

**School of Nursing BSN  
2021-2022**

Program Learning Outcome (PLO)	Description of Learning Outcome
<b>BSN PLO #1 Inquiring Faithfully</b>	Students will demonstrate knowledge, skill, and behavior of the evidence-based practice of nursing, which integrates growth in reasoning, analysis, decision-making and the application of theory with the goal of advocating for others and/or self. This includes holistic nursing skills and the nursing process.

**Outcome Measures:**

Program Learning Outcome (PLO)	Course	Description of Outcome Measure
<b>BSN PLO #1</b>	NSG4070 Leading Faithfully-A Synthesis and Transition to Professional Nursing Practice	<u>Evidence Based Practice (EBP) Group Paper</u> To engage students in an analysis of evidence-based practice within their clinical experience. This group paper addresses the followings: (1) Problem identification at the clinical site; (2) develop PICO question and obtain 8-10 research evidence; and (3) critical analysis and synthesis of the evidence.

**Note.** As of Fall 2019, sub-PLO's previously reported (i.e. 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 1.4, 1.5) on will no longer be assessed individually. The School of Nursing assesses only the overall PLO-1 of Inquiring Faithfully.

As of AY2020-2021, the School of Nursing selects the entire "EBP Group Paper" for assessing PLO #1, instead of assessing 3 different assignments ("Tell the Story" from NSG 4080 + "Clinical Evaluation" from NSG 4081+ "Reflective Journal from NSG 4091).

**Criteria for Success:**

Program Learning Outcome (PLO)	Course	Statement of Criteria for Success
<b>BSN PLO #1</b>	NSG4070	<u>Evidence Based Practice Group Paper</u> 85% of students will achieve 81% or greater.

**Aligned with DQP Learning Areas** (circle one or more but not all five):

1. **Specialized Knowledge**
2. **Broad Integrative Knowledge**
3. Intellectual Skills/Core Competencies
4. **Applied and Collaborative Learning**
5. Civic and Global Learning

**Longitudinal Data:**

	Course	N	Students Meeting or Exceeding Success Criteria	Comments
2020-2021 (FA20+SP21)	NSG 4070	77	100% (77/77) students met or exceeded the benchmark.	
2021-2022 (FA21+SP22)	NSG 4070	81	100% (81/81) scored at or above the benchmark (Average score was 56.95 pts, ranging from 54 – 61 out of maximum 61)	

**Conclusions Drawn from Data:**

Program Learning Outcome (PLO)	Conclusions Drawn from Data
<b>BSN PLO #1</b>	Overall, benchmark was met for (1) Effective Communication (93%)- Improved from last year.; and (2) Professional Paper/Mechanics (86%). However, there were a few criteria that were below the benchmark: (1) Intro/Catalyst (65% met the benchmark); (2) Ask PICO/Model (59% met the benchmark); (3) Acquire Evidence (77% met the benchmark); (4) Appraise Evidence (81% met the benchmark)- Improved from last year and (5) Appraise Synthesis & Conclusion (78% met the benchmark).

**Changes to be Made Based on Data:**

Program Learning Outcome (PLO)	Description of Changes to be Made Based on Data
<b>BSN PLO #1</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(1) Conduct detailed assessment of grading criteria where students fell short of benchmark (evidence appraisal &amp; synthesis &amp; communication) and revise the learning activities to focus more lecture time, reading assignments, discussion time, and individual assignments to critique &amp; synthesis evidence appraisals. These recommendations were instituted in the current AY of FA21-SP22 and there were some improvements overall. Proactive reviews of the grading rubric and sample exemplar papers from past students' work were provided.</li> <li>(2) Assign individual assignments to students to individually critique evidence &amp; perform evidence synthesis paragraphs of evidence collected as a group.</li> <li>(3) Collaborate with NSG 3099 faculty to share data and discuss opportunities to enhance learning of research appraisals &amp; synthesis at the junior level. Work to build learning activities and assessments that link to senior leadership theory activities.</li> <li>(4) Collaborate with GNSG 6022 faculty to discuss and implement best practice in teaching research appraisals &amp; synthesis learning activities.</li> <li>(5) Focus improvements in learning activities with the newly revised CLOs based upon the BSN Essentials and curricular mapping with NRS/RN-BSN Leadership Course.</li> </ol>

**Rubrics Used:** Attached at the end of this document

NSG4070 Evidence Based Practice Group Paper

**School of NursingSN  
2021-2022**

Program Learning Outcome (PLO)	Description of Learning Outcome
<b>BSN PLO #2 Caring Faithfully</b>	The student will embrace a calling to the ministry of compassionate care for all people in response to God's grace, which aims to foster optimal health and bring comfort in suffering and death.

**Outcome Measures:**

Program Learning Outcome (PLO)	Course	Description of Outcome Measure
<b>BSN PLO #2</b>	NSG4080/4081-Nursing Capstone	<p><u>Servant Leadership Reflective Journal</u></p> <p>This assignment includes students' reflection of servant leadership experience by addressing ethics and values consistent with the compassionate Christ's love for all and professional nursing.</p>

**Note.** As of AY2020-2021, the School of Nursing selects the "Servant Leadership Reflective Journal" for assessing PLO #2, instead of assessing 3 different assignments ("Tell the Story" from NSG 4080 + "Clinical Evaluation" from NSG 4081+ "Reflective Journal" from NSG 4091).

**Criteria for Success:**

Program Learning Outcome (PLO)	Course	Statement of Criteria for Success
<b>BSN PLO #2</b>	NSG4080/4081-Nursing Capstone	85% of students will achieve 81% or greater.

**Aligned with DQP Learning Areas** (circle one or more but not all five):

1. Specialized Knowledge
2. Broad Integrative Knowledge
3. Intellectual Skills/Core Competencies
4. **Applied and Collaborative Learning**
5. **Civic and Global Learning**

**Longitudinal Data:**

AY	Course	N	Students Meeting or Exceeding Success Criteria	Comments
2020-2021 (FA20)	NSG4080/4081-Nursing Capstone	40	100% (40/40) students met or exceeded the benchmark.	Servant Leadership Reflective Journal assignment was not included in Spring 2021, because students had to do many direct clinical hours (32 hours) at PLNU Wellness Center. Thus, this assignment was canceled for the Spring 2021 and data are not available.
2021-2022 (FA21+SP22)	NSG4080/4081-Nursing Capstone	79 (42+37)	95% (75/79) students met or exceeded the benchmark.	5% of students who did not achieve 81% or greater on this assignment was due to students turning journal in late in which points were deducted. Of this 5%, 50% of these students scored 80%, just below the 81% mark.

**Conclusions Drawn from Data:**

Program Learning Outcome (PLO)	Conclusions Drawn from Data
BSN PLO #2	Students are achieving above the benchmark in all outcomes.

For AY 2020-2021, the School of Nursing is assessing the overall PLO#2 of Caring Faithfully- Servant Leadership Reflective Journal.

