Assessment Data 2016-2017 Education Specialist Clear

Learning Outcome 1:

EQUIP: Students are equipped with a deep and coordinated understanding of the knowledge, skills, and dispositions demonstrated by effective educators.

Maintains competencies in knowledge and skills by demonstration of the following:

- a) The candidate creates and maintains a safe and effective environment that engages and supports all students in critical learning experiences (CSTP 1, 2 Standards 4, 5, 6)
- b) The candidate demonstrates advanced knowledge and expertise in delivering services to the diverse learning styles and needs of identified students and establishes a professional educational community including collaboration between general education induction and special education induction. (CSTP 1, 2 Standards 2, 5)

Outcome Measure(s):

GED 672 Signature Assignment GED 689 Culminating Research Project

Criteria for Success (if applicable):

Average score for the rubric content criteria is 3.5 or higher (on a scale of 1-4, with 4 being the highest). The rubric performance levels are: Exceeds Standard (4), Meets Standard (3), Below Standard (2), and Far Below Standard (1).

The three rubric content criteria are: Area of Focus, Literature Review, and Data Collection and Analysis.

Aligned with DQP Learning Areas:

- 1. Specialized Knowledge
- Broad Integrative Knowledge
- 3. Intellectual Skills/Core Competencies
- 4. Applied and Collaborative Learning, and
- 5. Civic and Global Learning

Longitudinal Data:

GED672	Target: Average Score for the three is 3.0 or higher			
Rubric Content Criteria	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	
Personal Reflection	3.95			
Role of Philosophical Perspectives	3.71			
Role of Theoretical Perspectives	3.67			
Role of	3.89			

Cultural/Political		
Perspectives		

GED689	Target: Average Score for the three is 3.0 or higher			
Rubric Content Criteria	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	
Area of Focus	3.85			
Literature Review	3.66			
Data Collection and Analysis	3.53			

Conclusions Drawn from Data:

Target is met. Students are performing at a high level on their competence creating and maintaining a safe and effective learning environment in which the students are skilled at appropriate service delivery in both the special and general education settings.

Learning Outcome 2:

TRANSFORM: Students engage in ongoing development and competence to apply the knowledge, skills, and dispositions of effective educators in supportive diverse environments.

Promotes the success of all students by demonstration of the following:

- a) The candidate applies awareness of current research, issues and trends evidence based practices in the field re: social, academic and behavioral intervention, and current legal issues. (CSTP 3,4, St. 5, 6)
- b) The candidate demonstrates use of a variety of resources (including technology related tools, interpreters, etc) to collaborate and communicate in the provision of the full range of special education service delivery and equitable access to the state adopted content standards. (CSTP 3, 4 Standards 2, 5, 6, 6a, 6b)

Outcome Measure:

GED 672 Signature Assignment GED 689 Culminating Research Project

Criteria for Success (if applicable):

Average score for the rubric content criteria is 3.5 or higher (on a scale of 1-4, with 4 being the highest). The rubric performance levels are: Exceeds Standard (4), Meets Standard (3), Below Standard (2), and Far Below Standard (1).

Aligned with DQP Learning Areas (circle one or more):

- 1. Specialized Knowledge
- 2. Broad Integrative Knowledge
- 3. Intellectual Skills/Core Competencies
- 4. Applied and Collaborative Learning, and
- 5. Civic and Global Learning

Longitudinal Data:

GED672	Target: Average Score for the three is 3.0 or higher				
Rubric Content Criteria	2016-2017 2017-2018 2018-2019				
Personal Reflection	3.95				
Role of Cultural/Political Perspectives	3.89				

GED689	Target: Average Score for the three is 3.0 or higher			
Rubric Content Criteria	2016-2017 2017-2018 2018-2019			
Impact on Teaching Practice	3.65			

Content	3.77	
Action Plan	3.75	

Conclusions Drawn from Data:

Target is met. Students are performing at a high level on their competence in the use of evidence-based practices to support an effective and equitable learning environment.

Learning Outcome 3:

EMPOWER: Program completers are empowered to sustain high levels of mastery and demonstrate continual transformation in their professional practice.

Promotes the success of all students by demonstration of high levels of mastery and continual transformation of the following

The candidate develops a clear action plan with dates, research, application, and expected impact on teaching/student achievement, and analyzes student assessment data and critiques own teaching practice in order to revise and improve learning experiences and achievement of all students thus allowing for meaningful integration of theory and practice,. (CSTP 5, 6, St. 4, 5, 7)

Outcome Measure(s):

GED 672 Signature Assignment GED 689 Culminating Research Project

Criteria for Success (if applicable):

Average score for the rubric content criteria is 3.5 or higher (on a scale of 1-4, with 4 being the highest). The rubric performance levels are: Exceeds Standard (4), Meets Standard (3), Below Standard (2), and Far Below Standard (1).

Aligned with DQP Learning Areas (circle one or more):

- 1. Specialized Knowledge
- 2. Broad Integrative Knowledge
- 3. Intellectual Skills/Core Competencies
- 4. Applied and Collaborative Learning, and
- 5. Civic and Global Learning

Longitudinal Data:

GED672	Target: Average Score for the three is 3.0 or higher			
Rubric Content Criteria	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	
Personal Reflection	3.95			
Role of Cultural/Political Perspectives	3.89			
Written Communication	3.62			

GED689	Target: Average Score for the three is 3.0 or higher			
Rubric Content Criteria	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	
Impact on Teaching Practice	3.65			
Content	3.77			
Action Plan	3.75			

Organization and	3.59	
Clarity		

Conclusions Drawn from Data:

Target is met. Students are performing at a high level on their competence in positively impacting student achievement.

