

Here is where you will include a discussion of your findings in terms of implications, importance, and usefulness. Did what you found confirm the theory you chose? Did you confirm your hypotheses? Why or why not? What problems did your study solve? Study limitations, suggestions for future research, and conclusion go in this section

#### ATTACHED LETTER TO THE REVIEWER

In a separate document, include a letter to the reviewer that lists all major revisions to the paper at this point, summarizes minor revisions, and explains how you addressed the concerns/questions posed to you when your first draft was returned.

# **Tri-Fold Poster**

Regardless of the topic of your paper, all poster displays should have the following elements: Paper Title, Author(s), Research Question, Purpose of Study, Method, Results, Discussion.

Since poster displays are each unique, groups can select what they would like to emphasize. Most importantly, the entire paper should **not** appear in the poster display. Use the resources posted to Canvas to get a sense of how to best prepare your poster for your audience.

	POSTER EVALUA	ATION	I FOI	RM				
Stude	ents:						_	
Proje	ct Title:						_	
Gradi	ng Scale: 1 or 2 = Unacceptable – does not me	et m	inim	num	ехр	ecta	tion	S.
	3, 4, 5 = Good, average work – satis	sfies	basi	c re	sear	ch c	anoi	ns.
	6 or 7 = Superior – exceeds expect	atior	is fo	r un	der	grad	uate	e work.
Instru	uctions to Evaluators: Based upon what you see i	in the	haı	ndoi	ut ar	nd n	oste	r and what you hear in
	rief oral presentation (and any follow-up questic					-		-
	ving items.	, y	<i>-</i>				,	()) predict respond to the
(1).	Research Project: Degree to which project	1	2	2	1	5	6	7
(±).	seems to satisfy the canons of social science	_	_	,	7	J	U	,
	research design, procedures, and analysis.							
	researen design, procedures, and analysis.							
	Comment:							
(2)	Destan Cassian, Cufficient datail concerning	1	2	2	4	_	_	7
(2).	Poster Session: Sufficient detail concerning	1	2	3	4	5	ь	7
	problem/issue, hypothesis or RQ, method, sample, results and is aesthetically pleasing.							
	sample, results and is destrictionly pleasing.							
	Comment:							
	comment.							
(3).	Oral Presentation: Appropriate verbal	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
	fluency, energy, and eye contact with few							
	(if any) nonverbal distractions.							
	Comment:							
	Page							

**22** 

# **Research Paper**

The Final Paper should include the sections you've drafted so far along with an Abstract, Introduction, and all Appendices you make reference to throughout the paper. You may use the table below to generate ideas for your paper and use the Evaluation Form to see specifically what sections will be evaluated and what questions each section should address. Refer to your functional outlines, articles you've read, and feedback/conversations with your instructor to ensure that you have included the necessary information for your particular study.

- 1. This is what I've been thinking about. (theory)
- 2. This is what other people have said about what I've been thinking about. (literature review)
- 3. This is what I think I would find if I looked to test my ideas. (hypotheses)
- 4. By the way, when I say "X," I mean this and that. (operationalization)
- 5. This is my plan for looking. (design)
- 6. These are the kinds of people, places, and things I am going to look at. (sample)
- 7. This is what I found out. (findings)
- 8. This is what the findings mean. (analysis)
- 9. This is how what I found relates to the ideas I had at the beginning. (conclusion)
- 10. Given all this, I think we should look at . . . . (implications)

