Department of Music—BA in Music, Evidence and Use of Evidence

Department Learning Outcome (Teach)—*Music graduates will develop both a broad knowledge of their discipline and specific skills in performing, teaching and directing music.*

Program Learning Outcomes (Teach):

- 1. Demonstrate essential competencies in musicianship skills in written theory, aural skills, and keyboard musicianship.
- 2. Develop applied music skills in one primary performance area in both solo and ensemble settings.
- 3. Become conversant with the essential outlines of music history, music literature, and an awareness of significant non-western musical styles.

Department Learning Outcome (Shape)—Students will develop characteristics necessary to strengthen and contribute to the musical life of the communities where they work and live.

Program Learning Outcomes (Shape):

- 4. All students will demonstrate proficiency in basic conducting skills.
- 5. Students will participate in ensemble performances through regular rehearsal attendance and highest efforts as demonstrated through high-level collegiate performance.

Department Learning Outcome (Send): Graduates will be prepared to serve as musicians in a changing world through their technical and professional abilities. This includes preparation for careers in the arts and entertainment industry, music education, praise and worship and graduate study.

Program Learning Outcomes (Send):

6. Develop and articulate a clear application of the concepts of calling, role, path and purpose as they apply to the discipline of music.

Department of Music PLO Data for BA in Music: Fa 2019 - Sp2020

Learning Outcome 1

Demonstrate essential competencies in musicianship skills in written theory, aural skills, and keyboard musicianship.

Outcome Measures

- 1. Written Theory—Entrance/Exit Exams in MUT100 and MUT120,
- 2. MacGamut Levels in MUT220, and
- 3. Piano Proficiency Exam

Criteria for Success

- 1. 75% of students will score at least an 80 on the Final Exam of MUT120
- 2. 60% of students will achieve at least Level 5 on the Melodic and Harmonic Dictation of MacGamut by MUT220
- 3. 60% of students will pass the Piano Proficiency Exam by the end of the sixth semester

Aligned with DQP Learning Areas

- 1. Specialized Knowledge
- 2. Broad Integrative Knowledge
- 3. Intellectual Skills/Core Competencies
- 4. Applied and Collaborative Learning, and
- 5. Civic and Global Learning

Longitudinal Data

Freshman Music Theory Entrance/Exit Exam

 Table 1—Results of the Music Theory Entrance/Exam showing the number of students taking the exam, average scores on the way in and on the way out and percentage of students that achieve the benchmark.

Year	No. of entering freshmen	Average entrance score	Average exit score	Percentage of students scoring 80 or higher
2009-10	22	8	83	65%
2010-11	23	6	86	100%
2011-12	29	10	92	100%
2012-13	27	12	87	83%
2013-14	27	10	86	84%
2014-15	17	13	76	65%
2015-16	25	14	84	92%
2016-17	11	35	97	91%
2017-18	14	27	89	93%
2018-19	12	23	87	91%
2019-20	*	*	*	*

* —See conclusions

Piano Proficiency Exam

# of semesters						
4	5	6	7	8	9*	
34%	54%	54%	54%	85%	85%	
44%	52%	61%	61%	74%	74%	
43%	46%	62%	67%	89%	89%	
19%	28%	35%	54%	61%	61%	
15%	18%	25%	30%	71%	84%	
50%	61%	73%	77%	100%		
64%	71%	82%	82%			
15%	20%	39%				
45%	53%	62%				
43%						
	34% 44% 43% 19% 15% 50% 64% 15% 45%	4 5 34% 54% 44% 52% 43% 46% 19% 28% 15% 18% 50% 61% 64% 71% 15% 20% 45% 53%	45634%54%54%44%52%61%43%46%62%19%28%35%15%18%25%50%61%73%64%71%82%15%20%39%45%53%62%	4 5 6 7 34% 54% 54% 54% 44% 52% 61% 61% 43% 46% 62% 67% 19% 28% 35% 54% 15% 18% 25% 30% 50% 61% 73% 77% 64% 71% 82% 82% 15% 20% 39% 45%	4 5 6 7 8 34% 54% 54% 54% 85% 44% 52% 61% 61% 74% 43% 46% 62% 67% 89% 19% 28% 35% 54% 61% 15% 18% 25% 30% 71% 50% 61% 73% 77% 100% 64% 71% 82% 82% 15% 20% 39% 15% 45% 53% 62%	

Table 2—Results of the Piano Proficiency Exam from 2009 to 2016 organized by cohort, showing the percentage of the class that completed all sections during the 4th to the 9th semester of matriculation.

