History and Political Science Political Science - Program Learning Outcome 1, Civic Engagement 2020-2021

Learning Outcome:

PLO 1: Develop an appreciation of the field of politics.

Outcome Measure:

Final student evaluations in POL 4060 (internship) submitted by political science seniors. (This measurement was scheduled to be changed now that we have the Senior Seminar course, but this slipped through the cracks this year).

Criteria for Success:

Minimum average of 2.75 (out of 4) for each criteria of rubric

Aligned with DQP Learning Areas (highlight one or more):

- 1. Specialized Knowledge
- 2. Broad and Integrative Knowledge
- 3. Intellectual Skills/Core Competencies
- 4. Applied and Collaborative Learning
- 5. Civic and Global Learning

Longitudinal Data:

					Civic	Civic
Semester	Diversity	Analysis	Civic Identity	Civic Communication	Action	Context
Spring 2015	3.2	3.0	3.0	3.2	3.4	3.4
Spring 2017	3.4	2.9	3.1	3.0	3.5	3.3

Conclusions Drawn from Data:

No new data for this year. As the professor for the Senior Seminar, this completely slipped off of my radar. We had been using Internship evaluations to provide us data in this category, but we were going to change how we assessed this with the new Senior Seminar course that began this year and I was so focused on making sure the other assessments were complete, I forgot about this one. So, the conclusion is that I am pretty forgetful.

Changes to be Made Based on Data:

Add assessment of the PLO to the senior seminar course. This annual course will provide us with a consistent way to assess our political science PLOs. Doing so will increase our validity and reliability in measuring this PLO, as well as the others.

Rubric Used:

PS_PLO 1_Civic Engagement Assessment Rubric for Political Science (based on AAC&U Civic Engagement Value Rubric)

PS_PLO1_ Civic Engagement Assessment Rubric for Political Science

	Capstone (4)	Milestones (3)	Milestones (2)	Benchmark (1)
Diversity of Communities and Cultures	Demonstrates evidence of adjustment in own attitudes and beliefs because of working within and learning from diversity of communities and cultures. Promotes others' engagement with diversity.	Reflects on how own attitudes and beliefs are different from those of other cultures and communities. Exhibits curiosity about what can be learned from diversity of communities and cultures.	Has awareness that own attitudes and beliefs are different from those of other cultures and communities. Exhibits little curiosity about what can be learned from diversity of communities and cultures.	Expresses attitudes and beliefs as an individual, from a one-sided view. Is indifferent or resistant to what can be learned from diversity of communities and cultures.
Analysis of Knowledge	Connects and extends knowledge (facts, theories, etc.) from one's own academic study/field/discipline to civic engagement and to one's own participation in civic life, politics, and government.	Analyzes knowledge (facts, theories, etc.) from one's own academic study/field/discipline making relevant connections to civic engagement and to one's own participation in civic life, politics, and government.	Begins to connect knowledge (facts, theories, etc.) from one's own academic study/field/discipline to civic engagement and to tone's own participation in civic life, politics, and government.	Begins to identify knowledge (facts, theories, etc.) from one's own academic study/field/discipline that is relevant to civic engagement and to one's own participation in civic life, politics, and government.
Civic Identity and Commitment	Provides evidence of experience in civic-engagement activities and describes what she/he has learned about her or himself as it relates to a reinforced and clarified sense of civic identity and continued commitment to public action.	Provides evidence of experience in civic-engagement activities and describes what she/he has learned about her or himself as it relates to a growing sense of civic identity and commitment.	Evidence suggests involvement in civic-engagement activities is generated from expectations or course requirements rather than from a sense of civic identity.	Provides little evidence of her/his experience in civic-engagement activities and does not connect experiences to civic identity.
Civic Communication	Tailors communication strategies to effectively express, listen, and adapt to others to establish relationships to further civic action	Effectively communicates in civic context, showing ability to do all of the following: express, listen, and adapt ideas and messages based on others' perspectives.	Communicates in civic context, showing ability to do more than one of the following: express, listen, and adapt ideas and messages based on others' perspectives.	Communicates in civic context, showing ability to do one of the following: express, listen, and adapt ideas and messages based on others' perspectives.
Civic Action and Reflection	Demonstrates independent experience and <i>shows initiative in team</i> <i>leadership</i> of complex or multiple civic engagement activities, accompanied by	Demonstrates independent experience and <i>team leadership of</i> civic action, with reflective insights or analysis about the aims and	Has clearly <i>participated</i> in civically focused actions and begins to reflect or describe how these actions may benefit individual(s) or communities.	Has <i>experimented</i> with some civic activities but shows little internalized understanding of their aims or effects and little commitment to future action.
Civic Action and Reflection	Demonstrates independent experience and <i>shows initiative in team</i> <i>leadership</i> of complex or multiple civic	Demonstrates independent experience and <i>team leadership of</i> civic action, with reflective insights or analysis about the aims and	Has clearly <i>participated</i> in civically focused actions and begins to reflect or describe how these actions may benefit individual(s) or communities.	Has <i>experimented</i> with some civic activities but shows little internalized understanding of their aims or effects

