

Commission on Teacher Credentialing Biennial Report Fall 2014

Academic Years 2012-2013 and 2013-2014

| | | | Institution | Point Loma Nazarene University School of Education |
|--|-------|-----------|---|---|
| | | | Cohort | none |
|] | Date | report i | is submitted | 11/14/14 |
| Program do | cum | ented ir | n this report | Master in Education: Teaching and Learning |
| throug | gh wh | ich this | very options s program is offered tern, Other) | Traditional |
| | | | ial awarded | General Education Professional Clear (Multiple and Single Subject Clear) |
| Is this progr | ram o | offered : | at more than | one site? Yes |
| If yes, list al which the pr offered | | | Arcadia Bakersfield Inland Emp Mission Val | |
| Program Contact | | Dr. Co | onni Campbe | II |
| Title | | Associ | ate Dean, Cl | ear and Other School Professionals Credentials |
| Phone # | | 619.56 | 3.2842 | |
| E-Mail | | ccamb | el@pointlom | ıa.edu |
| If the prepa | | | | rent than the Program Contact, please note contact |
| Name | Pau | ıla Bloc | ker | |
| Title | Pro | fessor | | |
| Phone # | 619 | .563.28 | 18 | |
| E-mail | Pau | ılaBlock | ker@pointlor | na.edu |

SECTION A – CREDENTIAL PROGRAM SPECIFIC INFORMATION GENERAL EDUCATION PROFESSINAL CLEAR CREDENTIAL

PART I – Contextual Information:

The University's School of Education (SOE) offers a general education professional clear credential for both the Multiple Subject and Single Subject candidates. Candidates in this program are generally those who are teaching in private, charter or public schools that do not have access to BTSA programs.

To comply with the new California state standards, a new clear credential program was written by the university and approved by the CTC in 2010 and requires the following four courses:

- GED642 Candidates design a ELD or SDAIE unit of study for one of the classes that the candidate teaches.
- GED677 Candidates demonstrate their understanding of inclusive practices and to apply them in working with key educators to provide access for all learners.
- GED 673 This is the coaching component of the program in which the candidates reflect on their growth and growth of their students as it relates to the California Standards for the Teaching Profession.
- GED 641 Candidates explore different cultural groups examining their values, communication and parenting styles, and the most effective strategies to reach and teach their children.

| Program Specific Candidate Information | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Numbers of can | didates and comp | leters/graduates f | or two years repo | rted | | | | | | | |
| | 2012 | -2013 | 2013- | -2014 | | | | | | | |
| Site (If multiple sites) | Number of | Number of | Number of | Number of | | | | | | | |
| Delivery Option | Candidates | Completers/ | Candidates | Completers/ | | | | | | | |
| | | Graduates | | Graduates | | | | | | | |
| Arcadia Regional Center | 5 | 6 | 0 | 0 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Bakersfield Regional | 0 | 1 | 3 | 3 | | | | | | | |
| Center | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Inland Empire/Corona | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | | | | | | | |
| Regional Center | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mission Valley Regional | 7 | 13 | 3 | 11 | | | | | | | |
| Center | | | | | | | | | | | |

Changes Since Last Accreditation Activity (Biennial Report, Program Assessment or Site

<u>Visit</u>). Point Loma Nazarene School of Education has undergone several changes since the last accreditation activity. The regional center in Arcadia has closed. All candidates were given individualized plans of completion, with several candidates now in their final culminating project toward completion.

The SOE was granted NCATE/CAEP accreditation in August of 2012. A new Dean, Dr. Deb Erickson, has been leading the SOE's efforts since July of 2013. SOE has experienced a decrease in the number of candidates enrolled, as well as a reduction in force in full-time faculty. Additional positive changes include the revision in the number of *Dispositions of Noble Character* that are assessed for each candidate several times a year from eight to four. Several courses are offered in an on-line format in order to serve candidates across regional centers. The university has made a commitment to increased transparency in the data collection process, analysis of data and program improvement plans.

