TEMPLATE No. 3: Assessment Data for the Evidence of Student Learning and the Use of Evidence

EVIDENCE OF STUDENT LEARNING

Learning Outcome to be assessed:

Program Learning Outcome 1: Engage in the disciplined practice of asking questions about God, the world, and of themselves, including questions for which there may be no easy answers.

Outcome Measure (assignment and schedule):

Signature Assignment: Summative paper on Cavanaugh's text, PHIL 381

Criteria for Success (if applicable):

We would like 75% of our students to achieve proficient or above.

Longitudinal Data Table:

| AY | Course | N | Data | Comments |
|-------|---------|----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| 18-19 | PHL381 | 14 | 78% were excellent, 22% were proficient | 100% were at least proficient |
| 17-18 | PHIL381 | 19 | 57% were excellent, 31% were between excellent and proficient and 10% were basic | 88% were at least proficient |
| 16-17 | PHIL381 | 12 | 66.5% were Excellent, 7.5 were mid-way between excellent and proficient, 18% were proficient, | 92% were at least proficient |
| 14-15 | PHIL381 | 10 | and 8% were basic 40% received an Excellent, 20% were mid-way between Proficient and Excellent, 40% were Proficient | 100% of our students were at least proficient |
| 12-13 | PHIL381 | 14 | Mean score: 2.5 | Two faculty assessors |

USE OF EVIDENCE OF STUDENT LEARNING

Conclusions Drawn from Data:

The assessment shows that we are on track for achieving the outcomes. There is a higher rate of "excellence" for smaller class sizes, however.

Changes to be Made Based on Data:

At 14 students, the course was large relative to recent years, though smaller than last year, and this impacted the class atmosphere and required a change in both teaching approach as well as requirements. Moreover, the venue made it difficult to achieve the sort of robust class discussion that typified other years. The longitudinal data is insufficient to show whether or not this year is anomalous. Changes: the course is typically taught as a seminar, discussion focused course. As such, there has been an emphasis on student presentations. While discussion and student presentation will remain an important component, there will be an added stress on discursive lecture, group activity, and movement/figure compare/contrast assignments when enrollment moves past the level sustainable in a seminar style environment.

Rubric Used:

*Philosophy Rubric for PLO #1 and PLO #3

<u>Failure:</u> Shows minimal engagement with the topic. Failing to recognize multiple dimensions or perspectives; lacking even basic observations

Basic: Shows some engagement with the topic without elaboration; offers basic observations but rarely original insight

<u>Proficient:</u> Demonstrates engagement with the topic, recognizing multiple dimension and/ or perspectives; offers some insight

Excellent: Demonstrates engagement with the topic, recognizing multiple dimensions and/or perspectives with elaboration and depth, offers considerable insight

*See www.roanoke.edu for source.

EVIDENCE OF STUDENT LEARNING

Learning Outcome to be assessed:

Program Learning Outcome 2: Students will differentiate among interrelated movements or figures in the history of philosophy.

Outcome Measure (assignment and schedule):

Signature Assignment: Final Matching Exam: Students responded to an objective test that measured their proficiency according to the established rubric. The assessment tool is housed in PHL 302 Descartes through Hegel and is a matching exam at the end of the semester. Students will be asked to match philosophers with quotations from primary source readings. The quotes themselves are statements central to the philosopher and relevant to the movements within the historical period covered by the course.

Criteria for Success (if applicable):

We would like 75% of our students to achieve proficient or above.

Longitudinal Data Table:

14-15 PHIL 302 10 Mean Score: 90% Mean score falls within the "Excellent" range.

16-17 PHIL 302 23 Mean Score: 73% Mean score falls within the "Proficient" range. Individually, 57% scored in the "Excellent" range; 5% scored in the "Proficient" range; 38% scored in the "Basic" range.

17-18 PHIL 302 no offered this year

18-19 PHIL 302 13 Mean Score: 75% Mean score falls within the "Proficient" range. Individually, 30.7% scored in the "Excellent" range; 53.8% scored in the "Proficient" range; 15.3% scored in the "Basic" range.

