Point Loma Nazarene University BACCALAUREATE SOCIAL WORK PROGRAM

ASSESSMENT OF STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES

2017 Report¹

CSWE Accreditation Standard AS 4. B

- 4.0.2 The program provides summary data and outcomes for the assessment of each of its competencies, identifying the percentage of students achieving the benchmark.
- 4.0.4 The program uses Form AS 4 (B) to report assessment outcomes to its constituents and the public on its website and routinely up-dates (minimally every 2 years) these postings

All Council on Social Work Education programs measure and report student learning outcomes. Students are assessed on their mastery of the competencies that comprise the CSWE accreditation standards. These competencies are dimensions of social work practice that all social work professional training. A benchmark is set by the social work programs for each competency. An assessment score at or above that benchmark is considered by the program to represent mastery of that particular competency.

COMPETENCY	COMPETENCY	PERCENTAGE OF STUDENTS ACHIEVING/	Data Sources
	BENCHMARK	BENCHMARK	2017 Graduate Data
	Based on a 4.0 Scale	Findings	Final Field Evaluation and
	000/ 10 == 1		Program Learning Outcomes
Identify as a	90% at 2.75 or above	Achieved	Domain #1
Professional	100% 2.5 or above	Cumulative group average 3.73 of 4.0 (up)	Final Field Evaluation Items 1-6
Social Worker	No Item score below 2.0	100% Students scores above 3.0 (exceeds) 100% scores above 2.5	Learning Plan 1-6
		No item below 2.0	
		Range 3.17 to 4.0	
Apply Ethical	90% at 2.75 or above	Achieved	Domain #2
Principles	No item below 2.5 of 4.0	Cumulative group average 3.49 of 4.0	Final Field Evaluation Items 7-10
Trincipies	140 Item Below 2.5 01 4.0	(down)	Learning Plan 7-10
		100% Individual average 2.75 or above	
		No item below 2.5	PLO Ethics
		Range 2.88 to 4.0	
Apply Critical	90% at 2.75 or above	Achieved	Domain #3
Thinking	No Item score below 2.5	Cumulative group average 3.45 (up)	Final Field Evaluation 11-13
		100% individual average 2.75 or above	Learning Plan 11-13
		No score below 2.5	
		Range 2.67 to 4.0	PLO Critical Thinking
Engage	90% at 2.5 or above	Achieved	Domain #4
Diversity in	No Item score below 2	Cumulative group average 3.59 (up)	Final Field Evaluation 14-17
Practice		100% Individual average 2.5 or above	Learning Plan 14-17
		No item score below 3.0 (exceeds)	
	000/ 10-	Range 3.0 to 4.0	PLO Diversity
Advance Human	90% at 2.5 or above	Achieved	Domain #5
Rights/ Social and Economic Justice	No Item score below 2.0	Cumulative group average 3.74 (up)	Final Field Evaluation 18-20
Economic Justice		100% Individual average 3.0 or above 100% score 3.0 or above	Learning Plan 18-20
		Range 3.33 to 4.0 (exceeds)	
Engage Research	90% at 2.5 or above	Achieved	Domain #6
Informed Practice/	No Item score below 20	Cumulative group average 3.55 (up)	Final Field Evaluation 21-22
Practice Informed		100% Individual average above 3.0	Learning Plan 21-22
Research		No item score below 3.0 (exceeds)	
		Range 3.0 to 4.0	PLO Application
Apply Human Behavior	Not less than 2.0 of 4.0	Achieved	Domain #7
Knowledge	No Item score below 2.0	Cumulative group average 3.68 (up)	Final Field Evaluation 23-24
		100% Individual average 3.0 or above	Learning Plan 23-24

¹ Note: As of May 31, 2017 data is missing for two students who are completing field education. Report will be updated when data is available.

		No score below 3.5 (exceeds) Range 3.5 to 4.0	PLO Application
Engage Policy Practice to Advance Well- Being and Deliver Services	Not less than 2.0 0f 4.0 No Item score below 2.0	Achieved Cumulative group average 3.59 (up) 100% Individual average 3.0 or above No item below 3.5 (exceeds) Range 3.5 to 4.0	Domain #8 Final Field Evaluation 25-26 Learning Plan 25-26
Respond to Practice Contexts	Not less than 2.0 of 4.0 No Item score below 2.0	Achieved Cumulative group average: 3.46 (down) 100% Individual average 3.0 or above No score below 3.0 (exceeds) Range 3.0 to 4.0	Domain #9 Final Field Evaluation 27-28 Learning Plan 27-28
Practice Engagement	Not less than 2.0 of 4.0 No Item score below 2.0	Achieved Cumulative group average 3.69 (up) 100% Individual average 3.0 or above No item below 3.33 (exceeds) Range 3.33 to 4.0	Domain #10 a Final Field Evaluation 29-31 Learning Plan 29-31
Practice Assessment	90% at 2.0 or above No Item score below 2.0	Achieved Cumulative group average 3.63 (down) 100% Individual average 2.5 or above No item below 3.25 (exceeds) Range 3.25 to 4.0	Domain #10 b Final Field Evaluation 32-25 Learning Plan 32-35
Practice Intervention	90% at 2.0 or above No Item score below 2.0	Achieved Cumulative group average: 3.56 (same) 100% individual average 3.0 or above No item below 2.0 Range 3.0 to 4.0	Domain #10 c Final Field Evaluation 36-40 Learning Plan 36-40
Practice Evaluation	Not less than 2.0 of 4.0 No Item score below 2.0	Achieved Cumulative group average 3.54 (down) 90% Individual average above 3.0 No item score below 3.0 (exceeds) Range 3.0 to 4.0	Domain #10 d Final Field Evaluation 41 Learning Plan 41
PLNU Specific – Faith	Not less than 2.5 of 4.0 No Item score below 2.0	Achieved Cumulative group average 3.66 (same) 90% Individual average above 3.0 No item score below 2.0 Range 3.0 to 4.0	Domain #11 Final Evaluation 42-43 Learning Plan 42-43

NOTE: In addition to these competency measures, the PLNU program prepares a supplemental report for foundational knowledge content.

All benchmarks achieved or exceeded. Cumulative average improved on eight measures, four down; two unhanged.