**Changes to be Made Based on Data:**

Program Learning Outcome (PLO)	Description of Changes to be Made Based on Data
BSN PLO #2	<p>(1) Continue to assess Caring Faithfully through this signature assignment in NSG 4080/81.</p> <p>(2) Revision of grading rubric for consistent measurement of Caring Faithfully PLO – will be increasing the total points possible and adding new topics needing to be discussed in the journal.</p> <p>(3) Change in servant leadership opportunities. This past year servant leadership hours were dedicated to the PLNU Wellness Center due to a need expressed by the Wellness Center Director. Next year, students will have the opportunity to choose their own location with a specific patient population from a pre-approved list by the nursing</p>

**Rubrics Used:** Attached at the end of this document  
 NSG 4080/4081: Servant Leadership Reflective Journal Rubric

**Learning Outcomes:**

**School of Nursing BSN  
2021-2022**

Program Learning Outcome (PLO)	Description of Learning Outcome
<b>BSN PLO #3 Communicating Faithfully</b>	The student will actively engage in the dynamic interactive process that is intrapersonal and interpersonal with the goal of advocating for others and/or self. This includes effective, culturally appropriate communication conveys information, thoughts, actions and feelings through the use of verbal and nonverbal skills.

**Outcome Measures:**

Program Learning Outcome (PLO)	Course	Description of Outcome Measure
<b>BSN PLO #3</b>	NSG4070: Leading Faithfully- A Synthesis & Transition to Professional Nursing Practice	<u>Evidence Based Practice Poster Presentation</u> This assignment involves digital poster presentation of the EBP group project findings.

**Note.** As of AY2020-2021, the School of Nursing selects the “EBP Poster Presentation” for assessing PLO #3, instead of assessing 3 different assignments (“Tell the Story” from NSG 4080 + “Clinical Evaluation” from NSG 4081+ “Reflective Journal from NSG 4091).

**Criteria for Success:**

Program Learning Outcome (PLO)	Course	Statement of Criteria for Success
<b>BSN PLO #3</b>	NSG4070: Leading Faithfully- A Synthesis & Transition to Professional Nursing Practice	<u>Evidence Based Practice Poster Presentation</u> 90% of students will achieve 81% or greater.

**Aligned with DQP Learning Areas** (circle one or more but not all five):

1. **Specialized Knowledge**
2. Broad Integrative Knowledge
2. **Intellectual Skills/Core Competencies**
4. **Applied and Collaborative Learning**
5. Civic and Global Learning

**Longitudinal Data:**

AY	Course	N	Students Meeting or Exceeding Success Criteria	Comments
2020-2021 (FA20+SP21)	NSG 4070	77	100% (77/77) students met or exceeded the benchmark.	
2020-2021 (FA20+SP21)	NSG 4070	81	100% (81/81) students exceeded the benchmark.	

**Conclusions Drawn from Data:**

Program Learning Outcome (PLO)	Conclusions Drawn from Data
<b>BSN PLO #3</b>	<p>Students are achieving above the benchmark in all outcomes. Furthermore, 100% of students received a perfect score in criterion of Introduction/Communicate; Professional Behaviors; and EBP Dissemination.</p> <p>42/81 (51%) of students scored above 100% score by earning extra credit per peer vote in 4 top categories of: a) Most Creative PICO &amp; Implementation Plan; a) Most Creative PICO &amp; Implementation Plan; b) Most Likely to Implement in your Clinical Practice; c) Most Interesting &amp; Most Informative; and d) Most Visually Appealing Poster.</p>

**Changes to be Made Based on Data:**

Program Learning Outcome (PLO)	Description of Changes to be Made Based on Data
<b>BSN PLO #3</b>	<p>(1) Continue to assess Communicating Faithfully through this signature assignment in NSG 4070.</p> <p>(2) Compare &amp; contrast the grading rubric for the EBP Poster, used on Scholarly Day, linking with NSG 3099 assignment and with other Poster Presentations within the School of Nursing.</p> <p>(3) Revise grading rubric in compliance with new course CLOs to align with BSN Essentials and new curriculum mapping of Nursing Leadership SON courses.</p>

**Rubrics Used:** Attached at the end of this document  
NSG4070 Evidence Based Practice Poster Presentation

**School of Nursing BSN  
2021-2022**

Program Learning Outcome (PLO)	Description of Learning Outcome
<b>BSN PLO #4 Following Faithfully</b>	Defined as claiming the challenge from Florence Nightingale that nursing is a “divine imposed duty of ordinary work.” The nursing student will integrate the ordinary work by complying with and adhering to regulatory and professional standards (e.g. American Nurses Association (ANA) Code of Ethics, the California Board of Registered Nursing (BRN), Scope of Nursing Practice, SON Handbook). This includes taking responsibility, being accountable for all actions and treating others with respect and dignity. (PLOs 4.1, 4.2, 4.3)

**Outcome Measures:**

Program Learning Outcome (PLO)	Course	Description of Outcome Measure
<b>BSN PLO #4</b>	NSG4060- Nursing of Communities : Community Health Focus	<p><u>Public Health Now Paper &amp; Presentation</u></p> <p>The purpose of this paper is to allow students to engage in their own version of our Public Health Now segments in class. Utilizing a current news article (preferably from the <i>San Diego Union-Tribune</i>), write a short paper including the following:</p> <p>Describe the article topic and problem;          Provide recommendations for public health/nursing practice;          Discuss how public health can or is addressing this problem; and          Present to peers in a brief (&lt;5 minute) presentation to highlight the importance of the topic.</p> <p>In order to get full credit for the presentation portion of this assignment, students will need to present in class to the team, and then complete the accompanying discussion board.</p>

**Note:** As of Fall 19, Sub-PLO’s previously reported (i.e. 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 1.4, 1.5) on will no longer be assessed individually. The School of Nursing is now only assessing the overall PLO#4 of Following Faithfully.

As of AY2020-2021, the School of Nursing selects the entire “EBP Group Paper” for assessing PLO #4, instead of assessing 3 different assignments (“Tell the Story” from NSG 4080 + “Clinical Evaluation” from NSG 4081+ “Reflective Journal from NSG 4091).

As of AY 2021-2022, the School of Nursing selects the “NSG 4060- Public Health Now Paper ” for assessing PLO #4, as the EBP Group Paper was selected for assessing PLO #1.