MacGamut Levels at the end of MUT220

Table 3—MacGamut levels in Melodic and Harmonic dictation at the end of Music Theory III (MUT220)

Year	No of Students	Students at Melodic Level 5	Students at Harmonic Level 5
2014-15	8	63%	50%
2015-16	11	36.4%	45.5%
2016-17	17	88%	82%
2017-18	11	87%	81%
2018-19	8	100%	92%
2019-20	*	*	*

Conclusions Drawn from Data

The Music Theory Placement Exam continues to provide a useful measure of the change in our First-Year students' music theory skills over the course of the year. However, COVID made collecting data difficult or impossible for this academic year. We do not have a complete set of Placement Exams for the entering 2019-20 class as a result of the complicated way that we added students to classes although we do have a representative set of tests. However, we do not have the exit exams, the Final Exams for MUT1020, Theory I since those exams were completed in pairs and fully online. There is no way to compare the SP2020 exams to any previous exams. We should have more reliable exams starting with FA2021.

We continue to monitor the completion rate of Piano Proficiency and do not feel satisfied with where we are with the classes that lead up to the test. Our completion rate, once again, tends to hit the target in the 6th-7th semesters instead of the 4th. We are aware that there is still disagreement between the piano faculty and the department chair about how best to administer the test and the piano requirements. The chair is urging the piano faculty to roll the proficiency exam into the final exams of the piano courses and the piano faculty still wants a traditional,

barrier-style test. This past year we worked more stringently to ensure that students were staying enrolled in our piano classes until the Piano Proficiency Test is completed. This is probably what led to a slight increase in the completion rates between the 2012 and the 2013 cohorts.

For several years we have charted the students' Aural Skills development using the software package MacGamut. However, Ann Blombach, the developer of MacGamut decided to discontinue the software in SP 2020. We have been experimenting with its replacement, Artusimusic.com and a competitor ToneSavy.com but neither has the ability to chart the student's progress through gradually increasing levels in the manner of MacGamut. We continue to look for alternatives but at this point have not identified a manner to chart student progress that will interface with previously collected data. It is possible that we may need to zero out the data and start over with a new system to track student progress.

Changes to be Made Based on Data

None at this time.

Learning Outcome 2

Develop applied music skills in one primary performance area in both solo and ensemble settings.

Outcome Measures

Private lesson juries at the end of the semester

Criteria for Success

75% of students will score at least Proficient in 8 of the 10 areas.

Aligned with DQP Learning Areas

- 1. Specialized Knowledge
- 2. Broad Integrative Knowledge
- 3. Intellectual Skills/Core Competencies
- 4. Applied and Collaborative Learning
- 5. Civic and Global Learning

Longitudinal Data

Table 4—Showing the averages across all juries from the Common Applied Rubric where an 8 is the lowest number in the Proficient category and 10 is Exemplary and the percentage of students that are at or above level.

	Repertory and Style	Technical Progress	Musicality and Performance
2016	8.95	8.43	8.69
2017	8.6—95%	8.8—92%	8.9—93%
2018	8.55—91%	8.2—89%	8.7—89%
2019	8.76—93%	8.3—91%	8.6—86%
2020	*	*	*

Conclusions Drawn from Data

Due to the worldwide pandemic, degree-required recitals in 2019-20 were not assessed.

Changes to be Made Based on Data

None at this time.

Rubric Used

Revised Strings Rubric for Applied Juries. (See next page)

Revised Strings Rubric for Applied Juries (Sept 2016)