HPS: PLO Data - Political Science, 2020-21

engagement activities, accompanied		and little commitment to future
by		action.

History and Political Science Political Science - Program Learning Outcome 2 Aligns with Quantitative Reasoning 2020-2021

Learning Outcome:

Political Science PLO #2: Evaluate, design, and apply social science research with respect to political phenomena. *PS PLO 2 aligns with quantitative reasoning core competency.*

Outcome Measure:

Research paper written during the student's final two years at PLNU (must be from an upper-division course). This paper is then edited, revised, and reworked in the Senior Seminar course in the student's senior year. These papers use some form of statistical analysis, whether as part of the hypothesis testing or as part of the supporting evidence.

Criteria for Success:

Minimum average of 2.75 (out of 4) for each criteria of rubric

Aligned with DQP Learning Areas (highlight one or more):

- 1. Specialized Knowledge
- 2. Broad and Integrative Knowledge
- 3. Intellectual Skills/Core Competencies
- 4. Applied and Collaborative Learning
- 5. Civic and Global Learning

Semester	Ν	Interpretation	Representation	Application/Analysis	Communication	
Spring 2015		3.0	NA	3.2	2.6	
Spring 2017		3.5	3.0	3.0	3.0	
Spring 2018		3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	
Spring 2019		3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	
Spring 2020		3.11	2.94	3.11	3.17	
Spring 2021	13	3.38	2.77	3.19	3.42	

Longitudinal Data:

Conclusions Drawn from Data:

This was the first year that students completed this assessment as part of the Senior Seminar course, so we would expect some changes in numbers due to the intentionality of the assignment and the fact that this means that all graduating students submit papers to be assessed. Students are still meeting and exceeding the benchmarks in all categories (though "Representation" is of particular note).

Changes to be Made Based on Data:

Continue to emphasize the scientific nature of the social science discipline – in both the qualitative and the quantitative sense. We have also recently started to encourage some of our students to take a

statistics course to supplement their research methods coursework. The assessment team should reconsider if this is an adequate means of testing this PLO.

Rubric Used:

PS_PLO2_ Quantitative Reasoning Assessment Rubric for Political Science

PS_PLO2_Quantitative Reasoning Assessment Rubric for Political Science

	Capstone (4)	Milestones (3)	Milestones (2)	Benchmark (1)
Interpretation Ability to explain information presented in mathematical forms (e.g., equations, graphs, diagrams, tables, words)	Provides accurate explanations of information presented in mathematical forms. Makes appropriate inferences based on that information. For example, accurately explains the trend data shown in a graph and makes reasonable predictions regarding what the data suggest about future events.	Provides accurate explanations of information presented in mathematical forms. For instance, accurately explains the trend data shown in a graph.	Provides somewhat accurate explanations of information presented in mathematical forms, but occasionally makes minor errors related to computations or units. For instance, accurately explains trend data shown in a graph, but may miscalculate the slope of the trend line.	Attempts to explain information presented in mathematical forms, but draws incorrect conclusions about what the information means. For example, attempts to explain the trend data shown in a graph, but will frequently misinterpret the nature of that trend, perhaps by confusing positive and negative trends.
Representation Ability to convert relevant information into various mathematical forms (e.g., equations, graphs, diagrams, tables, words)	Skillfully converts relevant information into an insightful mathematical portrayal in a way that contributes to a further or deeper understanding.	Competently converts relevant information into an appropriate and desired mathematical portrayal.	Completes conversion of information but resulting mathematical portrayal is only partially appropriate or accurate.	Completes conversion of information but resulting mathematical portrayal is inappropriate or inaccurate.
Application / Analysis Ability to make judgments and draw appropriate conclusions based on the quantitative analysis of data, while recognizing the limits of this analysis	Uses the quantitative analysis of data as the basis for deep and thoughtful judgments, drawing insightful, carefully qualified conclusions from this work.	Uses the quantitative analysis of data as the basis for competent judgments, drawing reasonable and appropriately qualified conclusions from this work.	Uses the quantitative analysis of data as the basis for workmanlike (without inspiration or nuance, ordinary) judgments, drawing plausible conclusions from this work.	Uses the quantitative analysis of data as the basis for tentative, basic judgments, although is hesitant or uncertain about drawing conclusions from this work.
Communication Expressing quantitative evidence in support of the argument or purpose of the work (in terms of what evidence is used and how it is formatted, presented, and contextualized))	Uses quantitative information in connection with the argument or purpose of the work, presents it in an effective format, and explicates it with consistently high quality.	Uses quantitative information in connection with the argument or purpose of the work, though data may be presented in a less than completely effective format or some parts of the explication may be uneven.	Uses quantitative information, but does not effectively connect it to the argument or purpose of the work.	Presents an argument for which quantitative evidence is pertinent, but does not provide adequate explicit numerical support. (May use quasi-quantitative words such as "many," "few," "increasing," "small," and the like in place of actual quantities.)