**Criteria for Success:**

Program Learning Outcome (PLO)	Course	Statement of Criteria for Success
--------------------------------	--------	-----------------------------------

<b>BSN PLO #4</b>	NSG4060- Nursing of Communities: Community Health Focus	<u>Public Health Now Paper &amp; Presentation</u> 85% of students will achieve 81% or greater.
-------------------	---	---

**Aligned with DQP Learning Areas** (circle one or more but not all five):

1. Specialized Knowledge
2. Broad Integrative Knowledge
3. Intellectual Skills/Core Competencies
4. **Applied and Collaborative Learning**
5. Civic and Global Learning

**Longitudinal Data:**

AY	Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding Success Criteria			Comments
	NSG480 Tell the Story	NSG481 Clinical Evaluations	NSG481 Reflective Journals	
<b>SP 2015</b>	N = 35	N = 20	**	See asterisked comment for NSG480 Reflective Journals. No quantitative data is available at this time.
	PLOs 4.1, 4.2, 4.3 = 100%	PLOs 4.1, 4.2, 4.3, 4.4, 4.5 = 100%	**	
<b>FA 2015</b>	N/A	N = 23	**	See asterisked comment for NSG480 Reflective Journals. No quantitative data is available at this time.
	N/A	PLOs 4.1, 4.4, 4.5 = 100% PLOs 4.2, 4.3 = 96%	**	
<b>SP 2016</b>	N = 20	N=34	**	See asterisked comment for NSG480 Reflective Journals. No quantitative data is available at this time.
	PLOs 4.1, 4.2, 4.3 = 95%	PLO's 4.1, 4.2=97% PLO 4.3=94%	**	
<b>FA 2016</b>	N/A	N = 40	**	See asterisked comment for NSG480 Reflective Journals. No quantitative data is available at this time.
	N/A	PLO's 4.1, 4.2, 4.3 = 100%	**	
<b>SP 2017</b>	<b>N = 38</b>	N = 38	**	See asterisked comment for NSG480 Reflective Journals. No quantitative data is available at this time.
	PLOs 4.1, 4.2, 4.3 = 95%	PLO's 4.1, 4.3 = 97% PLO4.2 = 100%	**	
<b>FA 2017</b>	N = 39	N = 36	N = 40	
	PLOs 4.1, 4.2, 4.3 = 100%	PLOs 4.1, 4.2, 4.3 = 100%	PLOs 1-5 Total: 98.4% By Journal Topic: Humility: 96.5% Courage: 98.9% Discernment: 98% Forgiveness: 97.7% Mentoring/ Leadership: 99.7%	

<b>SP 2018</b>	N = 35	N = 35	N = 36	
	PLOs 4.1, 4.2, 4.3 = 100%	PLOs 4.1, 4.2, 4.3 = 100%	PLOs 1-5 Total: 93.4% By Journal Topic: Humility: 92.5% Courage: 92% Discernment: 96% Forgiveness: 93.7% Free Choice/ Leadership 92%	
<b>FA 2018</b>	N = 31	N=31	N = 31	
	PLOs 4.1, 4.2, 4.3 = 100%	PLOs 4.2, 4.3 = 100% PLO 4.1 = 96%	PLOs 1-5 Total: 100% By Journal Topic: Humility: 100% Courage: 100% Discernment: 100% Forgiveness: 100% Free Choice/ Leadership 100%	
<b>SP 2019</b>	N = 31	N = 31	N = 27	
	PLOs 4.1, 4.2, 4.3 = 100%	PLOs 4.1, 4.2, 4.3 = 100%	PLO's 1-5 Total = 98% Journal Topic: -Humility: 96.8% -Courage: 100% -Discernment: 100% -Forgiveness: 100% -Free choice/Leadership = 96.8%	
<b>FA 19</b>	N=41	N = 41	N = 41	
	100%	100%	Humility = 100% Courage = 97% Discernment = 100% Forgiveness = 100% Leadership/Free choice = 100%	
<b>SP 20</b>	N = 34	N = 34	N = 34	
	100%	100%	Humility = 94% Courage = 100% Discernment = 98% Forgiveness = 100% Leadership/Free choice = 94%	

**Note.** As of Fall 2019, sub-PLO's previously reported (i.e. 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 1.4, 1.5) on will no longer be assessed individually. The School of Nursing assesses only the overall PLO-4 of Inquiring Faithfully.

As of AY2020-2021, the School of Nursing assesses the entire "EBP Group Paper" for assessing PLO #4. Thus, a new Table was created to collect the Longitudinal Data for each academic year by combining Spring and Fall data. See the below.

AY	Course	N	Students Meeting or Exceeding Success Criteria	Comments
----	--------	---	--	----------

2020-2021 (FA20+SP21)	NSG 4070	77	100% (77/77) students met or exceeded the benchmark.	
--------------------------	----------	----	--	--

As of AY 2021-2022, the School of Nursing selects the “NSG 4060- Public Health Now Paper and Presentation ” for assessing PLO #4, as the EBP Group Paper was selected for assessing PLO #1. Thus, a new Table was created to collect the Longitudinal Data for each academic year by combining Spring and Fall data. See the below.

2021-2022 (FA21+SP22)	NSG 4060	39+40 =79	90% (71 /79) students met or exceeded the benchmark.	Most major errors were due to: not including content about a PH Essential Service, grammar, and APA formatting with references and citations.
--------------------------	----------	--------------	--	---

#### Conclusions Drawn from Data:

Program Learning Outcome (PLO)	Conclusions Drawn from Data
<b>BSN PLO #4</b>	We are surpassing our goal, which is great! We can improve on teaching proper APA formatting and holding students accountable for this throughout the program.

**Note:**

#### Changes to be Made Based on Data:

Program Learning Outcome (PLO)	Description of Changes to be Made Based on Data
<b>BSN PLO #4</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(1) Continue to emphasize the importance of including the PH Essential Service.</li> <li>(2) Consider doing a lesson on APA formatting.</li> <li>(3) Include an APA paper template as a guide in the assignment description</li> </ol>

**Rubrics Used:** Attached at the end of this document

NSG4060

Public Health Now Paper & Presentation

**School of Nursing**  
**BSN**  
**2021-2022**

**Learning Outcomes:**

Program Learning Outcome (PLO)	Description of Learning Outcome
<b>BSN PLO #5 Leading Faithfully</b>	The student will incorporate a foundational relationship with Christ and others and embrace a willingness to serve others in the midst of life-circumstances (e.g. illness, injustice, poverty). The student will role-model the need for “Sabbath Rest” as a means of personal renewal, and true care of the self so that service to others is optimally achieved. The student will incorporate the characteristics of a servant leader including: humility, courage, forgiveness, and discernment.

**Outcome Measures:**

Program Learning Outcome (PLO)	Course	Description of Outcome Measure
<b>BSN PLO #5</b>	NSG4081 Nursing Capstone Practicum	<u>Servant Leadership</u> Description of assignment here
	NSG4081 Nursing Capstone Practicum	<u>Proof of Attendance</u> Description of assignment here
	NSG 4081 Nursing Capstone Practicum	<u>Reflective Journal</u> There are some aspects of the SON Program Values that are difficult to measure (e.g. courage, forgiveness, and discernment). Faculty and staff in the SON felt strongly that while these concepts may be difficult to measure, they are extremely important to the formation of the professional Christian nurse. To that end, we are measuring these concepts through student journals.