ltem	Exemplary (5)	Proficie	nt (4-3)	Develop	ing (2-1)	Initial (0)	Comments
Repertory and Sty							
Repertory and Selection	Repertory and Repertory is exceptional, creative course level. Musical and technical skills			nstrate essential ome opportunities display progress	Repertory is either well below or beyond the student's ability Minimal evidence of progress		
	5	4	3	2	1	0	
Meter, Rhythm and Style			cure and convey a playing style. ce is used to nes and emotional		Inaccuracies and muddiness mar performance. Little or no demonstration of playing style or improvement from previous semesters.		
	5	4	3	2	1	0	
Technical Progres	S						
LHTechnical Facility	Smooth, natural, and seemingly effortless throughout selections. Professional technique is im- pressive and technically brilliant. Intonation is secure even in technically difficult and awkward passages. Lush, warm vibrato.	Fluid technique and technical growth is evident throughout selections. Technical passages are secure and the performance demonstrates a wide range of technical work and contribute to the musical presentation. Secure, even withrato		Technique is awkward and incorrect/missed pitches noticeably hamper the perfor- mance. Technical difficulties and intonation problems from previous semesters are still evident, unchanged and unaddressed. Vibrato starts and stops or is missing.			
	5	4	3	2	1	0	
Bow Hand and Bowing	Full range of articulations and bowings are accurate and effortless throughout selections and communicate a sophisticated and professional understanding of playing style	Wide range of artic bowings demonstr understanding of p Musical style chan from piece to piece changes are fluid,	ate an laying style. ges appropriately and bow	Inaccuracies and muddiness communicate a lack of knowledge of or inability to engage playing styles. Some improvement is visible but more is needed.		Inaccuracies and muddiness mar performance. Little or no demonstration of playing style or improvement from previous semesters.	
	5	4	3	2	1	0	
Tone Quality	Professional, full and character- istically mature tone. Exceptional support, depth and volume throughout selections	Tone is characteris supported. The im growth is evident.		Tone can tend to and tenuous at ti always centered Some improvem more is needed.	mes. Tone is not or characteristic.	Tone often loses focus and/or support and is uncharacteristic. Little or no improvement from previous semester	
	5	4	3	2	1	0	

Revised Strings Rubric for Applied Juries (Sept 2016)

BA in Music Assessment Report – SP20

ltem	Exemplary (5)	Proficie	nt (4-3)	Develop	ing (2-1)	Initial (0)	Comments
Dynamics and Contrast	Exceptional use of dynamic con- trasts to richly communicate full range of dynamic possibilities.	Played as written and observed dynamic contrasts. Dynamics creatively communicated an appropriate level of musical understanding.		Observed most of the written dynamics and at times used dynamics in a creative manner to fashion the line. Some improvement is visible.		Dynamic markings are not communicated and performance does not engage the full dynamic, performing range. Little or no progress from previous semesters.	
	5	4	3	2	1	0	
Musicality and Perf	formance						
Phrasing	Exceptionally planned and executed phrasing communicates mature and professional musicality	Phrasing clearly used to commu- nicate the musical line. Strong evi- dence of musical growth from previous semesters.		The musical line suffers at times from unclear, poorly executed or missing phrasing. Improvement from previous semesters is evident but more is needed.		Performance visibly suffers from phrasing that is either inconsistent or completely missing. The musical line is not communicated and no improvement is evident.	
	5	4	3	2	1	0	
Musicianship/ Communication	Exceptionally high level of emotional involvement conveys a deep understanding of the music and a desire to communicate an emotional connection with the music.	throughout the sele emotional involvem	ughout the selections and totional involvement is readily ble. Strong growth from		Incorrect style or lack of any stylistic change from piece to piece. Performer is emotionally detached from the music. No growth from previous semesters.		
	5	4	3	2	1	0	
Appearance and Performance		Appearance and deportment are appropriate and thoughtfully planned.		Appearance and acceptable and c from the perform	lo not detract	Appearance and/or deportment are noticeably inappropriate and visually uncomfortable.	
		2			1	0	

SCORE	
-------	--

Comments:

BA in Music Assessment Report – SP20

Learning Outcome 3

Become conversant with the essential outlines of music history, music literature, and an awareness of significant non-western musical styles.

Outcome Measures

Final Papers/Projects in MUH3031, 3032, or 3033

Criteria for Success

75% of students will score at least Proficient in 8 of the 10 areas

Aligned with DQP Learning Areas

- 1. Specialized Knowledge
- 2. Broad Integrative Knowledge
- 3. Intellectual Skills/Core Competencies
- 4. Applied and Collaborative Learning, and
- 5. Civic and Global Learning

Longitudinal Data

Table 5—Showing the average scores on the final papers in MUH3032 or 3033

	Paper Content (60)	Style/Research (40)	Total
SP2014—MUH332	55.6	35.97	91.57
SP2016—MUH332	51.35	34.96	86.30
FA2016—MUH332	53.42	32.89	86.31
FA2018—MUH332	48.6	32.8	81.4
FA2019-MUH3031	*	*	*
FA2020-MUH3032	*	*	*

Conclusions Drawn from Data

Due to the worldwide pandemic no data from MUH 3032 final papers has been assessed.