History and Political Science Political Science - Program Learning Outcome 3 Aligns with Critical Thinking Core Competency 2020-2021

Learning Outcome:

Political Science PLO #3: Understand and critically assess the processes, theories, and outcomes of political institutions and political behavior. *PS PLO 3 aligns with critical thinking core competency*.

Outcome Measure:

Research paper written during the student's final two years at PLNU (must be from an upper-division course). This paper is then edited, revised, and reworked in the Senior Seminar course in the student's senior year.

Criteria for Success:

Minimum average of 2.75 (out of 4) for each criteria of rubric

Aligned with DQP Learning Areas (highlight one or more):

- 1. Specialized Knowledge
- 2. Broad and Integrative Knowledge
- 3. Intellectual Skills/Core Competencies
- 4. Applied and Collaborative Learning
- 5. Civic and Global Learning

Longitudinal Data:

Semester	N	Explanation of Issues	Evidence	Influence of Context and Assumptions	Student's Position	Conclusions and Related Outcomes
Fall 2014		3.0	2.5	3.0	2.5	2.0
Spr 2017		3.0	3.0	3.0	3.3	3.0
Spr 2018		3.2	2.8	3.2	3.3	3.3
Spr 2019		4.0	2.5	4.0	4.0	3.0
Spr 2020		3.50	3.28	3.22	3.39	3.17
Spr 2021	13	3.58	3.31	3.04	3.04	3.50

Conclusions Drawn from Data:

This was the first year that students completed this assessment as part of the Senior Seminar course, so we would expect some changes in numbers due to the intentionality of the assignment and the fact that this means that all graduating students submit papers to be assessed. Students are still meeting and exceeding the benchmarks in all categories.

Changes to be Made Based on Data:

Continue to emphasize the importance of analyzing assumptions and evaluating evidence. We will also continue to work with them on their writing and critical thinking skills.

Rubric Used: PS_PLO3_ Critical Thinking Assessment Rubric for Political Science (based on AAC&U Critical Thinking Value Rubric)