Due to budget considerations and program prioritization, the Bakersfield Regional Center no longer offers GED 677 and all regional centers no longer offer GED 642. In order to meet the Clear Credential program requirements, we have been offering GED 668 as a course equivalent for GED 642, being a more robust alternative to meeting the needs of second language learners. The School of Education is in the beginning stages of building GED 677 in an online format in order to make the course available to students at the Bakersfield Regional Center.

SECTION A – CREDENTIAL PROGRAM SPECIFIC INFORMATION GENERAL EDUCATION PROFESSIONAL CLEAR CREDENTIAL

PART II - Candidate Assessment/Performance and Program

The School of Education collects a wide range of data on an annual basis. The key assessments designated for the biennial report for the General Education Professional Clear Credential Program are signature assignments, disposition assessments and exit surveys.

| Evaluation Instrument (Direct) | Description | Data Collected: 2 years | Standards Assessed |
|---------------------------------------|--|-------------------------|---|
| GED 641 (Signature Assignment) | School Communities in a Pluralistic Society | 2012 and 2013 | 5g, 5h, 6a.1, 6b.1, 6b, 6c, 6d, 5g, 5h |
| GED 642 (Signature Assignment) | Teaching Strategies for English Learners | 2012 and 2013 | 6a, 6a.1, 6a.2, 6a.3, 6a.4, 6a.5, 6b, 6c, 1,3,19,24 |
| GED 673 (Signature Assignment) | Culminating Questions and Reflections Guide | 2012 and 2013 | 1a-e,f, 2a, 4a-h, 5a-i, 6a-d |
| GED 677 (Signature Assignment) | Teaching Strategies for Special Populations | 2012 and 2013 | 6b.1-6b.6 |

Additional information about candidate and program completer performance or program effectiveness is collected and analyzed that informs programmatic decision making:

| Additional Evaluation | Description | Data Collected: 2 years | Use |
|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|
| Instruments (Indirect) | | | |
| Exit Survey | Form-Based | 2012 and 2013 | Feedback used for |
| | Author Responses | | quality assurance and |
| | | | program improvement |
| Disposition Assessment | Form-Based | 2012 and 2013 | Monitor candidates' |
| | Author Responses | | development of |
| | | | professional |
| | | | dispositions |

c) Include aggregated data from 4-6 instruments that were described in (a) and (b) for two years.

The evaluation mechanism currently in place to assess signature assignments uses a four point scale: 1 = No Evidence; 2 = Some Evidence; 3 = Adequate Evidence; and 4 = Clear Evidence. Summaries and interpretation of these measures are reported in Part III.

It is important to note that, at times, courses are populated with candidates from other programs (change in program, taken as an elective, not properly identified in the system, option of dual credentialing, etc.). If these candidates have submitted a signature assignment using a different program's folio (DRF) based on one of these situations, the data would be reported as such and result in uneven numbers of participants in the program's assessment.

GED 641: SCHOOL COMMUNITIES IN A PLURALISTIC SOCIETY

In this signature assignment, candidates report on a particular cultural group present in their school district. Research the values, religious observances/holidays, learning styles, parental role in education, child rearing traditions, most appropriate ways to praise and discipline the children in school, communication styles (verbal and non-verbal) and how to best reach and teach these children. The project includes a reflection section on the most significant learning to the candidate and the application to teaching.