**Note.** As of AY2020-2021, the School of Nursing selects the “NCLEX-RN Exam Pass Rate” for assessing PLO #5, instead of assessing 3 different assignments (“Tell the Story” from NSG 4080 + “Clinical Evaluation” from NSG 4081+ “Reflective Journal” from NSG 4091). See the new Table below.

Program Learning Outcome (PLO)	Course	Description of Outcome Measure
<b>BSN PLO #5</b>	NCLEX Pass Rate from CA-BRN	<u>NCLEX-RN Pass Rate</u> The program demonstrates that it meets the licensure pass rate. This data will be collected from California Board of Registered Nursing (CA-BRN).

**Criteria for Success:**

Program Learning Outcome (PLO)	Course	Statement of Criteria for Success
<b>BSN PLO #5</b>	NSG4081 Nursing Capstone Practicum	<u>Servant Leadership</u> 90% of students will achieve 81% or greater.
	NSG4081 Nursing Capstone Practicum	<u>Proof of Attendance</u> 90% of students will achieve 81% or greater.
	NSG 4081 Nursing Capstone Practicum	<u>Reflective Journal</u> 90% of students will achieve 81% or greater.

**Note.** As of AY2020-2021, the School of Nursing selects the “NCLEX –RN Exam Pass Rate)” for assessing PLO #5, instead of assessing 3 different assignments (“Tell the Story” from NSG 4080 + “Clinical Evaluation” from NSG 4081+ “Reflective Journal from NSG 4091). See the new Table below.

Program Learning Outcome (PLO)	Course	Statement of Criteria for Success
<b>BSN PLO #5</b>	NCLEX Pass Rate from CA- BRN	NCLEX pass rate will be 90% or higher for the first-time test takers for the most recent calendar year (from May to December)

Aligned with DQP Learning Areas (circle one or more but not all five):

1. **Specialized Knowledge**
2. **Broad Integrative Knowledge**
3. Intellectual Skills/Core Competencies
4. **Applied and Collaborative Learning**
5. Civic and Global Learning

**Longitudinal Data:**

AY	Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding Success Criteria			Comments
	NSG480 Tell the Story	NSG481 Clinical Evaluations	NSG481 Reflective Journals	
<b>SP 2015</b>	N = 35	N = 20	**	See asterisked comment for NSG480 Reflective Journals. No quantitative data is available at this time.
	PLOs 5.1, 5.2, 5.3 = 100%	100% (PLOs 5.1, 5.2, 5.3)	**	
<b>FA 2015</b>	N/A	N = 23	**	See asterisked comment for NSG480 Reflective Journals. No quantitative data is available at this time.
	N/A	100% (PLOs 5.1, 5.2) 96% (PLOs 5.3)	**	
<b>SP 2016</b>	N =	N=34	**	See asterisked comment for NSG480 Reflective Journals. No quantitative data is available at this time.
	PLOs 5.1, 5.2, 5.3 = 95%	PLO's 5.1, 5.2, 5.3 = 100%	**	
<b>FA 2016</b>	N/A	N = 40	**	See asterisked comment for NSG480 Reflective Journals. No quantitative data is available at this time.
	N/A	PLO's 4.1, 4.2, 4.3 = 100%	**	
<b>SP 2017</b>	N = 38	N = 38	**	See asterisked comment for NSG480 Reflective Journals. No quantitative data is available at this time.
	PLOs 5.1, 5.2, 5.3 = 95%	PLOs 5.1, 5.2 = 100% PLO 5.3 = 97%	**	
<b>FA 2017</b>	N = 39	N = 36	N = 40	
	PLOs 5.1, 5.2, 5.3 = 100%	PLOs 5.1, 5.2, 5.3 = 100%	PLOs 1-5 Total: 98.4% By Journal Topic: Humility: 96.5% Courage: 98.9% Discernment: 98% Forgiveness: 97.7% Mentoring/Leadership: 99.7%	

<b>SP 2018</b>	N = 35	N = 35	N = 36	
	PLOs 5.1, 5.2, 5.3 = 94%	PLOs 5.1, 5.2, 5.3 = 100%	PLOs 1-5 Total: 93.4% By Journal Topic: Humility: 92.5% Courage: 92% Discernment: 96% Forgiveness: 93.7% Free Choice/ Leadership 92%	
<b>FA 2018</b>	N = 31	N = 27	N = 31	
	PLOs 5.1, 5.2, 5.3 = 100%	PLOs 5.1, 5.2, 5.3 = 100%	PLOs 1-5 Total: 100% By Journal Topic: Humility: 100% Courage: 100% Discernment: 100% Forgiveness: 100% Free Choice/ Leadership 100%	
<b>SP 2019</b>	N = 31	N = 31	N = 27	
	PLOs 5.1, 5.2, 5.3 = 100%	PLO 5.1 & 5.3 = 100% PLO 5.2 = 96.7%	PLO's 1-5 Total = 98% Journal Topic: -Humility: 96.8% -Courage: 100% -Discernment: 100% -Forgiveness: 100% -Free choice/Leadership = 96.8%	
<b>FA 19</b>	N=41	N = 41	N = 41	
	100%	100%	Humility = 100% Courage = 97% Discernment = 100% Forgiveness = 100% Leadership/Free choice = 100%	
<b>SP 20</b>	N = 34	N = 34	N = 34	
	100%	100%	Humility = 94% Courage = 100% Discernment = 98% Forgiveness = 100% Leadership/Free choice = 94%	

\*\*Since Fall 2017, rubrics regarding quantitative measures have been used to assess Guided Reflective Journals. Students are meeting benchmarks, and we will continue to assess.

**Note.** As of Fall 2019, sub-PLO's previously reported (i.e. 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 1.4, 1.5) on will no longer be assessed individually. The School of Nursing assesses only the overall PLO-5 of Leading Faithfully.

As of AY2020-2021, the School of Nursing selects " NCLEX-RN Pass Rat" for assessing PLO #5. Thus, a new Table was created to collect the Longitudinal Data for each academic year by combining Spring and Fall data. See the below.

AY	Course	N	Students Meeting or Exceeding Success Criteria	Comments
2020-2021	NCLEX Pass Rate from CA-BRN	75	65 out of 75 graduates in May 2020 passed the NCLEX-RN exam on their first attempt (86.7%) –It did not meet the benchmark (below 90%).	
2021-2022	NCLEX Pass Rate from CA-BRN	74	64 out of 74 program completers in 2021 passed the NCLEX-RN exam on their first attempt (85.3%) – It is below the benchmark, 90%.	This year's pass rate (85.3%) is slightly lower than last year's pass rate (86.7%).

#### Conclusions Drawn from Data:

Program Learning Outcome (PLO)	Conclusions Drawn from Data
BSN PLO #5	We would like to continue to improve this pass rate, especially as we anticipate a more difficult NCLEX exam for SP23 graduates who will be taking the NextGen version of NCLEX. We are hopeful that program changes we have instituted since the pandemic began have made an impact on student learning and success.