PS_PLO3_ Critical Thinking Assessment Rubric for Political Science

	Capstone (4)	Milestones (3)	Milestones (3)	Benchmark (1)
Explanation of Issues	Issue/problem to be considered critically is stated clearly and described comprehensively, delivering all relevant information necessary for full understanding.	Issue/problem to be considered critically is stated, described, and clarified so that understanding is not seriously impeded by omissions.	Issue/problem to be considered critically is stated but description leaves some terms undefined, ambiguities unexplored, boundaries undetermined, and/or backgrounds unknown.	Issue/problem to be considered critically is stated without clarification or description.
Evidence Selecting and using information to investigate a point of view or conclusion	Information is taken from source(s) with enough interpretation/evaluation to develop a comprehensive analysis or synthesis. Viewpoints of experts are questioned thoroughly.	Information is taken from source(s) with enough interpretation/evaluation to develop a coherent analysis or synthesis. Viewpoints of experts are subject to questioning.	Information is taken from source(s) with some interpretation/evaluation, but not enough to develop a coherent analysis or synthesis. Viewpoints of experts are taken as mostly fact, with little questioning.	Information is taken from source(s) without any interpretation/evaluation. Viewpoints of experts are taken as fact, without question.
Influence of Context and Assumptions	Thoroughly (systematically and methodically) analyzes own and others' assumptions and carefully evaluates the relevance of contexts when presenting a position.	Identifies own and others' assumptions and several relevant contexts when presenting a position.	Questions some assumptions. Identifies several relevant contexts when presenting a position. May be more aware of others' assumptions than one's own (or vice versa).	Shows an emerging awareness of present assumptions (sometimes labels assertions as assumptions). Begins to identify some contexts when presenting a position.
Student's Position (perspective, thesis/hypothesis)	Specific position (perspective, thesis/hypothesis) is imaginative, taking into account the complexities of an issue. Limits of position (perspective, thesis/hypothesis) are acknowledged. Others' points of view are synthesized within position (perspective, thesis/hypothesis).	Specific position (perspective, thesis/hypothesis) takes into account the complexities of an issue. Others' points of view are acknowledged within position (perspective, thesis/hypothesis).	Specific position (perspective, thesis/hypothesis) acknowledges different sides of an issue.	Specific position (perspective, thesis/hypothesis) is stated, but is simplistic and obvious.
Conclusions and Related outcomes (implications and consequences)	Conclusions and related outcomes (consequences and implications) are logical and reflect student's informed evaluation and ability to place evidence and perspectives discussed in priority order.	Conclusion is logically tied to a range of information, including opposing viewpoints; related outcomes (consequences and implications) are identified clearly.	Conclusion is logically tied to information (because information is chosen to fit the desired conclusion); some related outcomes (consequences and implications) are identified clearly.	Conclusion is inconsistently tied to some of the information discussed; related outcomes (consequences and implications) are oversimplified.

History and Political Science Political Science – Program Learning Outcome #4 Aligns with Information Literacy Core Competency 2020-2021

Learning Outcome:

Political Science PLO #4: Demonstrate Social Scientific Information Literacy. *PS PLO 4 aligns with information literacy core competency.*

Outcome Measure:

Research paper written during the student's final two years at PLNU (must be from an upper-division course). This paper is then edited, revised, and reworked in the Senior Seminar course in the student's senior year.

Criteria for Success:

Minimum average of 2.75 (out of 4) for each criteria of rubric

Aligned with DQP Learning Areas (highlight one or more):

- 1. Specialized Knowledge
- 2. Broad and Integrative Knowledge
- 3. Intellectual Skills/Core Competencies
- 4. Applied and Collaborative Learning
- 5. Civic and Global Learning

Longitudinal Data:

Semester	N	Determine Extent of Information Needed	Access Needed Information	Evaluate Information & Sources	Use Information for a Purpose	Access/Use Ethically & Legally
Spri 2015		3.2	3.0	3.2	3.0	3.6
Spr 2016		3.2	3.3	2.8	3.2	3.7
Spr 2017		3.3	3.3	2.7	2.3	3.7
Spr 2018		2.8	2.8	3.4	3.4	3.3
Spr 2019		3.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	4.0
Spr 2020		3.33	3.11	3.28	3.44	3.83
Spr 2021	13	3.50	3.54	3.12	3.46	3.73

Conclusions Drawn from Data:

This was the first year that students completed this assessment as part of the Senior Seminar course, so we would expect some changes in numbers due to the intentionality of the assignment and the fact that this means that all graduating students submit papers to be assessed. Students are still meeting and exceeding the benchmarks in all categories.

Our recent emphasis on accessing information and connecting students better with sources of sources (e.g. Robin Lang in the Ryan Library) appears to be paying off.

Changes to be Made Based on Data:

Continue to emphasize the importance of analyzing assumptions and critically evaluating academic sources. We will do this by continuing to assign more annotated bibliographies that ask students to critically assess the academic literature. We will also consider some team-based projects that have students collaboratively evaluating the academic literature.