| Key Assessment Year: 2012 | : GED 64 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|----------|--------------|----------|-----------------------------|------|----------|---|---|----------|-----------------------------------|------|----------|
| Criteria | Arcad | lia Regional | Center | Bakersfield Regional Center | | | Inland Empire/Corona Regional Center | | | Mission Valley Regional Center | | |
| | N | Mean | St. Dev. | N | Mean | St. Dev. | N | М | St. Dev. | N | Mean | St. Dev. |
| Slides | 3 | 3.70 | 0.00 | 2 | 4.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4.00 | 0.00 |
| Information Sources | 3 | 3.48 | 0.42 | 2 | 4.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4.00 | 0.00 |
| Application of information | 3 | 3.80 | 0.00 | 2 | 4.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4.00 | 0.00 |
| Oral presentation | 3 | 3.77 | 0.06 | 2 | 3.50 | 0.71 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4.00 | 0.00 |

| Key Assessment Year: 2013 | t: GED 64 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|-------------------------|------|----------|-----------------------------|------|----------|---|---|----------|-----------------------------------|------|----------|
| Criteria | Arcadia Regional Center | | | Bakersfield Regional Center | | | Inland Empire/Corona Regional Center | | | Mission Valley Regional Center | | |
| | N | Mean | St. Dev. | N | Mean | St. Dev. | N | M | St. Dev. | N | Mean | St. Dev. |
| Slides | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 4.00 | 0.00 |
| Information Sources | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 4.00 | 0.00 |
| Application of information | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 4.00 | 0.00 |
| Oral presentation | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 4.00 | 0.00 |

GED 642: ADVANCED STRATEGIES FOR ENGLISH LEARNERS

This signature assignment requires candidates design a one-week ELD or SDAIE unit of study for one of the classes they currently teach. The PLNU lesson plan format is used and includes both ELD and Content standards, as well as language and content objectives appropriate to the level of proficiency of the EL students in their current class. The candidates list the instructional texts, strategies, technology, assessment techniques, and any supplemental teaching materials that are used to help meet the needs of the EL students. A reflection at the end of each lesson describes what successes the students attained, how the lesson impacted student learning and what the candidate would do to improve on their practice in future lessons.

| Key Assessment: Year: 2012 | GED 642 | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------|--------------|----------|-------------|-----------------------------|----------|---|---|----------|----|-----------------------------------|----------|--|
| Criteria | Arcad | lia Regional | Center | Bakersfield | Bakersfield Regional Center | | | Inland Empire/Corona Regional Center | | | Mission Valley Regional Center | | |
| | N | Mean | St. Dev. | N | Mean | St. Dev. | N | М | St. Dev. | N | Mean | St. Dev. | |
| Unit overview clarity and coherency of rationale | 5 | 4.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 3.77 | 0.44 | |
| Standards and objectives present in the unit plan | 5 | 4.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 3.85 | 0.38 | |
| Comprehensible input and building background knowledge | 5 | 4.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 3.85 | 0.38 | |
| Student activities and opportunities for interaction | 5 | 4.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 3.92 | 0.28 | |
| SDAIE & CALLA strategies | 5 | 4.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 4.00 | 0.00 | |
| Assessment and self-reflection | 5 | 4.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 3.69 | 0.48 | |

| Key Assessment Year: 2013 | : GED 64 | 2 | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----------|--------------|----------|-----------------------------|------|----------|---|---|----------|-----------------------------------|------|----------|
| Criteria | Arcad | lia Regional | Center | Bakersfield Regional Center | | | Inland Empire/Corona Regional Center | | | Mission Valley Regional Center | | |
| | N | Mean | St. Dev. | N | Mean | St. Dev. | N | M | St. Dev. | N | Mean | St. Dev. |
| Unit overview clarity and coherency of rationale | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4.00 | 0.00 |
| Standards and objectives present in the unit plan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | 2 | 4.00 | 0.00 |
| Comprehensible input and building background knowledge | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4.00 | 0.00 |
| Student activities and opportunities for interaction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4.00 | 0.00 |
| SDAIE & CALLA strategies | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4.00 | 0.00 |
| Assessment and self-reflection | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4.00 | 0.00 |

GED 673: REFLECTIVE COACHING SEMINAR

In this signature assignment, candidates complete the Plan, Teach, Reflect, and Apply process for Teacher Induction. This formative assessment system utilizes California's Beginning Teacher Support and Assessment (BTSA) materials that serve as resource for candidates and faculty through the process. Candidates, in collaboration with faculty, frame the path for the expanded skills, support application in the classroom, and provide continual reflection for improving practice inquiry and professional growth.

