Assessment Data 2016-2017 Education Generalist Clear

Learning Outcome:

EQUIP: Students are equipped with a deep and coordinated understanding of the knowledge, skills, and dispositions demonstrated by effective educators.

Maintains competencies in knowledge and skills by demonstration of the following: The candidate creates and maintains a safe and effective environment that engages and supports all students in critical learning experiences (CSTP 1, 2 Standards 3, 4, 5, 6)

Outcome Measure(s):

GED 672 Signature Assignment GED 689 Culminating Research Project

Criteria for Success (if applicable):

Average score for the rubric content criteria is 3.5 or higher (on a scale of 1-4, with 4 being the highest). The rubric performance levels are: Exceeds Standard (4), Meets Standard (3), Below Standard (2), and Far Below Standard (1).

The three rubric content criteria are: Area of Focus, Literature Review, and Data Collection and Analysis.

Aligned with DQP Learning Areas:

- 1. Specialized Knowledge
- 2. Broad Integrative Knowledge
- 3. Intellectual Skills/Core Competencies
- 4. Applied and Collaborative Learning, and
- 5. Civic and Global Learning

Longitudinal Data:

GED672	Target: Average Score for the three is 3.0 or higher			
Rubric Content	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	
Criteria				
Personal Reflection	3.87			
Role of Philosophical	3.76			
Perspectives				
Role of Theoretical	3.79			
Perspectives				
Role of	3.81			
Cultural/Political				
Perspectives				

GED689	Target: Average Score for the three is 3.0 or higher				
Rubric Content	2016-2017 2017-2018 2018-2019				
Criteria					

Area of Focus	3.97	
Literature Review	3.88	
Data Collection and Analysis	3.73	

Conclusions Drawn from Data:

Target is met. Students are performing at a high level on their competence of the knowledge of ensuring a safe and effective learning environment for all students.

Learning Outcome:

TRANSFORM: Students engage in ongoing development and competence to apply the knowledge, skills, and dispositions of effective educators in supportive diverse environments.

Promotes the success of all students by demonstration of the following:

- a) The candidate develops meaningful learning experiences utilizing instructional strategies appropriate to the subject matter and assessed needs of all learners. (CSTP3,4, St. 5,6)
- b) The candidate demonstrates advanced knowledge of their subject matter by organizing and adapting resources to maximize learning for all students. (CSTP 3, 4 Standards 3, 4, 5, 6, 6a, 6b)

Outcome Measure:

GED 672 Signature Assignment GED 689 Culminating Research Project

Criteria for Success (if applicable):

Average score for the rubric content criteria is 3.5 or higher (on a scale of 1-4, with 4 being the highest). The rubric performance levels are: Exceeds Standard (4), Meets Standard (3), Below Standard (2), and Far Below Standard (1).

Aligned with DQP Learning Areas (circle one or more):

- 1. Specialized Knowledge
- 2. Broad Integrative Knowledge
- 3. Intellectual Skills/Core Competencies
- 4. Applied and Collaborative Learning, and
- 5. Civic and Global Learning

Longitudinal Data:

GED672	Target: Average Score for the three is 3.0 or higher			
Rubric Content Criteria	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	
Personal Reflection	3.87			
Role of Cultural/Political Perspectives	3.81			

GED689	Target: Average Score for the three is 3.0 or higher				
Rubric Content Criteria	2016-2017 2017-2018 2018-2019				
Impact on Teaching Practice	3.80				
Content	3.72				
Action Plan	3.69				

Conclusions Drawn from Data:

Target is met. Students are performing at a high level on their competence in the use of general and subject-specific instructional strategies aimed at meeting the needs of all learners.

Learning Outcome:

EMPOWER: Program completers are empowered to sustain high levels of mastery and demonstrate continual transformation in their professional practice.

Promotes the success of all students by demonstration of high levels of mastery and continual transformation of the following:

The candidate analyzes student assessment data and critiques own teaching practice in order to revise and improve learning experiences and achievement of all students. (CSTP 5, 6)

Outcome Measure(s):

GED 672 Signature Assignment GED 689 Culminating Research Project

Criteria for Success (if applicable):

Average score for the rubric content criteria is 3.5 or higher (on a scale of 1-4, with 4 being the highest). The rubric performance levels are: Exceeds Standard (4), Meets Standard (3), Below Standard (2), and Far Below Standard (1).

Aligned with DQP Learning Areas (circle one or more):

- 1. Specialized Knowledge
- 2. Broad Integrative Knowledge
- 3. Intellectual Skills/Core Competencies
- 4. Applied and Collaborative Learning, and
- 5. Civic and Global Learning

Longitudinal Data:

GED672	Target: Average Score for the three is 3.0 or higher			
Rubric Content	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	
Criteria				
Personal Reflection	3.87			
Role of	3.81			
Cultural/Political				
Perspectives				
Written	3.67			
Communication				

GED689	Target: Average Score for the three is 3.0 or higher			
Rubric Content Criteria	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	
Impact on Teaching Practice	3.80			
Content	3.72			
Action Plan	3.69			
Organization and Clarity	3.70			

Conclusions Drawn from Data:

Target is met. Students are performing at a high level on their competence the use of assessments to make appropriate changes to instruction and curriculum.