Rubric Used: PS_PLO4_ Information Literacy Assessment Rubric for Political Science (based on AAC&U Information Literacy Value Rubric)

Political Science Program Learning Outcome #4 Assessment Rubric: Information Literacy

	Capstone (4)	Milestones (3)	Milestones (2)	Benchmark (1)
Determine the Extent of Information Needed		Defines the scope of the research question or thesis completely. Can determine key concepts. Types of information (sources) selected relate to concepts or answer research question.	Defines the scope of the research question or thesis incompletely (parts are missing, remains too broad or too narrow, etc.). Can determine key concepts. Types of information (sources) selected partially relate to concepts or answer research question.	Has difficulty defining the scope of the research question or thesis. Has difficulty determining key concepts. Types of information (sources) selected do not relate to concepts or answer research question.
Access the Needed Information	Accesses information using effective, well-designed search strategies and most appropriate information sources.	Accesses information using variety of search strategies and some relevant information sources. Demonstrates ability to refine search.	Accesses information using simple search strategies, retrieves information from limited and similar sources.	Accesses information randomly, retrieves information that lacks relevance and quality.
Evaluate Information and its Sources Critically	Thoroughly (systematically and methodically) analyzes own and others' assumptions and carefully evaluates the relevance of contexts when presenting a position.	Identifies own and others' assumptions and several relevant contexts when presenting a position.	Questions some assumptions. Identifies several relevant contexts when presenting a position. May be more aware of others' assumptions than one's own (or vice versa).	Shows an emerging awareness of present assumptions (sometimes labels assertions as assumptions). Begins to identify some contexts when presenting a position.
Use Information Effectively to Accomplish a Specific Purpose	Communicates, organizes and synthesizes information from sources to fully achieve a specific purpose, with clarity and depthCommunicates, organizes and synthesizes information from sources. Intended purpose is achieved.Communicates and organizes information from sources. The information is not yet synthesized, so the intended purpose is not fully achieved.		Communicates information from sources. The information is fragmented and/or used inappropriately (misquoted, taken out of context, or incorrectly paraphrased, etc.), so the intended purpose is not achieved.	
Access and Use Information Ethically and Legally	Students use correctly all of the following information use strategies (use of citations and references; choice of paraphrasing, summary, or quoting; using information in ways that are true to original context; distinguishing between common knowledge and ideas requiring attribution) and demonstrate a full	Students use correctly three of the following information use strategies (use of citations and references; choice of paraphrasing, summary, or quoting; using information in ways that are true to original context; distinguishing between common knowledge and ideas requiring attribution) and demonstrates a full	Students use correctly two of the following information use strategies (use of citations and references; choice of paraphrasing, summary, or quoting; using information in ways that are true to original context; distinguishing between common knowledge and ideas requiring attribution) and demonstrates a full	Students use correctly one of the following information use strategies (use of citations and references; choice of paraphrasing, summary, or quoting; using information in ways that are true to original context; distinguishing between common knowledge and ideas requiring attribution) and demonstrates a full

| understanding of the ethical and |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| legal restrictions on the use of |
| published, confidential, and/or | published, confidential, and/or | published, confidential, and/or | published, confidential, and/or |
| proprietary information. | proprietary information. | proprietary information. | proprietary information. |

History and Political Science Political Science – Program Learning Outcome #5 Aligns with Written Communication Core Competency 2020-2021

Learning Outcome:

Political Science PLO #5: Develop and express ideas in written communication in an effective and scholarly manner. *PS PLO 5 aligns with written communication core competency.*

Outcome Measure:

Research paper written during the student's final two years at PLNU (must be from an upper-division course). This paper is then edited, revised, and reworked in the Senior Seminar course in the student's senior year.

Criteria for Success:

Minimum average of 2.75 (out of 4) for each criteria of rubric

Aligned with DQP Learning Areas (highlight one or more):

- 1. Specialized Knowledge
- 2. Broad and Integrative Knowledge
- 3. Intellectual Skills/Core Competencies
- 4. Applied and Collaborative Learning
- 5. Civic and Global Learning

Longitudinal Data:

Semester	Ν	Context and Purpose	Argument	Genre and Disciplinary Conventions	Sources and Evidence	Control of syntax / grammar/ mechanics
Spr 2013		3.7	2.7	2.8	3.0	2.7
Spr 2015		3.2	3.0	3.0	3.4	3.6
Spr 2016		3.2	2.8	3.0	3.2	3.2
Spr 2017		3.3	3.0	2.7	3.3	2.7
Spr 2018		3.3	3.3	3.2	3.2	3.2
Spr 2019		4.0	3.0	4.0	3.5	4.0
Spr 2020		3.44	3.33	3.50	3.56	3.44
Spr 2021	13	3.81	3.46	3.54	3.69	3.46

Conclusions Drawn from Data:

We continue to see improvement in the criterion of "sources and evidence" since transitioning our students to a standardized – and rigorously enforced – style of citation in 2014 (author-date Chicago Manual of Style). Additionally, our students consistently perform well in the first criterion, illustrating their understanding of the purpose and focus of the paper assignment. The improvement in their argument development is noted as well.