| Key Assessment Year: 2012 | : GED 67 | 3 | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----------|--------------|----------|-----------------------------|------|----------|---|---|----------|-----------------------------------|------|----------|
| Criteria | Arcad | lia Regional | Center | Bakersfield Regional Center | | | Inland Empire/Corona Regional Center | | | Mission Valley Regional Center | | |
| | N | Mean | St. Dev. | N | Mean | St. Dev. | N | M | St. Dev. | N | Mean | St. Dev. |
| Reflection of your teaching practice and student learning | 5 | 3.80 | 0.45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 4.00 | 0.00 |
| Reflection on student learning needs and the ways you impacted student success | 5 | 3.80 | 0.45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 4.00 | 0.00 |
| Reflection of student learning, instructional strategies and assessments that illustrates a change | 5 | 4.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 4.00 | 0.00 |

| or improvement in your teaching | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|------|------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|------|------|
| Reflection on next steps in your growth as a professional educator | 5 | 4.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 4.00 | 0.00 |

| Key Assessment Year: 2013 | : GED 67 | 3 | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|------|----------|-----------------------------|------|----------|---|---|----------|-----------------------------------|------|---------|
| Criteria | Arcadia Regional Center | | | Bakersfield Regional Center | | | Inland Empire/Corona Regional Center | | | Mission Valley Regional Center | | |
| | N | Mean | St. Dev. | N | Mean | St. Dev. | N | M | St. Dev. | N | Mean | St. Dev |
| Reflection of your teaching practice and student learning needed | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3.33 | 0.58 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4.00 | 0.00 |
| Reflection on student learning needs and the ways you impacted student success | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3.33 | 0.58 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4.00 | 0.00 |
| Reflection of student learning, instructional strategies and assessments that illustrates a change or improvement in your teaching | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3.67 | 0.58 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4.00 | 0.00 |
| Reflection on next steps in your growth as a professional educator | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3.33 | 0.58 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4.00 | 0.00 |

GED 677: TEACHING STRATEGIES FOR SPECIAL POPULATIONS

In this signature assignment, candidates build on their knowledge, skills, experiences and strategies acquired during preliminary preparation for teaching students with disabilities, students in the general education classroom who are at risk and students who are gifted and talented. Each candidate will review and learn application principles for the statutory provisions of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA), and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, discuss and reflect on subsequent changes in the Acts, statutory and/or local provisions relating to the education of students who are gifted and talented. A culminating leadership project reflecting collaboration, differentiation strategies, and student advocacy serves as the signature assignment.

| Key Assessment Year: 2012 | : GED 67 | 7 | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------|--------------|--------|-----------------------------|------|----------|---|---|----------|-----------------------------------|------|----------|
| Criteria | Arcad | lia Regional | Center | Bakersfield Regional Center | | | Inland Empire/Corona Regional Center | | | Mission Valley Regional Center | | |
| | N Mean St. Dev. | | | N | Mean | St. Dev. | N | M | St. Dev. | N | Mean | St. Dev. |
| Collaboration with Key Educators at School Site | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4.00 | 0.00 |
| Personal Philosophy of Inclusive Practices | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3.67 | 0.58 |
| Specific Strategies for Student Success | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3.67 | 0.58 |
| Example of Individual Differentiation for Students with Diverse Needs | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4.00 | 0.00 |
| Reflection | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3.67 | 0.58 |

| Key Assessment Year: 2013 | : GED 67 | 7 | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------|------|-------------|-----------------------------|------|----------|---|---|----------|-----------------------------------|------|----------|
| Criteria | Arcadia Regional Center B | | Bakersfield | Bakersfield Regional Center | | | Inland Empire/Corona Regional Center | | | Mission Valley Regional Center | | |
| | N | Mean | St. Dev. | N | Mean | St. Dev. | N | M | St. Dev. | N | Mean | St. Dev. |
| Collaboration with Key Educators at School Site | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 3.73 | 0.47 |
| Personal Philosophy of Inclusive Practices | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 4.00 | 0.00 |
| Specific Strategies for Student Success | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 3.55 | 0.52 |
| Example of Individual Differentiation for Students with Diverse Needs | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 3.55 | 0.52 |
| Reflection | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 4.00 | 0.00 |