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
depth of analysis that you have do	, cogency of	information,	vivid writing	style, and att	ention to deta	ail. Does the	paper leave t	he impression
OVERALL IMPA This is always a fu		any aspects i	ncluding a cle	arly articulate	ed RQ. resear	ch rationale.	properly defi	ned variables.
OVERALL IMPA	2 CT:	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
indirect notatio		•				•	• .	
Charts, Tables,					_	-		_
APPENDIX & BI								_
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
suggestions for	•	•		•	•		•	
Include a discus	sion of you	r findings in	terms of im	plications, i	mportance, a	and usefuln	ess. Study li	mitations and
DISCUSSION:	-	•	•	•	J	•	J	-
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Characteristics Statistical Meth	•	Data Tables	(e.g., t-test,	uescriptives	, correlatior	i illatilx, reg	gression), Re	Suits Of
RESULTS:	of Cample	Data Tables	10 g + +0s+	docarintica	correlation	matric ra	roccion\ Da	cults of
DECLUES.	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Variables, Proce				_	•	_	•	•
Research Settin					man Subject	s, Operatio	nal Definitio	ns of Researc
METHODOLOG		_	_					•-
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Summarization	-	s of Previou	is Research,	Constitutive			s, Rq/Hypoth	
REVIEW OF THI								
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Key Terms, and	Assumptio	ns.						
Background of	he Problen	n, Statemen	t of the Prob	lem and Go	al of the Res	earch, Justi	fication, Intr	oduction of
ABSTRACT/INT	RODUCTIO	<u>N:</u>						
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Have you followe	d APA guide	lines in font,	spacing, pagii	nation, headi	ngs, etc.?			
Have you followe						thrown toge	ther at the las	st moment?"
Is this the first dr	aft of the pa	per or has it l	been "polishe	d" so that it i	s free from sp	elling, synta	x, and gramm	atical errors.
FORMAT MECH	-		,	<b>J</b> , : 211	- p-20	-		
9-	•	_	p in every re	gard, far ex	ceeds expect	tations		
	analysis, a	_				211121112, 31		
		_	perior work,		_	_	-	
	-		atisfies requ				ate expectat	ions
	-		e assignmen does not sa		ments as nr	esented		
	-:	ماط مطاحم مريما						
Grading Scale:								

Week	Dates	Topic	Readings	Graded Work Due
1	1/10	Course Introduction		
2	1/15	Deconstructing Research	Nilsen (1957)	
	1/17	Deconstructing Theory	Cherry (1957)	
3	1/22	Defining Communication	Bettinghaus (1984), Gerbner (1984), Andersen (1984)	
	1/24	Reconstructing Theory	Corman (1995)	Defining Communication Paper
4	1/29	Reconstructing Research	Straight Talk Ch 1	
	1/31	Paradigms in Comm Research	Straight Talk Ch 2	Theory Paper
5	2/5	Quantitative Research	Straight Talk pgs 199-214, 233-242, 251-261	
	2/7	Qualitative Research	Straight Talk Ch 14 and pgs 351-370	Method Summary and Article Deconstruction Paper
6	2/12	RQs/Hs, Variables, Sampling	Straight Talk Ch 6	
	2/14	RQs/Hs, Variables, Sampling	Straight Talk Ch 7, 8	Project Proposal
7	2/19	Group Assembly and Agreements		
	2/21	Success Prep	Straight Talk Ch 9	
8	2/26	SPSS LAB: MEET IN LIBRARY		
		SPSS LAB: MEET IN LIBRARY		Annotated Bibliography
9	3/5	NO CLASS: Spring Break		
	3/7	NO CLASS: Spring Break		
10		Interview/Questionnaire Construction	Review Straight Talk Chs 10, 11, 12, or 15 as relevant	Begin IRB Proposal
	3/14	Evaluating Research	Straight Talk Ch 13, 16 (as appropriate)	
11	3/19	Method Presentations		Method Presentations
		Method Presentations		Method Presentations
12		Online Questionnaire Construction		Last Day to Submit IRB Proposal
		Peer Reviews		Literature Review, Methods, Works Cited Section Draft
13		Theory Presentations		Theory Presentations
		Theory Presentations		Theory Presentations
14		SPSS LAB: MEET IN LIBRARY		
		SPSS LAB: MEET IN LIBRARY		Revised Lit Review, Methods Section and Works Cited, Results and Discussion Draft
15		SPSS LAB: MEET IN LIBRARY		
		NO CLASS: Easter Recess		
16		Poster Presentations		Tri-Fold Poster and Draft of Complete Research Paper
		Responding to Feedback		
Finals	5/2			
Week	10:30-1	In-Class Interviews		Research Paper Due on Canvas