Changes to be Made Based on Data:

Continue to emphasize the importance of developing and communicating a sophisticated argument in a paper. We will do this by exposing them to more social science peer-reviewed journal articles that effectively convey the author's deep understanding of the research question, the logical development of an argument, and a sophisticated level of writing.

Rubric Used: PS_PLO5_Written Communication Assessment Rubric for Political Science (based on AAC&U Written Communication Value Rubric)

PS_PLO 5_ Written Communication Assessment Rubric for Political Science

	Capstone (4)	Milestones (3)	Milestones (3)	Benchmark (1)
Context of and Purpose for Writing Includes considerations of audience, purpose, whether or not they did the assignment as asked in the prompt, and the circumstances surrounding the writing task(s).	Demonstrates a thorough understanding of context, audience, and purpose that is responsive to the assigned task(s) and focuses all elements of the work.	Demonstrates adequate consideration of context, audience, and purpose and a clear focus on the assigned task(s) (e.g., the task aligns with audience, purpose, and context).	Demonstrates awareness of context, audience, purpose, and to the assigned tasks(s) (e.g., begins to show awareness of audience's perceptions and assumptions).	Demonstrates minimal attention to context, audience, purpose, and to the assigned tasks(s) (e.g., expectation of instructor or self as audience).
Argument Development	Offers a sophisticated, relevant, and compelling argument to illustrate mastery of the subject, conveying the writer's deep understanding	Offers a sophisticated, relevant, and compelling argument that explores ideas within the subject matter	Offers an appropriate and relevant argument	Offers an appropriate but simple argument
Genre and Disciplinary Conventions Formal and informal rules inherent in the expectations for writing in political science	Demonstrates detailed attention to and successful execution of a wide range of conventions particular to political science, including organization, content, presentation, formatting, proper citation, and stylistic choices	Demonstrates consistent use of important conventions particular to political science, including organization, content, presentation, citation, and stylistic choices	Follows expectations appropriate to political science, including basic organization, content, and presentation	Attempts to use a consistent system for basic organization and presentation.
Sources and Evidence	Demonstrates skillful use of high- quality, credible, relevant sources to develop ideas that are appropriate for political science and genre of the writing	Demonstrates consistent use of credible and relevant sources to support ideas that are situated within political science and genre of the writing.	Demonstrates an attempt to use credible and/or relevant sources to support ideas that are appropriate for political science and genre of the writing.	Demonstrates an attempt to use sources to support ideas in the writing.
Control of Syntax, Grammar, and Mechanics	Uses graceful language that skillfully communicates meaning to readers with clarity and fluency, and is virtually error-free.	Uses straightforward language that generally conveys meaning to readers. The language in the portfolio has few errors.	Uses language that generally conveys meaning to readers with clarity, although writing may include some errors.	Uses language that sometimes impedes meaning because of errors in usage.

History and Political Science Political Science – Program Learning Outcome #6 Aligns with Oral Communication Core Competency 2020-2021

Learning Outcome:

Political Science PLO #6: Demonstrate oral communication abilities, particularly to convey complex ideas, recognize diverse viewpoints, and offer empirical evidence of an argument. *PS PLO 6 aligns with written communication core competency*.

Outcome Measure:

Assessment was completed on video résumés submitted by seniors in the Senior Seminar course.

Criteria for Success:

Minimum average of 2.75 (out of 4) for each criteria of rubric

Aligned with DQP Learning Areas (highlight one or more):

- 1. Specialized Knowledge
- 2. Broad and Integrative Knowledge
- 3. Intellectual Skills/Core Competencies
- Applied and Collaborative Learning
- 5. Civic and Global Learning

Longitudinal Data:

Semester	N	Organiz.	Language	Delivery	Complexity	Diverse Viewpoints	Empirical Evidence	Central Message
Fall 2014		2.8	3.3	3.2	NA	NA	3.3	3.0
Spr 2017		4.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	NA	4.0	4.0
Spr 2018		4.0	3.3	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.3
Spr 2019		4.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	4.0
Spr 2020		3.67	3.67	3.39	3.56	3.61	3.78	3.33
Spr 2021	13	3.54	3.38	3.33	3.50	3.58	3.58	3.54

Conclusions Drawn from Data:

This was the first year that students completed this assessment as part of the Senior Seminar course, so we would expect some changes in numbers due to the intentionality of the assignment and the fact that this means that all graduating students submit papers to be assessed. Students are still meeting and exceeding the benchmarks in all categories.