DISPOSITION ASSESSMENT

| Rated Item | Rated Item Total Distribution % | | | | | Average |
|--|---------------------------------|-------|-------|--------|--------|---------|
| | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | |
| Indicator 1: Dignity and Honor. The candidate honors and respects the worthiness of all individuals in word and deed based on PLNU's Wesleyan heritage: We are individuals created in the image of God, committed to civility, respect, hospitality, grace, and service. | 24 | 0.00% | 0.00% | 20.83% | 79.17% | 3.79 |
| Indicator 2: Honesty and Integrity. The candidate demonstrates honesty, integrity, and coherence in | 24 | 0.00% | 0.00% | 20.83% | 79.17% | 3.79 |

| attitudes, and actions, and is accountable to the norms | | | | | | |
|---|-----|--------|---------|---------|-----------|------|
| and expectations of the learning community | | | | | | |
| Indicator 3: Caring, Patience, and Respect. The candidate | | | | | | |
| demonstrates caring, patience, fairness and respect for the | 24 | 0.00% | 4.17% | 25.00% | 70.83% | 3.67 |
| knowledge level, diversity, and abilities of others, | | 0.0070 | 111770 | 20.0070 | 7 0.05 70 | 3.07 |
| ensuring that all students have the opportunity to achieve. | | | | | | |
| Indicator 4: Spirit of Collaboration, Flexibility and | | | | | | |
| Humility. The candidate actively participates in and | | | | | | |
| contributes to the achievement of the learning | 24 | 0.00% | 12.50% | 20.83% | 66.67% | 3.54 |
| community, explaining own thought process with | 27 | 0.0070 | 12.5070 | 20.0370 | 00.0770 | 3.54 |
| humility and considers those of others with a positive, | | | | | | |
| open-minded attitude. | | | | | | |
| Indicator 5: Harmony in Learning Community. The | | | | | | |
| candidate takes responsibility for resolving conflicts or | | | | | | |
| issues with others, and teaches students those skills, in a | 24 | 4.17% | 4.17% | 25.00% | 66.67% | 3.54 |
| way that sustains and enhances a healthy and safe | | | | | | |
| learning community. | | | | | | |
| Indicator 6: Self-Awareness/Calling. The candidate | | | | | | |
| shows awareness of areas of strength, interests, learning | | | | | | |
| style, and areas for continuing growth; generates and | | | | | | |
| follows through on personalized growth plans. The | 2.4 | 4 170/ | 0.000/ | 20.170/ | 66 670/ | 2.50 |
| candidate demonstrates that serving as a professional | 24 | 4.17% | 0.00% | 29.17% | 66.67% | 3.58 |
| educator is a confirmed calling to equip, to transform and | | | | | | |
| to empower every student to fulfill his or her full | | | | | | |
| potential. | | | | | | |
| Indicator 7: Perseverance with Challenge. The candidate | | | 1 | | | |
| perseveres, remains engaged, and persists as a life-long | | 0.000 | | | | |
| learner, especially when academic and professional | 24 | 0.00% | 4.17% | 41.67% | 54.17% | 3.50 |
| assignments are perceived as challenging. | | | | | | |
| Indicator 8: Diligence in Work Habits & Responsibility | | | | | | |
| for Learning. The candidate attends to the roles and | | | | | | |
| responsibilities of the learning community, and is well- | | | | | | |
| prepared and on time. The candidate completes required | 24 | 0.00% | 0.00% | 33.33% | 66.67% | 3.67 |
| assignments on time and is reflective and receptive to | | | | | | |
| formative feedback. | | | | | | |
| formative reedback. | I | | 1 | | | |

