

Assessment Data
SOCIOLOGY GENERAL EDUCATION OUTCOMES
Civic Engagement Outcome
 SPRING 2015

Learning Outcome:

Outcome Measure #2

Cross-Cultural Experience Rubric to evaluate:

Course 201 Assignments: Reflections / Exams

Criteria for Success (if applicable):

75% of the students will score the equivalent of 2.5 of 4 or higher overall on assignments assessed in four areas as described in course rubric.

Professional Reflection – Participant / Observer (AACU = Civic Action and Reflection)

Knowledge – References, Sources – Annotated Bibliography (AACU =Analysis of Knowledge)

Analysis of Knowledge- Evaluation of Field Notes

Evidence of Engagement (Civic Identity / Commitment)

Data: Spring 2015

	GE Outcomes	Cross Cultural / Civic Engagement			
Case #	Student score assignment 1 1-4 equivalent	Student score assignment 2 1-4 equivalent	Student score assignment 3 1-4 equivalent	Student score assignment 4 1-4 equivalent	Average
1	2.48	2.88	2.72	1.432	2.378
2	3	2.84	2.6	3.168	2.902
3	2.92	2.96	3.2	3.124	3.051
4	2.88	3.56	3.2	3.628	3.317
5	3.04	3.28	3.08	3.356	3.189
6	2.96	3.08	3	3.592	3.158
7	3	2.88	3.08	3.172	3.033
8	3.08	3.6	3.28	3.728	3.422
9	3.24	3.2	3.12	3.456	3.254
10	3.4	2.88	3.08	3.616	3.244
Aggregate	30	31.16	30.36	32.272	30.948
Average	3	3.12	3.04	3.23	3.09
% Achieving Benchmark	90%	100%	100%	90%	90%

Summary Findings:

Students in this course exceeded the goal with 90% of students achieving the equivalent of 2.5 on a four-point scale for each assignment and overall for all assignments included in the measure. Scores for the same student consistently accounts for performance below the benchmark for individual scores.

This data draws from one of three courses used to evaluate the capacity for Civic Engagement for students in the major. The course comprises the second largest component of the department sample with the largest number of

assignments contributing to the general education overall assessment. Data needs to be aggregated with the other data sources to complete the department level assessment.

Conclusions based on Data:

Students in this course repeatedly engaged with diverse communities and systematically reflected on the experiences applying sociologic and anthropologic frameworks evidencing high levels of Civic Action and Reflection. Assess the analysis of knowledge is a strength in the course, with two aspects of scoring addressing the facts, relevance and context for applied experience.

Changes to be Made Based on Data:

Consider amending course rubric to contain the final two components of the rubric for AACU Civic Engagement. See course rubric attached.

RUBRIC: COURSE Cross-Cultural Experience Reflection

4 Point Scale	0-1	1-2	2-3	3-4
Course Scale	0 to 25 points	26 to 30 points	31 to 35 points	36 to 40 points
Reflection	Otherness of the experience not obvious; concepts from class not reflected; references to the text/lecture absent; descriptions of people, place and event attended absent; no comparative analysis to researcher's own cultural background; no analysis of the significance of what was observed to the people involved; annotated sources not incorporated; little attention paid to the Guide to Cross-Cultural Experience Reflections	Otherness of the experience not obvious; concepts from class not reflected; references to the text/lecture inadequate or absent; poor or absent descriptions of people, place and event attended; poor or no comparative analysis to researcher's own cultural background; inadequate or no analysis of the significance of what was observed to the people involved; annotated sources poorly incorporated or not at all; little attention paid to the Guide to Cross-Cultural Experience Reflections	Otherness of the experience not obvious; fewer than two concepts from class well reflected; text/lecture quotes present but inadequate; includes brief descriptions of people, place and event attended; includes a generic, overly broad comparative analysis to researcher's own cultural background; includes an inadequate analysis of the significance of what was observed to the people involved; annotated sources are incorporated; abides by instructions in the Guide to Cross-Cultural Experience Reflections	Creative choice of an experience; obvious otherness of the experience; incorporates well at least two concepts learned in class; quotes appropriately from the text and/or lecture; includes brief descriptions of the people, place and event attended; includes a targeted and sophisticated comparative analysis to researcher's own cultural background; includes an analysis of the significance of what you observed to the people involved; both citations from annotated sources are well incorporated; abides by instructions in the Guide to Cross-Cultural Experience Reflections
	0 to 12 points	13 to 15 points	16 to 17 points	18 to 20 points
Annotated Bibliography	Annotated bibliography absent or woefully inadequate	Less than two annotated bibliographic references included; Only indirectly related or unrelated to the experience; One or both not from peer reviewed journals; Inattention to AAA style in the Annotated Bibliography and/or the citations in the reflection; Little to no attention paid to descriptive and evaluative	Less than two fully annotated bibliographic references included; Only indirectly related to the experience; Chosen from peer reviewed journals; Inconsistent use of AAA style in the Annotated Bibliography and/or the citations in the reflection; Annotations included descriptive and evaluative elements	Two annotated bibliographic references included; directly related to the experience; chosen from peer reviewed anthropology journals or other peer reviewed social science journals; proper AAA style used correctly in the Annotated Bibliography and in the citations in the reflection; annotations included 2-3 sentence

		elements;		description and 2-3 sentence evaluation of the source as to its value for understanding the student's cross-cultural experience
	0 to 12 points	13 to 15 points	16 to 17 points	18 to 20 points
Field Notes	Field notes absent or woefully inadequate	Minimal field notes; No evidence of preparation; Includes notes on the venue, or the range of people present or the activities experienced	Adequate field notes; Little to no evidence of preparation; Includes less than substantive notes on the venue, range of people present and the activities experienced	Extensive and detailed field notes; evidence of forethought and preparation; includes substantive notes on the venue, the range of people present and the activities experienced
	0 to 4 points	5 to 6 points	7 to 8 points	9 to 10 points
Evidence	No evidence	Evidence is present but not obviously connected to the experience or the student's participation in that experience	Adequate piece of evidence of the experience, but not the student's presence/participation at the experience	Clear piece of visual evidence of the experience and the student's participation in that experience
Total				