Changes to be Made Based on Data:

Continue to have in-person discussions with our students about the "why" behind a video résumé and the importance of developing strong presentation skills.

Rubric Used: PS_PLO6_Oral Communication Assessment Rubric for Political Science (based on AAC&U Oral Communication Value Rubric)

PS_PLO6_ Oral Communication Assessment Rubric for Political Science

	Capstone (4)	Milestones (3)	Milestones (2)	Benchmark (1)
Organization	Organizational pattern (specific introduction and conclusion, sequenced material within the body, and transitions) is clearly and consistently observable and is skillful and makes the content of the presentation cohesive.	Organizational pattern (specific introduction and conclusion, sequenced material within the body, and transitions) is clearly and consistently observable within the presentation.	Organizational pattern (specific introduction and conclusion, sequenced material within the body, and transitions) is intermittently observable within the presentation.	Organizational pattern (specific introduction and conclusion, sequenced material within the body, and transitions) is not observable within the presentation.
Language	Language choices are imaginative, memorable, and compelling, and enhance the effectiveness of the presentation. Language in presentation is appropriate to audience.	Language choices are thoughtful and generally support the effectiveness of the presentation. Language in presentation is appropriate to audience.	Language choices are mundane and commonplace and partially support the effectiveness of the presentation. Language in presentation is appropriate to audience.	Language choices are unclear and minimally support the effectiveness of the presentation. Language in presentation is not appropriate to audience.
Delivery	Delivery techniques (posture, gesture, eye contact, and vocal expressiveness) make the presentation compelling, and speaker appears polished and confident.	Delivery techniques (posture, gesture, eye contact, and vocal expressiveness) make the presentation interesting, and speaker appears comfortable.	Delivery techniques (posture, gesture, eye contact, and vocal expressiveness) make the presentation understandable, and speaker appears tentative.	Delivery techniques (posture, gesture, eye contact, and vocal expressiveness) detract from the understandability of the presentation, and speaker appears uncomfortable.
Complexity	Argument is insightful and deeply analytical; the presentation is very clear in conveying this complexity.	Argument is insightful and analytical; the presentation is clear in conveying this complexity.	Argument is insightful; the presentation is generally clear in conveying this complexity.	Argument is lacking in insight and analysis.
Diverse Viewpoints	Presenter effectively and objectively analyzes at least two competing points of view on the subject matter.	Presenter effectively and objectively offers at least two competing points of view on the subject matter, with only some analysis of the two viewpoints offered.	Presenter effectively and objectively briefly offers at least two competing points of view on the subject matter.	Presenter does not effectively or objectively offer at least two competing points of view on the subject matter.
Empirical Evidence	A variety of types of supporting materials/empirical evidence (explanations, examples, illustrations, statistics, analogies, quotations from relevant authorities) make appropriate reference to information or analysis that significantly supports the presentation or establishes the presenter's credibility/authority on the topic.	Supporting materials/empirical evidence (explanations, examples, illustrations, statistics, analogies, quotations from relevant authorities) make appropriate reference to information or analysis that generally supports the presentation or establishes the presenter's credibility/authority on the topic.	Supporting materials/empirical evidence (explanations, examples, illustrations, statistics, analogies, quotations from relevant authorities) make appropriate reference to information or analysis that partially supports the presentation or establishes the presenter's credibility/authority on the topic.	Insufficient supporting materials or normative/biased evidence (explanations, examples, illustrations, statistics, analogies, quotations from relevant authorities) make reference to information or analysis that minimally supports the presentation or establishes the presenter's credibility/authority on the topic.
Central Message	Central message is compelling (precisely stated, appropriately repeated, memorable, and strongly supported.)	Central message is clear and consistent with the supporting material.	Central message is basically understandable but is not often repeated and is not memorable.	Central message can be deduced, but is not explicitly stated in the presentation.

History and Political Science Political Science – Program Learning Outcome #7 Aligns with Critical Thinking Core Competency 2020-2021

Learning Outcome:

Political Science PLO #7: Construct and evaluate analytical, comprehensive arguments. *PS PLO 7 aligns* with critical thinking core competency.