| General Education Professional Clear Disposition Data 2013 | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------|-------|--------|----------|--------|---------|--|--|--|--|
| Rated Item | Total | | Distri | bution % | | Average | | | | |
| | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | | | | | |
| Indicator 1: Dignity and Honor. The candidate honors and respects the worthiness of all individuals in word and deed based on PLNU's Wesleyan heritage: We are individuals created in the image of God, committed to civility, respect, hospitality, grace, and service. | 16 | 0.00% | 0.00% | 6.25% | 93.75% | 3.94 | | | | |
| Indicator 2: Honesty and Integrity. The candidate demonstrates honesty, integrity, and coherence in attitudes, and actions, and is accountable to the norms and expectations of the learning community | 16 | 0.00% | 0.00% | 18.75% | 81.25% | 3.81 | | | | |
| Indicator 3: Caring, Patience, and Respect. The candidate demonstrates caring, patience, fairness and respect for the knowledge level, diversity, and abilities of others, ensuring that all students have the opportunity to achieve. | 16 | 0.00% | 6.25% | 12.50% | 81.25% | 3.75 | | | | |
| Indicator 4: Spirit of Collaboration, Flexibility and Humility. The candidate actively participates in and contributes to the achievement of the learning community, explaining own thought process with humility and considers those of others with a positive, open-minded attitude. | 16 | 0.00% | 0.96% | 25.00% | 75.00% | 3.75 | | | | |
| Indicator 5: Harmony in Learning Community. The candidate takes responsibility for resolving conflicts or issues with others, and teaches students those skills, in a way that sustains and enhances a healthy and safe learning community. | 16 | 0.00% | 6.25% | 31.25% | 62.50% | 3.56 | | | | |
| Indicator 6: Self-Awareness/Calling. The candidate shows awareness of areas of strength, interests, learning style, and areas for continuing growth; generates and follows through on personalized growth plans. The candidate | 16 | 0.00% | 0.00% | 43.75% | 56.25% | 3.56 | | | | |

| demonstrates that serving as a professional educator is a confirmed calling to equip, to transform and to empower every student to fulfill his or her full potential. | | | | | | |
|---|----|-------|-------|--------|--------|------|
| Indicator 7: Perseverance with Challenge. The candidate perseveres, remains engaged, and persists as a life-long learner, especially when academic and professional assignments are perceived as challenging. | 16 | 0.00% | 0.00% | 31.25% | 68.75% | 3.69 |
| Indicator 8: Diligence in Work Habits & Responsibility for Learning. The candidate attends to the roles and responsibilities of the learning community, and is well-prepared and on time. The candidate completes required assignments on time and is reflective and receptive to formative feedback. | 16 | 0.00% | 6.25% | 18.75% | 75.00% | 3.69 |

| General Education Professional Clear Dispos | | (New Dispo | | | 3) | |
|---|-------|------------|-------|----------|--------|---------|
| Rated Item | Total | | | bution % | 1 | Average |
| | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | |
| Indicator 1: Honor. The candidate honors and respects the worthiness of all individuals in word and deed based on PLNU's Wesleyan heritage: We are individuals created in the image of God, committed to civility, respect, hospitality, grace, and service, demonstrating coherence in attitudes and actions. | 3 | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 100% | 4.00 |
| Indicator 2: Spirit of Harmony and Collaboration. The candidate actively contributes to the learning community with caring, patience and respect for the diversity of learners. The candidate takes responsibility for resolving conflicts or issues with others, and teaches students those skills, in a way that sustains and enhances a healthy and safe learning community. The candidate's flexibility and humility assures that all students have the opportunity to achieve to their potential. | 3 | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 100% | 4.00 |
| Indicator 3: Reflective Learner. The candidate shows awareness of areas of strength, interests, learning style, and areas for continuing growth; generates and follows through on personalized growth plans. The candidate demonstrates that serving as a professional educator is a confirmed calling to equip, to transform and to empower every student to fulfill his or her full potential. | 3 | 0.00% | 0.00% | 33.33% | 66.67% | 3.67 |
| Indicator 4: Professional and Positive Perseverance. The candidate displays passion for teaching and learning by remaining positive, engaged and accountable to the norms and expectations of the learning community, especially when academic or professional assignments are perceived as challenging. The candidate is reflective and receptive to formative feedback. | 3 | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 100% | 4.00 |

