Program: Biology B.S. (BBS)

Learning Outcome: <u>PLO1</u>: Demonstrate an understanding of the process of science and of the concepts and theories of biology across a broad range of organizational levels: cellular, molecular, organismal, and ecological (population, community, ecosystem).

Outcome Measure: ETS Major Field Test in Biology.

Criteria for Success: The overall group mean on the ETS exam will be \geq 75th percentile and at least 50% of our students will have an overall score \geq 60th percentile. Additionally, the same criteria established for the overall ETS score will be applied to each of the 4 sub-disciplines, which are 1) Cell, 2) Genetic & Molecular, 3) Organismal, and 4) Population, Ecological, & Evolutionary Biology.

	2015, n=24	2014, n=34	2013, n=21
Overall group mean	93 rd %ile	80 th %ile	95 th %ile
% above 60 th %ile	75%	68%	90%
Cell Biology mean	96 th %ile	86 th %ile	49 th %ile
% above 60 th %ile	63%	68%	86%
Genetics/Molecular mean	93 rd %ile	73 rd %ile	68 th %ile
% above 60 th %ile	71%	79%	81%
Organismal mean	88 th %ile	78 th %ile	46 th %ile
% above 60 th %ile	75%	83%	90%
Pop/Eco/Evol. Biol. mean	95 th %ile	74 th %ile	37 th %ile
% above 60 th %ile	75%	75%	76%

Longitudinal Data:

Conclusions Drawn from Data:

All criteria were met in 2015 and 2013, and most were met in 2014; the gray numbers indicate criteria that were not quite met, but were close. Therefore, the Biology content knowledge of the BBS majors is excellent.

Changes to be Made Based on Data:

No changes to program.

Rubric Used: ETS 2014 Comparative Data Guides – MFT for Biology

Learning Outcome: <u>PLO2</u>: Participate in the life of the department in Biology/Chemistry clubs or in various positions of responsibility such as graders, tutors, and teaching assistants.

Outcome Measure: Self-reported data of participation, n=24.

Criteria for Success: At least 80% of our students will participate in one of these positions during their time at PLNU.

Longitudinal Data:

18 of the 24 BBS majors (76%) participated in clubs or positions of responsibility (criteria almost met).

Data were not collected in 2014.

In 2013, of the 21 BBS students who took the survey, 95% participated in one of these positions (**criteria met**).

Conclusions Drawn from Data:

The BBS majors are generally participating in the life of the department.

Changes to be Made Based on Data:

No changes to the program.

Rubric Used: Not applicable to self-reported data.

Learning Outcome: <u>PLO3</u>: Develop a rationally defensible integration of science and faith.

Outcome Measure: During their senior year, students will defend the integration of their faith with various scientific topics via a written essay

Criteria for Success: At least 80% of our students will achieve a score of 85% or higher on the science/faith integration essay. The essay will be scored with a rubric that considers science/faith integration, critical thinking, integration of concepts from other classes, written communication, and information literacy.

Longitudinal Data:

100% of the students (n=24) achieved a score of 85% or higher on the essay (**criteria met**). In 2015, we switched the rubric for this assignment, so data from previous years cannot be compared to the data from this year.

Conclusions Drawn from Data:

The BBS majors are able to develop a rationally defensible integration of science and faith. The scoring for this rubric needs to be improved, as this was the first year we used it. We need to assess our inter-reader reliability.

Changes to be Made Based on Data:

No changes to the program.

Rubric Used: See attached.