Rubrics Used

GED 672 signature assignment

	value: 1.00	value: 2.00	value: 3.00	value: 4.00
Personal Reflection on one's own personal philosophy of education with application to everyday experience and effective instructional practice	Demonstrates little or no evidence of an accurate understanding of this concept	Demonstrates a cursory, limited or partial understanding of this concept	Demonstrates an accurate and appropriate understanding of this concept	Consistently and accurately demonstrates a detailed understanding of this concept
Role of Philosophical Perspectives that contribute to personal philosophy formation with application to everyday experience and effective instructional practice	Demonstrates little or no evidence of an accurate understanding of this concept	Demonstrates a cursory, limited or partial understanding of this concept	Demonstrates an accurate and appropriate understanding of this concept	Consistently and accurately demonstrates a detailed understanding of this concept
Role of Theoretical Perspectives that contribute to personal philosophy formation with application to everyday experience and effective instructional practice	Demonstrates little or no evidence of an accurate understanding of this concept	Demonstrates a cursory, limited or partial understanding of this concept	Demonstrates an accurate and appropriate understanding of this concept	Consistently and accurately demonstrates a detailed understanding of this concept
Role of Cultural/Political Perspectives that contribute to personal philosophy	•Demonstrates little or no evidence of an accurate understanding of this concept	Demonstrates a cursory, limited or partial understanding of this	Demonstrates an accurate and appropriate understanding of this	Consistently and accurately demonstrates a detailed understanding

	value: 1.00	value: 2.00	value: 3.00	value: 4.00
formation with application to everyday experience and effective instructional practice		concept	concept	of this concept
Writing is effective in that grammar and spelling are correct, and thoughts are clear and organized.	Demonstrates little or no evidence of an accurate understanding of this concept	Demonstrates a cursory, limited or partial understanding of this concept	Demonstrates an accurate and appropriate understanding of this concept	Consistently and accurately demonstrates a detailed understanding of this concept

GED 689 Culminating Research Project

http://www.taskstream.com/GED 689

	value: 1.00	value: 2.00	value: 3.00	value: 4.00
Impact on	Project describes no	Project describes little	Project describes some	Project describes clear
Teaching Practice	describes no transformation of candidates' knowledge, skills and dispositions • Project does not describe how and why research improves student learning • Project does not refer to existing body of literature or literature is inappropriate	describes little transformation of candidates' knowledge, skills and dispositions • Project describes very little of how and why research improves student learning • Project is vaguely situated in and tied to existing body of literature	describes some transformation of candidates' knowledge, skills and dispositions • Project describes how and why research improves student learning • Project is situated in and tied to existing body of lite	describes clear transformation of candidates' knowledge, skills and dispositions • Project clearly describes how and why research improves student learning • Project clearly refers to existing body of literature or literature is appropriate
Content	Report shows no application of the research cycle	• Report shows little application of the research cycle and shows little connection throughout the paper	Report shows some application of the research cycle that is connected throughout the paper	Report shows a clear and detailed application of the research cycle and is connected throughout the paper
Area of Focus	There is no clear area of focus	The area of focus is overly broad or narrow	Area of focus is somewhat vague Research	Clearly stated area of focus Research

	value: 1.00	value: 2.00	value: 3.00	value: 4.00
	Research questions are inappropriate	Research questions are unclear	questions are somewhat vague	questions are clearly written and appropriate
Literature Review	 Few or no citations Less than 10 total sources Citations are not in the proper format 	 3 recent sources cited At least 10 total sources Some are relevant and credible Some citations are correctly made according to APA format 	 3 to 5 recent sources cited At least 20 total sources Most sources are relevant and credible Most citations are correctly made according to APA format 	 5 or more recent (5 years) sources cited At least 20 total sources All sources are relevant and credible All citations are correctly made according to APA format
Data Collection and Analysis	Little or no description of target population Little or no description of how the data was collected Utilizes one source of data No analysis of the data	Some description of target population Minimal description of how data was collected Utilizes one or two sources of data Little analysis of the data	Description of target population Some details of how data was collected Utilizes at least two sources of data Analysis of the data mentions themes and patterns	Clear description of target population Detailed description of how data was collected Utilizes multiple data sources Detailed analysis of the data provides identification of themes and patterns
Action Plan	No recommendations or action plan	 Little connection between findings of the study, recommendations or action plan and the original questions Most components of the action plan are missing 	Some connection between findings of the study, recommendations or action plan and the original questions Elements of the action plan are missing	Specific and clear connection between findings of the study, recommendations or action plan and the original questions
Organization and Clarity	Narrative is unclear and difficult to follow	 Narrative is unclear, lacks organization Statements are rarely supported by evidence from the research 	 Narrative is somewhat clear, organized and succinct Statements are mostly supported by evidence from the research 	 Narrative is clear, organized and succinct Statements are all supported by evidence from the research

	value: 1.00	value: 2.00	value: 3.00	value: 4.00
Format and	 Report does not 	Report	 Report mostly 	Report follows
Quality	follow APA format	somewhat follows	follows APA	APA format with
	and/or has many	APA format with	format with	no grammatical,
	grammatical,	some (more than	minimal (fewer	punctuation or
	punctuation or	5)grammatical,	than 5)	spelling errors
	spelling errors	punctuation or	grammatical,	
		spelling errors	punctuation or	
			spelling errors	
Appendices	Relevant	 Some relevant 	 Most relevant 	All Relevant
	documents are	documents	documents	documents
	missing	included	included	included