**Note:** As of Fall 19, Sub-PLO's previously reported (i.e. 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 1.4, 1.5) on will no longer be assessed individually. The School of Nursing is now only assessing the overall PLO#5 of Leading Faithfully.

#### Changes to be Made Based on Data:

Program Learning Outcome (PLO)	Description of Changes to be Made Based on Data
BSN PLO #5	We will continue to provide our in-depth clinical judgment & skills training and assessment days at the start of each semester - Pre-Season in the fall and Spring Training in the spring. This event ensures that students are clinically competent and safe to begin their clinical rotations for that semester. It also allows us to identify at-risk students and remediate individually with them. Additionally, we are bolstering our NCLEX preparation offerings for students by partnering with Wolters Kluwer (WK). We are adopting a textbook and electronic resource package across the curriculum with WK - this package includes adaptive quizzing and interactive case studies. During students' senior year, they will be using the WK PassPoint program, which is the comprehensive NCLEX prep adaptive quizzing, testing, and remediation program. We are still in discussion about how to use ATI alongside WK.

**Rubrics Used:** Not applicable.

## NSG 4070 EBP Group Paper Grading Rubric

<b>Program/ Course/ Student Learning Outcomes</b>	<b>Initial (70%)</b>	<b>Emerging (75%)</b>	<b>Developing (85%)</b>	<b>Highly Developed (100%)</b>  <b>Student Learning Outcome (SLO):</b>	<b>Total 61 Possible Points</b>	<b>Points Awarded</b>
PLO 1 PLO 3 PLO 4  BSN Essential III: Scholarship for Evidence-Based Practice  BNS Essential VI: Interprofessional Communication and Collaboration for Improving Patient Health Outcomes			Meets 1 of 2 criteria of highly developed column	<b>CATALYST:</b>  <b>SLO: Examine significant problem in an area of clinical nursing and proposes an evidence based practice change project that improves the quality of care.</b>  <b>4 POINTS:</b> <b>Meets the following criteria (n = 2); 2 point each criteria</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Clearly identifies primary problem through citing references/statistics/boundaries designated by regulatory agencies and professional practices</li> <li>Queries staff on hypothetical unit regarding identified clinical practice and query is clearly summarized, adds support for need to change practice and advocates newly improved quality care for patient/family.</li> </ul>	<b>4</b>	
PLO 1 PLO 4  BSN Essential III: Scholarship for Evidence-Based Practice				<b>ASK</b> <b>SLO: Formulates a focused clinical PICO question using PICO format linking evidence to PICO question to guide acquisition of evidence and focus improvement of care.</b> <b>Describes appropriate EBP theoretical framework to guide this clinical practice change project.</b>  <b>4 POINTS:</b> <b>Meets the following criteria (n = 2); 2 point each criteria</b>	<b>4</b>	

				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Clearly identifies PICO question (Population, Intervention, Current Practice, Outcomes)</li> <li>Clearly identifies EBP theoretical framework used to guide this EBP practice change proposal project. Engages in a professional practice environment that promotes nursing excellence.</li> </ul>		
<b>PLO 1</b>  BSN Essential III: Scholarship for Evidence-Based Practice  BNS Essential VI: Interprofessional Communication and Collaboration for Improving Patient Health Outcomes  BSN Essential IV: Information Management and Application of Patient Care Technology	<b>0-1 points</b> Meets 0-1 of 4 criteria in highly developed column	<b>2 points</b> Meets 2 of 4 criteria in highly developed column	<b>3 points</b> Meets 3 of 4 criteria in highly developed column	<b>ACQUIRE</b> SLO: Describes appropriate search strategies and acquisition of evidence.  <b>4 POINTS:</b> Meets the following criteria (n = 4); 1 point each criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Clearly and succinctly,</li> <li>Discusses data bases used for search strategies.</li> <li>Describes search terms and key.</li> <li>Identifies # of pieces of evidence yielded</li> <li>Describes how evidence was prioritized and chosen for evaluation, critique, &amp; synthesis.</li> </ul>	<b>4</b>	
<b>PLO 1</b> <b>PLO 3</b>  BSN Essential III: Scholarship for Evidence-Based Practice  BNS Essential VI: Interprofessional Communication and Collaboration for Improving Patient Health Outcomes  BSN Essential IV: Information Management and Application of Patient Care Technology	<b>0-4 points</b> Meets 0-1 of 4 criteria in highly developed column	<b>8 points</b> Meets 2 of 4 criteria in highly developed column	<b>12 points</b> Meets 3 of 4 criteria in highly developed column	<b>APPRAISE</b> SLO: Discusses level of evidence, validity, reliability and applicability of references in the Evidence Evaluation Table and narrative of the paper.  <b>16 POINTS:</b> Meets the following criteria (n = 4); 4 points each criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Accurately identifies/addressses level of evidence using an identified hierarchy of evidence.</li> <li>Appraises sufficient body of 8-10 reliable and valid sources of evidence utilized to support best clinical practice. Sufficiently</li> </ul>	<b>16</b>	

				<p>critiquing the evidence's validity, reliability, and applicability correctly in concise writing style using research terminology.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Includes in appendices, an accurate appraisal of 1 piece of evidence using the Rapid Critical Appraisals (RCAs) and attaches the completed RCA and copy of the 1 evidence article to the paper.</li> <li>Appropriate and accurate use of the Evidence Evaluation &amp; Evidence Synthesis Tables, concise summaries of articles help to correctly identify best practice.</li> </ul>		
<p>PLO 1 PLO3 PLO 5</p> <p>BSN Essential III: Scholarship for Evidence-Based Practice</p> <p>BNS Essential VI: Interprofessional Communication and Collaboration for Improving Patient Health Outcomes</p> <p>BSN Essential IV: Information Management and Application of Patient Care Technology</p>	<p><b>0-5 points</b> <b>Meets 0-1 of</b> <b>4 criteria in</b> <b>highly</b> <b>developed</b> <b>column</b></p>	<p><b>10 points</b> <b>Meets 2 of 4</b> <b>criteria in highly</b> <b>developed</b> <b>column</b></p>	<p><b>15 points</b> <b>Meets 3 of 4</b> <b>criteria in highly</b> <b>developed</b> <b>column</b></p>	<p><b>APPRAISE</b></p> <p><b>SLO: Synthesizes research articles summarizing the evidence that supports best practice related to the problem selected.</b></p> <p><b>20 POINTS:</b> <b>Meets the following criteria (n = 4);</b> <b>5 points each criteria</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Clearly and accurately contrasts and compares the evidence to existing clinical practice</li> <li>Accurately identifies and rationalizes implications for nursing practice- exhibits nursing practice as patient advocacy that reflects sensitivity to diversity in a holistic manner within the new practice change</li> <li>Summarizes scholarly paper with a clearly worded conclusion identifying clinical practice and incorporates relevant data that supports whether or not the clinical practice is consistent with the best practice identified in the evidence.</li> </ul>	<b>20</b>	