Grading aspect	poor	developing	good	expert
Integration of	There is no	Some integration	Obvious evidence of	\rightarrow deep personal reflection is evident
science and	indication of	of science and	reflection on the	ightarrow clear and well-defended position
faith	personal	faith. Evidence of	integration of science and	that merges faith and scientific
(evolution or	reflection	clear and deep	faith, but the author is	reasoning
environmental	and thought	reflection is not	only marginally effective	(note: the exact position is not
stewardship)	into the	very apparent,	at defending his/her	important, but rather the evidence of
	integration	and the position	position.	reflection, understanding, and ability
	of faith and	taken is not well-		to defend that position)
	science.	defended.		
Critical thinking	Position is	Position is weakly	Fairly strong support of	\rightarrow Issue is stated clearly
	not	defended	the argument. Alternate	\rightarrow Position is well-supported with
	defended		positions are addressed	evidence and sources.
		Other, perhaps	and the author's own	\rightarrow Alternate positions are clearly
	There is no	conflicting,	position is supported	addressed in a manner that flows well
	reference to	positions on this	against these positions,	with the author's argument
	any other	issue are	full understanding of	\rightarrow Clear arguments against these
	position on	mentioned, but	other positions was not	alternate positions using personal
	this issue.	are poorly	apparent, and a strong	reflection and scientific information
		addressed	argument against them	\rightarrow Evaluation of altering positions
		-	did not emerge.	demonstrate grace and understanding
Incorporation	No concepts	Concepts and	Concepts and discussion	\rightarrow Concepts from specific PLNU
of concepts	or	discussions from	from specific PLNU classes	classes, including science and religion
discussed in	discussions	specific PLNU	are included and	classes, are included as part of the
various classes	from PLNU	classes are part of	discussed appropriately,	author's reflection and defense of
while at PLNU	classes are	his/her	but these are not clearly	his/her position.
	clearly	defendable	interwoven into the	\rightarrow Includes a clear reflection of how
	included in	position, but there	author's defense and	the position has changed while at
	the	is no reflection on	explanation of his/her	PLNU. If his/her position has not
	argument	how/if these have	own position or how this	changed, essay still includes a clear
		affected the	position has changed	explanation of why it did not change,
X47	Maritin - in	author's position.		that demonstrates personal reflection.
written	Writing is	Writing is OK, but	Few grammatical and	\rightarrow No, or very few, grammatical and
communication	very poor		spenng errors are	Spenng errors.
	with several	spelling errors are	apparent in the writing.	→ Essay now is excellent with a clear
	grannlatical	Sum frequent.	writing shows evidence of	reasoning and a strong conducion
	and spennig	are still required	doos not flow yory well	\rightarrow Writing offectively communicates
	evidence of	Feery length does	Essay is of sufficient	with a college science audience
	revision	not provide for	length	\rightarrow sufficient length to make a good
	(Essav is	sufficient support	lengen	\sim sufficient length to make a good,
	<800	sumerent support.		1600 words: can be less if essay is
	words)			sufficiently and concisely supported)
Information	Includes no	Includes 1 – 2	Includes 3-4 appropriate	\rightarrow Includes 4-5 or more appropriate
literacy	annronriate	annronriate	sources Includes some	sources including sources of more
literacy	sources No	sources In-text	references in the text that	than one type (websites books
	in-text	references show	are incorporated into the	articles etc.)
	references	little connection	essav well.	\rightarrow Includes substantial references in
		to the essay.		the text that enhance the essay and
		Ouotes are overly		support the author's argument.
		used or long.		\rightarrow paraphrasing is done well, and
				quotes (when appropriate) are used
				correctly, but not overly frequently.

Learning Outcome: <u>PLO4</u>: Be prepared for post-graduate studies or science-related careers.

Outcome Measure: After graduation, alumni will be tracked and data regarding their postgraduate education and profession will be recorded.

Criteria for Success: Success rates for alumni who apply for graduate or professional schools will be > 75% and the percentage of graduates who obtain jobs in science-related occupations will be >70%.

Longitudinal Data:

- 1) The success rate for alumni who apply to graduate or professional schools has been well over 90% for at least 20 years.
- An alumni survey was conducted by the Biology and Chemistry Depts. in January, 2015, that included graduates from 2004 2014. 408 alumni were emailed and 115 responded (28% response rate). The lowest response rate was from the class of 2007 (7%); all other classes had a response rate of 21-42%, which is fairly typical of alumni surveys.
- 44 BBS majors responded (27% response). Of these alumni, 84% are employed or attending school in a Biology or STEM-related field (criteria met). 1 is applying to medical school, 4 are employed outside science, and 2 are unemployed (class of 2014).