Changes to be Made Based on Data

None at this time.

Rubric Used

(See next page)

	Topic/Thesis Statement	Works/conclusions	Content/Conclusions	Original ideas	Organization	Length
A (10) A- (9.5)	Appropriate topic is narrow enough to cover in 10 pages, T.S. is clear, and paper is focused on this idea throughout.	1-3 works are analyzed or referred to and in- depth analysis/conclusions are presented.	Supporting details are cited and explained. Appropriate, detailed observations and ideas support succinct and pertinent conclusions.	Original ideas, thoughts and analysis are included.	Organization of both proposed paper and assignment is clear and appropriate.	9-11 pp
B (8.5)	Appropriate topic is fairly narrow , t.s. is stated, and the paper is focused on this one idea throughout.	1-3 works are analyzed or referred to and some in-depth examples/ conclusions are presented.	Supporting details are cited and somewhat explained. Ideas and observations support conclusions.	One's own original thinking is obvious.	Organization of either proposed paper or assignment is clear and appropriate.	8 or 12 pp.
C (7.5)	Topic is rather broad or loosely related to period, TS is present but not always followed	1-3 works are analyzed or referred to and examples/conclusions are broad or only loosely related to topic.	Some supporting details are cited or somewhat explained. Few ideas to support conclusions.	Few original ideas are incorporate.	Organization of either proposed paper or assignment is not always clear.	7 or 13 pp.
D (6.5)	Topic is broad and/or not related to period, T.S. is not clearly written, proposal lacks unifying focus	No works are analyzed or referred to and examples/conclusions are broad and loosely related to topic.	Supporting details hard to follow and poorly explained. Few relevant ideas.	Original ideas are almost entirely missing.	Organization of either proposed paper or assignment is weak.	6 or 14 pp.
F (5.5)	Topic is very broad and/or not related to period, no T.S.	Works are not appropriate and/or no meaningful conclusions are presented.	Few supporting, relevant or substantive ideas, details and/or conclusions.	Original ideas are not included.	Organization of both proposed paper and assignment is unclear.	5 or 15 pp.

MUH 332 SP2016 PAPER - Grading Rubric--Paper Content (60%)

Writing Style/Research (40%)

	Flow, ease of understanding	Grammar, Spelling, Punctuation, Sentence structure	Works Cited (bibliography)	Bibliographic and Note form
A (10) A- (9.5)	Paper flows well; the writing is logical and easy to understand.	Grammar, spelling, punctuation and sentence structure are correct.	The list of works cited is thorough (8+); includes specific journal articles, books, web sites and general music reference materials (e.g. <i>The New Groves' Dictionary of Music and Musicians</i>).	Form used for bibliogra- phy follows a standard format and is used con- sistently throughout. All borrowed ideas are cor-rectly cited.
B (8.5)	Paper flows fairly well; for the most part it is easy to understand and is logical.	Care has been taken to avoid errors in grammar, spelling, punctuation and sentence structure.	The bibliography reflects a survey of the literature including some journal articles, books and general music reference materials (6-7 sources).	For the most part, form of bibliography and citations for all borrowed ideas follows a standard format, is used consistently.
C (7.5)	Overall writing is clear; some paragraphs could be easier to understand.	Some problems with grammar, spelling, punctuation and/or sentence structure are present.	Bibliography is rather general, lacking in journal articles and specific books (4-5 sources).	Some inconsistency in bibliographic and citation form.

Music: PLO Data - BA Music, 2019-20

D (6.5)	Paper lacks flow; not easy to understand.	Numerous problems with grammar, spelling, punctuation and/or sentence structure.	Bibliography is minimal; mostly general sources are used (2-3 sources).	Inconsistent biblio- graphy and citations form and/or use.
F (5.5)	Numerous syntactical errors prohibit understanding.	Unacceptable level of grammar, spelling, punctuation and or sentence structure.	Bibliography is unacceptable. There are no journal articles and/or appropriate books.	Widespread problems with bibliography or citation form. Plagiarism is evident.

Learning Outcome 5

All students will demonstrate proficiency in basic conducting skills.