				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All elements addressed / represented in a logical flow of ideas, identifies relevant findings, alternate views, explanations in concise writing style using research terminology- evidence of scholarly writing through use of research terminology</li> </ul>		
<b>PLO 1</b> <b>PLO3</b>  <b>BSN Essential III:</b> <b>Scholarship for</b> <b>Evidence-Based Practice</b>  <b>BNS Essential VI:</b> <b>Interprofessional</b> <b>Communication and</b> <b>Collaboration for</b> <b>Improving</b> <b>Patient Health Outcomes</b>  <b>BSN Essential IV:</b> <b>Information</b> <b>Management and</b> <b>Application of Patient</b> <b>Care Technology</b>	<b>0-2 points</b> <b>Meets 0-9 of</b> <b>16 criteria in</b> <b>highly</b> <b>developed</b> <b>column</b>	<b>4 points</b> <b>Meets 10-11 of</b> <b>16 criteria in</b> <b>highly developed</b> <b>column</b>	<b>6 points</b> <b>Meets 12-13 of 16</b> <b>criteria in highly</b> <b>developed</b> <b>column</b>	<b>SLO: Uses written, nonverbal, and emerging technology methods to communicate effectively.</b>  <b>8 POINTS:</b> <b>Meets the following criteria (n = 16);</b> <b>0.5 points each criteria or has 14 of 16 items</b>  Applied <b>APA format</b> according to the 7 <sup>th</sup> edition of the APA Manual, including at least 11 of the 13 following elements: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Title page</li> <li>Font size and typeface</li> <li>Running head</li> <li>Page numbers</li> <li>Margins</li> <li>Spacing</li> <li>Headings</li> <li>Abbreviations</li> <li>Professional Language (no contractions, colloquialisms, clichés, slang, etc)</li> <li>Citations, in text</li> <li>Citations, end of text</li> <li>Quotes (max = 2)</li> <li>Proper citation of direct quotes</li> <li>Reference pages</li> <li>Appendix(ces), easy to understand- Evidence Evaluation Table, Evidence Synthesis Table</li> <li>Appendix(ces), referred to in paper and linked to narrative of paper in a smooth, logical way</li> </ul>	<b>8</b>	
<b>PLO 1</b> <b>PLO 3</b> <b>PLO 4</b> <b>PLO 5</b>  <b>BSN Essential III:</b> <b>Scholarship for</b> <b>Evidence-Based Practice</b>  <b>BNS Essential VI:</b>	<b>0-2 points</b> <b>Submitted</b> <b>paper</b> <b>including &lt;6</b> <b>of 10 criteria</b> <b>listed in</b> <b>“highly</b> <b>developed”</b>	<b>3 points</b> <b>Submitted paper</b> <b>including 6-7 of</b> <b>10 criteria listed</b> <b>in “highly</b> <b>developed”</b> <b>criteria</b>	<b>4 points</b> <b>Submitted paper</b> <b>including 8 of 10</b> <b>criteria listed in</b> <b>“highly</b> <b>developed”</b> <b>criteria</b>	<b>SLO: Promote the image of nursing by modeling the values and articulating the knowledge, skills, and attitudes of the nursing profession.</b>  <b>5 points:</b> <b>Meets 9-10 criteria</b> <b>Meets the following criteria (n=10),</b> <b>0.5 points each</b>	<b>5</b>	

<p><b>Interprofessional Communication and Collaboration for Improving Patient Health Outcomes</b></p> <p><b>BSN Essential IV: Information Management and Application of Patient Care Technology</b></p>	<p><b>criteria</b></p>			<p>Submitted <b>professional paper</b> including at least 10 of the following (n= 10):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Maintenance of confidentiality</li> <li>- Timely submission through turnitin.com to Canvas</li> <li>- 8-12 pages in length (exclusive of title and reference page); content starting on page13 will not be included in grading</li> <li>- Organized with an introduction, body, conclusion and necessary transitions</li> <li>- Contains less than 4 grammar errors for the entire paper, including appendices</li> <li>- Contains less than 2 spelling and/or punctuation errors for the entire paper, including appendices</li> <li>- Sentences written without fragments or run-ons</li> <li>- Paragraphs are neither short or long</li> <li>- Text written without bias (e.g. gender) or informality (e.g. first person)</li> <li>- At least 2 per team member current (<math>\leq</math> 5 years) research journals and/or professional sources cited</li> </ul>		
---	------------------------	--	--	---	--	--

## NSG 4070 EBP Poster Presentation

<b>Learning Outcomes</b>	<b>Total points possible</b>	<b>Initial (70%)</b>	<b>Emerging (75%)</b>	<b>Developed (85%)</b>	<b>Highly Developed (100%)</b>	<b>Student score</b>
PLO 1 PLO 3 PLO 4  BSN Essential III: Scholarship for Evidence-Based Practice  BNS Essential VI: Interprofessional Communication and Collaboration for Improving Patient Health Outcomes  BSN Essential IV: Information Management and Application of Patient Care Technology	4	<b>1 point</b> <b>Meets 1 of 4 criteria of highly developed column</b>	<b>2 points</b> <b>Meets 2 of 4 criteria of highly developed column</b>	<b>3 points</b> <b>Communicated using 6-7 of 8 “highly developed” criteria</b>	<b>4 points</b> <b>Meets 8 of 8 criteria, each worth 0.5 point (n=8)</b>  <b>SLO: Uses written, verbal, non-verbal, and emerging technology methods to communicate effectively.</b> <b>Communicated using appropriate verbal and non-verbal skills including (n=8):</b>  - Introduction of self with first name, last name and credentials - Professional language without informality (e.g. slang, profanity) or bias - Appropriate rate, neither too fast nor too slow. - Appropriate volume for the environment - Engaging eye contact - Speech free from fillers (e.g. uh, like, etc) - Effective and non-distracting gestures - Expert response to questions	
PLO 1 PLO 3 PLO 4  BSN Essential III: Scholarship for Evidence-Based Practice  BNS Essential VI: Interprofessional Communication and Collaboration for Improving Patient Health Outcomes  BSN Essential IV:	6	<b>0 points</b> <b>Presented using &lt; 8 of 12 “highly developed” criteria</b>	<b>2 points</b> <b>Presented using 8-9 of 12 “highly developed” criteria</b>	<b>4 points</b> <b>Presented using 10-11 of 12 “highly developed” criteria</b>	<b>6 points</b> <b>Meets 12 criteria, 0.5 points each</b> <b>SLO: Assume accountability for personal and professional behaviors.</b> <b>Presented in professional manner including (n=12):</b>  - Timely preparation, arrival to class and start of presentation - Professional attire (e.g. non-scrubs, clean/odor-free, wrinkle-free and loose fitting attire)	