Outcome Measure:

Research paper written during the student's final two years at PLNU (must be from an upper-division course). This paper is then edited, revised, and reworked in the Senior Seminar course in the student's senior year.

Criteria for Success:

Minimum average of 2.75 (out of 4) for each criteria of rubric

Aligned with DQP Learning Areas (highlight one or more):

- 1. Specialized Knowledge
- 2. Broad and Integrative Knowledge
- 3. Intellectual Skills/Core Competencies
- 4. Applied and Collaborative Learning
- 5. Civic and Global Learning

Longitudinal Data:

Semester	N	Explanation of Issues	Evidence	Influence of Context and Assumptions	Student's Position	Conclusions and Related Outcomes
Spr 2015		3.1	3.6	3.4	3.7	2.9
Spr 2017		2.7	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.0
Spr 2018		3.7	2.8	3.2	3.3	3.3
Spr 2019		4.0	2.5	4.0	4.0	3.0
Spr 2021	13	3.58	3.31	3.04	3.04	3.50

Conclusions Drawn from Data:

This was the first year that students completed this assessment as part of the Senior Seminar course, so we would expect some changes in numbers due to the intentionality of the assignment and the fact that this means that all graduating students submit papers to be assessed. Students are still meeting and exceeding the benchmarks in all categories.

Changes to be Made Based on Data:

Continue to emphasize the importance of analyzing assumptions and evaluating evidence. We will also continue to work with them on their writing and critical thinking skills.

Rubric Used: PS_PLO7_ Critical Thinking Assessment Rubric for Political Science (based on AAC&U Critical Thinking Value Rubric)

Political Science Program Learning Outcome #3 Assessment Rubric: Critical Thinking

	Capstone (4)	Milestones (3)	Milestones (3)	Benchmark (1)
Explanation of Issues	Issue/problem to be considered critically is stated clearly and described comprehensively, delivering all relevant information necessary for full understanding.	Issue/problem to be considered critically is stated, described, and clarified so that understanding is not seriously impeded by omissions.	Issue/problem to be considered critically is stated but description leaves some terms undefined, ambiguities unexplored, boundaries undetermined, and/or backgrounds unknown.	Issue/problem to be considered critically is stated without clarification or description.
Evidence Selecting and using information to investigate a point of view or conclusion	Information is taken from source(s) with enough interpretation/evaluation to develop a comprehensive analysis or synthesis. Viewpoints of experts are questioned thoroughly.	Information is taken from source(s) with enough interpretation/evaluation to develop a coherent analysis or synthesis. Viewpoints of experts are subject to questioning.	Information is taken from source(s) with some interpretation/evaluation, but not enough to develop a coherent analysis or synthesis. Viewpoints of experts are taken as mostly fact, with little questioning.	Information is taken from source(s) without any interpretation/evaluation. Viewpoints of experts are taken as fact, without question.
Influence of Context and Assumptions	Thoroughly (systematically and methodically) analyzes own and others' assumptions and carefully evaluates the relevance of contexts when presenting a position.	Identifies own and others' assumptions and several relevant contexts when presenting a position.	Questions some assumptions. Identifies several relevant contexts when presenting a position. May be more aware of others' assumptions than one's own (or vice versa).	Shows an emerging awareness of present assumptions (sometimes labels assertions as assumptions). Begins to identify some contexts when presenting a position.
Student's Position (perspective, thesis/hypothesis)	Specific position (perspective, thesis/hypothesis) is imaginative, taking into account the complexities of an issue. Limits of position (perspective, thesis/hypothesis) are acknowledged. Others' points of view are synthesized within position (perspective, thesis/hypothesis).	Specific position (perspective, thesis/hypothesis) takes into account the complexities of an issue. Others' points of view are acknowledged within position (perspective, thesis/hypothesis).	Specific position (perspective, thesis/hypothesis) acknowledges different sides of an issue.	Specific position (perspective, thesis/hypothesis) is stated, but is simplistic and obvious.
Conclusions and Related outcomes (implications and consequences)	Conclusions and related outcomes (consequences and implications) are logical and reflect student's informed evaluation and ability to place evidence and perspectives discussed in priority order.	Conclusion is logically tied to a range of information, including opposing viewpoints; related outcomes (consequences and implications) are identified clearly.	Conclusion is logically tied to information (because information is chosen to fit the desired conclusion); some related outcomes (consequences and implications) are identified clearly.	Conclusion is inconsistently tied to some of the information discussed; related outcomes (consequences and implications) are oversimplified.