USE OF EVIDENCE OF STUDENT LEARNING

Conclusions Drawn from Data: 84.5% of students fell in the proficient or above range, which shows a marked improvement from 16-17. This indicates that changes made in light of the 16-17 data may have had a positive impact on student learning. In any case, student success is substantially improved and the assessment data indicates programmatic success for achieving this PLO.

Changes to be Made Based on Data: This year shows an enrollment of 13 (14, with one removed for low involvement for medical reasons), which is more conducive to a seminar style environment. That, coupled with the addition of more discursive lecture and group activity, seems to have improved the assessment numbers from the previous assessment year. Changes: retention of discursive lecture and group activity. In addition, the final was changed to a group oral presentation model, and that model seems to have helped with student understanding of historical movements in the history of philosophy as well as their ability to apply that understanding in a comparative way both within the period and with respect to other historical periods. This new format will be retained and additional assignments of a similar nature conceived and implemented to promulgate this increased skill. Rubric Used: Final Matching Exam Scoring Failure (below 40%) Basic (40-59%) Proficient (60-79%) Excellent (80-100%)

TEMPLATE No. 3: Assessment Data for the Evidence of Student Learning and the Use of Evidence

EVIDENCE OF STUDENT LEARNING

Learning Outcome to be assessed:

Program Learning Outcome 3: Evaluate the strengths and weaknesses of human reasoning or experience to provide an adequate account of significant issues that relates to our human condition, the world, ethics, and Christian life.

Outcome Measure (assignment and schedule):

Signature Assignment: Summative paper on Cavanaugh's text, PHIL 381

Criteria for Success (if applicable):

We would like 75% of our students to achieve proficient or above.

Longitudinal Data Table:

| AY | Course | N | Data | Comments |
|-------|---------|----|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 18-19 | PHIL381 | 14 | 78% excellent, 22% proficient | 100% at least proficient |
| 17-18 | PHIL381 | 19 | 57% were excellent, 31% were between excellent and proficient and 10% were basic | 88% were at least proficient |
| 16-17 | PHIL381 | 17 | 30% were excellent, 35% were mid-way between excellent and proficient, 30% were proficient, and 5% were between basic and proficient | 100% were at least proficient |
| 14-15 | PHIL381 | 10 | 100% of our students were at least proficient. 40% received an Excellent, 20% were mid-way between Proficient and Excellent, 40% | Two faculty assessors |
| 12-13 | PHIL381 | 14 | were proficient Mean score: 2.46 | Two faculty assessors |

USE OF EVIDENCE OF STUDENT LEARNING

Conclusions Drawn from Data:

The assessment shows that we are on track for achieving the outcomes. There is a higher rate of "excellence" for smaller class sizes, however.

TEMPLATE No. 3: Assessment Data for the Evidence of Student Learning and the Use of Evidence

Changes to be Made Based on Data:

At 14 students, the course was large relative to recent years, though smaller than last year, and this impacted the class atmosphere and required a change in both teaching approach as well as requirements. Moreover, the venue made it difficult to achieve the sort of robust class discussion that typified other years. The longitudinal data is insufficient to show whether or not this year is anomalous. Changes: the course is typically taught as a seminar, discussion focused course. As such, there has been an emphasis on student presentations. While discussion and student presentation will remain an important component, there will be an added stress on discursive lecture, group activity, and movement/figure compare/contrast assignments when enrollment moves past the level sustainable in a seminar style environment.

Rubric Used:

*Philosophy Rubric for PLO #1 and PLO #3

<u>Failure:</u> Shows minimal engagement with the topic. Failing to recognize multiple dimensions or perspectives; lacking even basic observations

Basic: Shows some engagement with the topic without elaboration; offers basic observations but rarely original insight

<u>Proficient:</u> Demonstrates engagement with the topic, recognizing multiple dimension and/ or perspectives; offers some insight

Excellent: Demonstrates engagement with the topic, recognizing multiple dimensions and/or perspectives with elaboration and depth, offers considerable insight

*See www.roanoke.edu for source.