Information Management and Application of Patient Care Technology					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Business appropriate shoes, no sandals or flip flops</li> <li>- Shirt/Blouse without exposure of cleavage, chest or midriff</li> <li>- Skirt length no more than 2 inches above the knee</li> <li>- Slacks/pants hemmed, non-denim</li> <li>- Underwear not visible or outlined</li> <li>- Conservative jewelry (e.g. only one pair of earrings to the ear lobe)</li> <li>- Unadventurous, styled and neat hair/grooming</li> <li>- Distraction-free (e.g. chewing gum, food)</li> <li>- No visible tattoos</li> <li>- Time-limit adherence to 3 - 5 minutes, including Q&amp;A period</li> </ul>	
PLO 1 PLO 3 PLO 4 PLO 5  BNS Essential VI: Interprofessional Communication and Collaboration for Improving Patient Health Outcomes  BSN Essential IV: Information Management and Application of Patient Care Technology	16	Meets 1 of 4 criteria of highly developed column	Meets 2 of 4 criteria of highly developed column	Meets 3 of 4 criteria of highly developed column	<b>16 points</b> <b>Meets all 4 of 4 criteria, each worth 4 points (n=4)</b>  <b>SLO: Constructs poster to disseminate information gathered from Evidenced Based Practice project.</b>  <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Appropriate use of poster template. Visually appealing design with graphics and text boxes.</li> <li>- Language was professional and formal without bias, ambiguity or too much simplicity.</li> <li>- Creative construction of poster with effort to present main points of project &amp; patient care advocacy: Catalyst, Assess (Regulations/Statistics/Practice), Ask, Acquire, Appraise, Apply, Analyze.</li> <li>- Sufficient information was presented to draw in audience and enhance learning. Clear &amp; easy to understand.</li> </ul>	

**Pertinent BSN Essentials:**

- BSN Essential III: Scholarship for Evidence-Based Practice

- **BNS Essential VI: Interprofessional Communication and Collaboration for Improving Patient Health Outcomes**



## NRS4080 Guided Reflective Journal Rubric

	Highly Developed (7 pts)	Developed (6 pts)	Emerging (0.200 pt)	Initial (0.100 pt)
<p>Description of the Clinical Context, Clinical Experience &amp; Identification of Journal Theme PLO 1-5 BSN Essentials: II, IV, VI, VIII, IX (1.000, 20%) AACN-BACC-ESS-2008.2 AACN-BACC-ESS-2008.4 AACN-BACC-ESS-2008.6 AACN-BACC-ESS-2008.8 AACN-BACC-ESS-2008.9</p>	<p>Addresses 7 of the 7 criteria Clinical Context:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• What clinical day/night or mentoring scenario is this?</li> <li>• What theme will you address in the journal?</li> <li>• Describe the context of the unit/mentoring experience</li> </ul> <p>Clinical Experience:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provide an overview of your patient assignment/mentoring experience</li> <li>• What happened on this clinical shift/mentoring scenario?</li> <li>• What specific actions took place during the situation/event? (Your actions? Others?)</li> <li>• Were there any specific or unique conditions related to the event?</li> </ul>	<p>Addresses 6 of the 7 areas in the “Highly Developed” column</p>	<p>NO points will be earned . . .</p> <p>No response to question(s) or lack of basic content and discussion</p> <p>Submitted beyond due date/time</p>	<p>NO points will be earned . . .</p> <p>No response to question(s) or lack of basic content and discussion</p> <p>Submitted beyond due date/time</p>
<p>Personal Reactions &amp; Evaluation of the Situation: Description of what you were thinking and feeling.</p>	<p>Addresses 7 of the 7 criteria</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• What were your thoughts during the event?</li> <li>• What were your feelings related to the event?</li> </ul>	<p>Addresses 6 of the 7 areas in the “Highly Developed”</p>	<p>NO points will be earned . . .</p> <p>No response to question(s) or lack</p>	<p>NO points will be earned . . .</p> <p>No response to question(s) or</p>

	Highly Developed (7 pts)	Developed (6 pts)	Emerging (0.200 pt)	Initial (0.100 pt)
Use the following questions to guide your description as applicable. PLO 1-5 BSN Essentials: I, II, IV, V, VI, VII, VIII, IX (1.000, 20%) AACN-BACC-ESS-2008.1 AACN-BACC-ESS-2008.2 AACN-BACC-ESS-2008.4 AACN-BACC-ESS-2008.5 AACN-BACC-ESS-2008.6 AACN-BACC-ESS-2008.7 AACN-BACC-ESS-2008.8 AACN-BACC-ESS-2008.9	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Were your actions the most appropriate for this particular event?</li> <li>• Why or why not?</li> <li>• What were the positive and negative aspects and outcomes of the incident?</li> <li>• Were there aspects or influences that interfered with doing a better job?</li> <li>• What were they?</li> </ul>	column	<p>of basic content and discussion</p> <p>Submitted beyond due date/time</p>	<p>lack of basic content and discussion</p> <p>Submitted beyond due date/time</p>
Analysis of the Situation PLO 1-5 BSN Essentials: I-IX (1.000, 20%) AACN-BACC-ESS-2008.1 AACN-BACC-ESS-2008.2 AACN-BACC-ESS-2008.3 AACN-BACC-ESS-2008.4 AACN-BACC-ESS-2008.5	<p>Addresses 7 of the 7 criteria</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• What personal clinical/mentoring objectives did you meet today and how?</li> <li>• Incorporate/Integrate two text/ATI/journal theory/references into the body of written clinical experience. Ensure at least 2 references are focused on the journal theme and from</li> </ul>	Addresses 6 of the 7 areas in the “Highly Developed” column	<p>NO points will be earned . . .</p> <p>No response to question(s) or lack of basic content and discussion</p> <p>Submitted beyond due date/time</p>	<p>NO points will be earned . . .</p> <p>No response to question(s) or lack of basic content and discussion</p> <p>Submitted beyond due</p>

	Highly Developed (7 pts)	Developed (6 pts)	Emerging (0.200 pt)	Initial (0.100 pt)
AACN-BACC-ESS-2008.6 AACN-BACC-ESS-2008.7 AACN-BACC-ESS-2008.8 AACN-BACC-ESS-2008.9	<p>course textbooks or from pertinent topical research articles from peer-reviewed journals.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• How can you make sense of what happened?</li> <li>• At the time of the incident, what guided your actions?</li> <li>• What should you have used to guide your actions?</li> <li>• How did your knowledge in this situation? Did you possess the knowledge and skill level needed for the situation?</li> <li>• What did you learn that surprises you?</li> </ul>			date/time
<p>Conclusion. Identify your lessons learned, how you will incorporate what you have learned today into your nursing practice. PLO 1-5 BSN Essentials: I-IX (1.000, 20%)AACN-BACC-ESS-2008.1 AACN-BACC-ESS-2008.2</p>	<p>Addresses 7 of the 7 criteria</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• What did you learn as a result of the situation?</li> <li>• What were lessons learned?</li> <li>• Do you need to modify your beliefs, assumptions, and attitudes?</li> <li>• If this situation were to occur again, how will you act similarly/differently?</li> <li>• How has the situation</li> </ul>	<p>Addresses 6 of the 7 areas in the “Highly Developed” column</p>	<p>NO points will be earned . . .</p> <p>No response to question(s) or lack of basic content and discussion</p> <p>Submitted beyond due date/time</p>	<p>NO points will be earned . . .</p> <p>No response to question(s) or lack of basic content and discussion</p> <p>Submitted beyond due</p>