Rubrics Used

GED 672 signature assignment

	value: 1.00	value: 2.00	value: 3.00	value: 4.00
Personal Reflection on one's own personal philosophy of education with application to everyday experience and effective instructional practice	Demonstrates little or no evidence of an accurate understanding of this concept	Demonstrates a cursory, limited or partial understanding of this concept	Demonstrates an accurate and appropriate understanding of this concept	Consistently and accurately demonstrates a detailed understanding of this concept
Role of Philosophical Perspectives that contribute to personal philosophy formation with application to everyday experience and effective instructional practice	Demonstrates little or no evidence of an accurate understanding of this concept	Demonstrates a cursory, limited or partial understanding of this concept	Demonstrates an accurate and appropriate understanding of this concept	Consistently and accurately demonstrates a detailed understanding of this concept
Role of Theoretical Perspectives that contribute to personal philosophy formation with application to everyday experience and effective instructional practice	Demonstrates little or no evidence of an accurate understanding of this concept	Demonstrates a cursory, limited or partial understanding of this concept	Demonstrates an accurate and appropriate understanding of this concept	Consistently and accurately demonstrates a detailed understanding of this concept
Role of Cultural/Political Perspectives that contribute to personal philosophy formation with	•Demonstrates little or no evidence of an accurate understanding of this concept	Demonstrates a cursory, limited or partial understanding of this concept	Demonstrates an accurate and appropriate understanding of this concept	Consistently and accurately demonstrates a detailed understanding of this

	value: 1.00	value: 2.00	value: 3.00	value: 4.00
application to				concept
everyday				
experience and				
effective				
instructional				
practice				
Writing is	Demonstrates	Demonstrates	Demonstrates	Consistently
effective in that	little or no	a cursory,	an accurate	and
grammar and	evidence of an	limited or	and	accurately
spelling are	accurate	partial	appropriate	demonstrates
correct, and	understanding	understanding	understanding	a detailed
thoughts	of this concept	of this	of this	understanding
are clear and		concept	concept	of this
organized.				concept

GED 689 Culminating Research Project

http://www.taskstream.com/GED 689

	value: 1.00	value: 2.00	value: 3.00	value: 4.00
Impact on Teaching Practice	 Project describes no transformation of candidates' knowledge, skills and dispositions Project does not describe how and why research improves student learning Project does not refer to existing body of literature or literature is inappropriate 	 Project describes little transformation of candidates' knowledge, skills and dispositions Project describes very little of how and why research improves student learning Project is vaguely situated in and tied to existing body of literature 	 Project describes some transformation of candidates' knowledge, skills and dispositions Project describes how and why research improves student learning Project is situated in and tied to existing body of lite 	 Project describes clear transformation of candidates' knowledge, skills and dispositions Project clearly describes how and why research improves student learning Project clearly refers to existing body of literature or literature is appropriate
Content	• Report shows no application of the research cycle	• Report shows little application of the research cycle and shows little connection throughout the paper	• Report shows some application of the research cycle that is connected throughout the paper	• Report shows a clear and detailed application of the research cycle and is connected throughout the paper
Area of Focus	 There is no clear area of focus Research 	 The area of focus is overly broad or narrow Research 	 Area of focus is somewhat vague Research questions are 	 Clearly stated area of focus Research questions are

	value: 1.00	value: 2.00	value: 3.00	value: 4.00
	questions are	questions are	somewhat vague	clearly written
	inappropriate	unclear		and appropriate
Literature Review	 Few or no citations Less than 10 total sources Citations are not in the proper format 	 3 recent sources cited At least 10 total sources Some are relevant and credible Some citations are correctly made according to APA format 	 3 to 5 recent sources cited At least 20 total sources Most sources are relevant and credible Most citations are correctly made according to APA format 	 5 or more recent (5 years) sources cited At least 20 total sources All sources are relevant and credible All citations are correctly made according to APA
Data Collection and Analysis	 Little or no description of target population Little or no description of how the data was collected Utilizes one source of data No analysis of the data 	 Some description of target population Minimal description of how data was collected Utilizes one or two sources of data Little analysis of the data 	 Description of target population Some details of how data was collected Utilizes at least two sources of data Analysis of the data mentions themes and patterns 	format • Clear description of target population • Detailed description of how data was collected • Utilizes multiple data sources • Detailed analysis of the data provides identification of themes and patterns
Action Plan	• No recommendations or action plan	 Little connection between findings of the study, recommendations or action plan and the original questions Most components of the action plan are missing 	 Some connection between findings of the study, recommendations or action plan and the original questions Elements of the action plan are missing 	• Specific and clear connection between findings of the study, recommendations or action plan and the original questions
Organization and Clarity Format and	Narrative is unclear and difficult to follow Report does not	 Narrative is unclear, lacks organization Statements are rarely supported by evidence from the research Report 	 Narrative is somewhat clear, organized and succinct Statements are mostly supported by evidence from the research Report mostly 	 Narrative is clear, organized and succinct Statements are all supported by evidence from the research Report follows
i unnat anu				

	value: 1.00	value: 2.00	value: 3.00	value: 4.00
Quality	follow APA format	somewhat follows	follows APA	APA format with
	and/or has many	APA format with	format with	no grammatical,
	grammatical,	some (more than	minimal (fewer	punctuation or
	punctuation or	5)grammatical,	than 5)	spelling errors
	spelling errors	punctuation or	grammatical,	
		spelling errors	punctuation or	
			spelling errors	
Appendices	 Relevant 	 Some relevant 	 Most relevant 	 All Relevant
	documents are	documents	documents	documents
	missing	included	included	included