Conclusions Drawn from Data:

The BBS majors are successful at obtaining jobs and entering graduate/professional schools.

Changes to be Made Based on Data:

No changes to program.

Rubric Used: Not applicable to self-reported data. Survey instrument is attached.

Alumni Survey 2015

The Biology and Chemistry Departments are doing an extensive Program Review. We would greatly appreciate your feedback as a PLNU alum on your experience as a Biology or Chemistry major. This 15-question survey should take about 15 minutes to complete. If you provide your email address, we will also enter you into a drawing for one of three \$100 Amazon cards as a thank you for your time!

- 1) What year did you graduate from PLNU?
- 2) What was your major?
 - a) Biology-BA
 - b) Biology-BS
 - c) Chemistry
 - d) Biology-Chemistry
 - e) Environmental Science
- 3) What is your highest degree earned?
 - a) BA/BS
 - b) MA/MS
 - c) PhD
 - d) MD/DO
 - e) PA
 - f) DDS
 - g) DVM
 - h) OD
 - i) PharmD
 - j) Other please specify
- 4) What is your current professional situation?
 - a) Professor
 - b) Teacher
 - c) Health professional
 - d) Biotechnology or pharmaceutical industry
 - e) Academic or government lab
 - f) Graduate student please specify field or specialty
 - g) Other please specify
- 5) Rank how well we prepared you to meet the following goals that were set for your major. (Only PLOs for specified major selected in #2 will appear.)
 - a) Unprepared
 - b) Somewhat unprepared
 - c) Prepared
 - d) Well prepared
 - e) Extremely well prepared
- 6) Were you involved in the PLNU biology or chemistry summer research programs?
 - a) Yes describe how this experience is impacting your career.

- b) No
- 7) Which classes or experiences do you appreciate more now as opposed to when you had just graduated?
- 8) Is there any course, topic, or skill you've repeatedly encountered that you wish you had been taught at PLNU? Please explain.
- 9) If you are pursuing a career in environmental science, do you wish you had substituted an internship experience for a science elective while you were at PLNU?
 - a) I am not pursuing a career in environmental science.
 - b) I did an internship.
 - c) Yes, I wish I had done an internship while at PLNU.
 - d) No, I did not need to do an internship while at PLNU.

Comments?

- 10) Do you wish you had taken any of the following options at PLNU?
 - a) BIO130/140 (Human Anatomy & Physiology)
 - b) Upper-division anatomy class
 - c) No, I didn't need an Anatomy class

Comments?

- 11) What were one or two aspects of the biology curriculum that might have been improved to better prepare you for your profession or for further studies?
- 12) What were one or two aspects of the chemistry curriculum that might have been improved to better prepare you for your profession or for further studies?
- 13) Have you done any of the following? Check all that apply.
 - a) Recommended PLNU to a prospective student
 - b) Promoted PLNU to another person
 - c) Been involved with the alumni association
 - d) Donated to Research Associates
 - e) Other please specify.
- 14) Since you left PLNU, have you ever had a conversation in which you had to integrate Christian faith with scientific knowledge? Did you feel prepared scientifically? Did you feel prepared theologically? Check all that apply. Please describe the situation and your feelings about your preparation.
 - a) I've never had such a conversation.
 - b) I felt prepared scientifically.
 - c) I didn't feel prepared scientifically.
 - d) I felt prepared theologically.
 - e) I didn't feel prepared theologically.

- 15) Since you left PLNU, have you made any decisions that were influenced by your knowledge of creation care and sustainability? If so, did you feel prepared to make those decisions from a scientific understanding of sustainability?
 - a) I do not tend to make decisions based on sustainability considerations.

b) I often feel unprepared to make those decisions as it is rarely clear to me which options would best benefit the planet.

c) I usually feel prepared to make those decisions as I am generally confident in my understanding of how my choices affect, and which options are best for, the planet.
d) I feel very comfortable in my scientific knowledge of how various decisions will

affect the earth, either negatively or positively.

16) Please provide your email address to be entered into the drawing for an Amazon gift card. Your email address will not be associated with your responses on this survey.