	Highly Developed (7 pts)	Developed (6 pts)	Emerging (0.200 pt)	Initial (0.100 pt)
AACN-BACC-ESS-2008.3 AACN-BACC-ESS-2008.4 AACN-BACC-ESS-2008.5 AACN-BACC-ESS-2008.6 AACN-BACC-ESS-2008.7 AACN-BACC-ESS-2008.8 AACN-BACC-ESS-2008.9	influenced your practice? • What were your resources (e.g. human, electronic) to arrive at a conclusion? • To whom would you communicate this learning?			date/time
Professional Writing PLO 1-5 BSN Essentials: I, III, IV, VIII, IX(1.000, 20%) AACN-BACC-ESS-2008.1 AACN-BACC-ESS-2008.3 AACN-BACC-ESS-2008.4 AACN-BACC-ESS-2008.8 AACN-BACC-ESS-2008.9	Addresses 7 of the 7 criteria • Correct grammar/spelling with less than 3 errors. • APA format (6th ed.) Title page, page numbers, running head, headers, citations, references. • First person narrative may be used during the guided reflection. • Cover Page includes: • Student Name, • Journal Number, • Journal Theme, • Date of Clinical/Mentoring Experience, • Preceptor Initials (if applicable), • Due Date (7 days after the	Addresses 6 of the 7 areas in the “Highly Developed” column	NO points will be earned . . .  No response to question(s) or lack of basic content and discussion  Submitted beyond due date/time	NO points will be earned . . .  No response to question(s) or lack of basic content and discussion  Submitted beyond due date/time

	Highly Developed (7 pts)	Developed (6 pts)	Emerging (0.200 pt)	Initial (0.100 pt)
	beginning of the clinical shift <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Content organized with a final summarized paragraph</li> <li>• Clearly understood</li> </ul>			

## Standards

AACN-BACC-ESS-2008.1

Essential I: Liberal Education for Baccalaureate Generalist Nursing Practice

AACN-BACC-ESS-2008.2

Essential II: Basic Organizational and Systems Leadership for Quality Care and Patient Safety

AACN-BACC-ESS-2008.3

Essential III: Scholarship for EvidenceBased Practice

AACN-BACC-ESS-2008.4

Essential IV: Information Management and Application of Patient Care Technology

AACN-BACC-ESS-2008.5

Essential V: Healthcare Policy, Finance, and Regulatory Environments

AACN-BACC-ESS-2008.6

Essential VI: Interprofessional Communication and Collaboration for Improving Patient Health Outcomes

AACN-BACC-ESS-2008.7

Essential VII: Clinical Prevention and Population Health

AACN-BACC-ESS-2008.8

Essential VIII: Professionalism and Professional Values

AACN-BACC-ESS-2008.9

Essential IX: Baccalaureate Generalist Nursing Practice

**NSG 4060: Nursing of Community: Community Health Focus****Grading Rubric: Public Health Now Paper & Presentation****TOTAL Points Possible = 75**

Student Learning Outcome	Initial 0 points	Emerging 10 points	Developed 15 points	Highly Developed 20 points	Score
<b>1. Appraise major issues shaping current public health nursing practice</b> CLO I.2, I.4, I.5, II.1, III.3, IV.3, IV.4		Includes 1 of 3 criteria listed in "highly developed" column	Includes 2 of 3 criteria listed in "highly developed" column	Describes public health topic including (n=3): -Summary of topic from chosen news article -Identification of the problem and its significance -Description of the population most affected or at-risk for this problem	
<b>2. Examine strategies to empower community clients in all aspects of the healthcare process</b> CLO I.1, II.2, IV.1, V.2, V.4		Includes 1 of 3 criteria listed in "highly developed" column	Includes 2 of 3 criteria listed in "highly developed" column	Discusses public health recommendations for topic including (n=3): -Recommendation of interventions at 3 levels of prevention with examples for each level	
<b>3. Discriminate community resources for children and families as a function of service</b> CLO V.1, IV.2, III.4		Includes 1 of 2 criteria listed in "highly developed" column		Discusses public health implications of topic including (n=2): -Discussion of current strategies addressing topic/problem (i.e. new technologies, community based programs, federal programs, surveillance studies, education campaigns) -Identification of specific public health essential service(s) to be applied to problem	
Student Learning Outcome	Initial 2 points	Emerging 3 points	Developed 4 points	Highly Developed 5 points	Score
<b>4. Demonstrate</b>	Includes <5 criteria	Includes 5 of 8 criteria	Includes 6-7 of 8 criteria	Written in a scholarly tone	

<b>effective verbal and nonverbal communication skills to provide patient care</b> CLO III.2	listed in “highly developed” column	listed in “highly developed” column	listed in “highly developed” column	that includes (n=8): -No more than 3 pages in length (exclusive of title and reference pages) - Organized with an introduction, body, conclusion and necessary transitions -Contains less than 6 grammar errors for the entire paper -Contains less than 6 spelling and/or punctuation errors for the entire paper -Sentences written without fragments or run-ons -Paragraphs are neither short or long -Text written without bias (e.g. gender) or informality (e.g. first person) -At least 3 professional sources cited	
<b>4. Demonstrate effective verbal and nonverbal communication skills to provide patient care</b> CLO III.2	Includes <7 criteria listed in “highly developed” column	Includes 7-8 of 11 criteria listed in “highly developed” column	Includes 9 of 11 criteria listed in “highly developed” column	Formatting follows APA 6 <sup>th</sup> edition including at least 10 of the 11 following: -Title page -Font size & typeface -Page numbers -Margins -Spacing -Headings -Abbreviations -Professional language (no contractions, colloquialisms, clichés, slang, etc.) -Citations -Quotes (max = 1) -Reference page	
<b>5. Foster open communication among the</b>	Includes <2 of 4 criteria listed in “highly developed” column	Includes 2 of 4 criteria listed in “highly developed” column	Includes 3 of 4 criteria listed in “highly developed” column	Presents paper and demonstrates engagement in peer presentations	

<b>healthcare team to improve client, family and public health outcomes</b> CLO I.1, I.2, III.2				including (n=4): -Presentation of problem/topic and its significance -1 recommendation for PH nursing practice for each level of prevention (3) -1 thing you learned from the presentation -1 question you still have about the topic presented	
--	--	--	--	---	--