Outcome Measures

Final Projects in MUA3012 or 3013

Criteria for Success

75% of students will score at least Proficient in 8 of the 10 content areas.

Aligned with DQP Learning Areas

- 1. Specialized Knowledge
- 2. Broad Integrative Knowledge
- 3. Intellectual Skills/Core Competencies
- 4. Applied and Collaborative Learning
- 5. Civic and Global Learning

Longitudinal Data

	Avg. Score on Final Project	Percent at Proficient or Higher
2016	91	92% (23 of 25)
2017	90	89% (16 of 18)
2018	89	91%
2019	93	100%
2020	*	*

Conclusions Drawn from Data

*Due to the worldwide pandemic no data was assessed from final projects in this course.

Changes to be Made Based on Data

None at this time

Rubric Used (?)

Learning Outcome 5

Students will participate in ensemble performances through regular rehearsal attendance and highest efforts as demonstrated by meeting or exceeding expectations for collegiate-level performances.

Outcome Measures

Every three years ensemble directors will pull together a packet of information consisting of:

- four semesters of concert programs
- 2 or 3 recordings of the ensemble
- a 2 to 3-page summary of the ensemble explaining its size, makeup, concerts and tours, mission, outreach, service to PLNU, recruiting for the department and similar items
- syllabi

These items are placed in a shared folder and sent out along with a questionnaire to a blind evaluator at another university. The evaluator is chosen by the Department Chair from a University similar to PLNU.

Criteria for Success

All of our ensembles will be able to demonstrate that they are Meeting Expectations for a collegiate-level ensemble.

Aligned with DQP Learning Areas

- 1. Specialized Knowledge
- 2. Broad Integrative Knowledge
- 3. Intellectual Skills/Core Competencies
- 4. Applied and Collaborative Learning
- 5. Civic and Global Learning

Longitudinal Data

Due to the effects of the worldwide pandemic on rehearsal conditions and the suspension of performances, no assessment data will be available until Spring 2022.

Conclusions Drawn from Data

None at this time

Changes to be Made Based on Data

TBD based on reported data

Rubric Used (?)

Learning Outcome 6

Develop and articulate a clear application of the concepts of calling, role, path and purpose as they apply to the discipline of music.

Outcome Measures

Final Paper in MUH4031

Criteria for Success

75% of Students will score Proficient in all categories

Aligned with DQP Learning Areas

- 1. Specialized Knowledge
- 2. Broad Integrative Knowledge
- 3. Intellectual Skills/Core Competencies
- 4. Applied and Collaborative Learning
- 5. Civic and Global Learning

Longitudinal Data

	Students	Define Role	Describe Path	Articulate Purpose	Interview Summary	Information Literacy
2013	11	3.68	3.55	3.21	3.43	
2014	18	3.13	3.56	3.22	3.67	
2015	9	3.23	3.07	2.65	3.43	
2016	19	3.89	3.68	3.79	3.84	
2017	14	4-100%	3.86-100%	4-100%	3.36-79%	2.1-43%
2018	13	4-100%	3.34-100%	4-100%	3.89-81%	2.3-53%
2019	15	4-100%	3.43-100%	4-100%	3.71-83%	3.1-61%
2020	13	*	*	*	*	*

Conclusions Drawn from Data

*Due to the worldwide pandemic, no data from Spring 2020 MUH 4031 final essays was assessed.

Changes to be Made Based on Data

None at this time.

Rubric Used

Rubric for MUH 431 Final Essay- See below

Rubric for MUH 431 Final Essay

Item	Beginning-1	Basic-2	Proficient-3	Advanced-4
	No clearly stated role aspiration	General role drawn from broad categories is identified	Role is defined in connection to personal attributes and interests	Specific role is defined and integrated with discussion of personal path and purpose
Describe plausible career path	No clearly identified path	Identified path inconsistent with selected role	Identified path consistent with role but only developed in general terms	Identified path consistent with role and developed with specificity
Articulate Purpose	Does not articulate a specific purpose	Articulates a broad sense of purpose but is not linked concretely to selected role	Articulates a purpose consistent with role but is not explained with specific applications	Articulates a purpose consistent with role and developed with specific application examples
Summary of Contact Interviews	Interviews missing	Interviews included but contents not complete	Interview contents complete but lack integration with students role, path and purpose discussion	Interview contents complete and synthesized within students role, path and purpose discussion