EXIT SURVEY 2012

Equip

Solution Formal Preparation for Teaching: South Form Element Type: Rating Scale

Total Author Response(s): 68 Author Response(s)

| | | ay as | | | | |
|---|-----------------|-------------|------------------|------------|-------|---------|
| Rated Item(s) | Total | | <u>Cor</u> | <u>ınt</u> | | Average |
| | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | |
| Construct effective lesson plans. | 68 | 80.88% | 11.76% | 1.47% | 5.88% | 1.32 |
| Incorporate adaptations in lesson planning for English Language Learners and students with special needs. | 68 | 67.65% | 25.00% | 4.41% | 2.94% | 1.43 |
| Plan a classroom management strategy for your classroom. | 68 | 54.41% | 38.24% | 5.88% | 1.47% | 1.54 |
| Draw upon a variety of management strategies according to student/classroom needs. | 68 | 60.29% | 33.82% | 2.94% | 2.94% | 1.49 |
| Use a variety of tools to keep parents informed of their child's progress in the classroom. | 68 | 51.47% | 27.94% | 14.71% | 5.88% | 1.75 |
| <u>Understand the importance of communicating regularly with parents.</u> | 68 | 69.12% | 16.18% | 10.29% | 4.41% | 1.50 |
| Conduct a parent/teacher conference. | 68 | 39.71% | 29.41% | 22.06% | 8.82% | 2.00 |
| Response Legend: 1 = Well Prepared 2 = Adequately Prepared | 3 = Some | what Prepar | red 4 = U | nprepared | | |

Transform

■ Classroom Skills:

¶

Form Element Type: Rating Scale

Total Author Response(s): 68 Author Response(s)

| | | Distribution % Display as | | | | |
|---|----|---------------------------|--------|-----------|-------|---------|
| Rated Item(s) | | | Cou | <u>nt</u> | | Average |
| | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | |
| Effectively implement a variety of strategies to assess student learning. | 68 | 75.00% | 16.18% | 1.47% | 7.35% | 1.41 |
| Use assessment data to inform instructional planning. | 68 | 67.65% | 22.06% | 2.94% | 7.35% | 1.50 |
| Effectively implement a variety of EL strategies. | 68 | 63.24% | 25.00% | 5.88% | 5.88% | 1.54 |
| Effectively implement a variety of strategies to meet the needs of students with special needs. | 68 | 57.35% | 27.94% | 8.82% | 5.88% | 1.63 |
| Effectively implement a variety of differentiated instructional strategies to meet the learning needs of the full range of students in a typical classroom. | 68 | 64.71% | 23.53% | 7.35% | 4.41% | 1.51 |

Effectively implement a variety of classroom management strategies.

68 60.29% 30.88% 4.41% 4.41% 1.53

Response Legend: 1 = Well Prepared **2** = Adequately Prepared **3** = Somewhat Prepared **4** = Unprepared

■Functionality of Program:

Form Element Type: Rating Scale

Total Author Response(s): 68 Author Response(s)

| Rated Item(s) | Total | | Cor | <u>ınt</u> | | Average |
|--|-------|--------|--------|------------|-------|---------|
| | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | |
| Exposing you to the diversity of settings in CA schools. | 68 | 60.29% | 23.53% | 7.35% | 8.82% | 1.65 |
| Helping you gain a better understanding of the daily routines and responsibilities of a classroom teacher. | 68 | 72.06% | 19.12% | 2.94% | 5.88% | 1.43 |
| Supporting you in the clinical practice experience via university supervision. | 68 | 61.76% | 22.06% | 7.35% | 8.82% | 1.63 |
| Supporting you in the clinical practice experience via the clinical practice seminar. | 68 | 54.41% | 29.41% | 11.76% | 4.41% | 1.66 |
| Assessing your teaching performance in the clinical practice placement. | 68 | 64.71% | 19.12% | 10.29% | 5.88% | 1.57 |
| Supporting you in the completion of Teacher Performance Assessment (TPA) tasks. | 68 | 55.88% | 25.00% | 11.76% | 7.35% | 1.71 |

Response Legend: 1 = Extremely Effective **2** = Effective **3** = Somewhat Effective **4** = Ineffective

Empower

■ Professional Attributes:

¶

Form Element Type: Rating Scale

Total Author Response(s): 68 Author Response(s)

| | Distribution % Display as | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------|-------------|------------------|-----------|-------|------|--|--|--|
| Rated Item(s) | Total | | Average | | | | | | |
| | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | | | | |
| Collaborate with teachers in the school setting. | 68 | 72.06% | 20.59% | 4.41% | 2.94% | 1.38 | | | |
| Collaborate with school administrators in the school setting. | 68 | 57.35% | 27.94% | 10.29% | 4.41% | 1.62 | | | |
| Contribute to discussions of educational issues. | 68 | 61.76% | 27.94% | 5.88% | 4.41% | 1.53 | | | |
| Reflect upon your own teaching and make changes based upon that reflection. | 68 | 85.29% | 8.82% | 0.00% | 5.88% | 1.26 | | | |
| Response Legend: 1 = Well Prepared 2 = Adequately Prepared | 3 = Some | what Prepar | red 4 = U | nprepared | | | | | |

Teaching as a Calling/Christian Worldview:

Form Element Type: Rating Scale

Total Author Response(s): 68 Author Response(s)

| | Distribution % Display as | | | | | |
|--|---------------------------|--------|--------|-----------|-------|---------|
| Rated Item(s) | | | Cou | <u>nt</u> | | Average |
| | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | |
| Encouraging you to explore teaching as a calling. | 68 | 77.94% | 16.18% | 1.47% | 4.41% | 1.32 |
| Encouraging you to consider God's grace in your life. | 68 | 73.53% | 13.24% | 8.82% | 4.41% | 1.44 |
| Raising your awareness of how dispositional characteristics impact a teacher's professional performance. | 68 | 73.53% | 16.18% | 2.94% | 7.35% | 1.44 |

Response Legend: 1 = Extremely Effective **2** = Effective **3** = Somewhat Effective **4** = Ineffective

EXIT SURVEY 2013

Equip

Form Element Type: Rating Scale

Total Author Response(s): 25 Author Response(s)

| Rated Item(s) | Total | | Cou | <u>ınt</u> | | Average |
|---|----------|-------------|-----------|------------|-------|---------|
| | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | |
| Construct effective lesson plans. | 25 | 80.00% | 12.00% | 4.00% | 4.00% | 1.32 |
| Incorporate adaptations in lesson planning for English Language Learners and students with special needs. | 25 | 76.00% | 20.00% | 4.00% | 0.00% | 1.28 |
| Plan a classroom management strategy for your classroom. | 25 | 64.00% | 28.00% | 8.00% | 0.00% | 1.44 |
| Draw upon a variety of management strategies according to student/classroom needs. | 25 | 56.00% | 36.00% | 8.00% | 0.00% | 1.52 |
| Use a variety of tools to keep parents informed of their child's progress in the classroom. | 25 | 52.00% | 24.00% | 24.00% | 0.00% | 1.72 |
| Understand the importance of communicating regularly with parents. | 25 | 56.00% | 28.00% | 16.00% | 0.00% | 1.60 |
| Conduct a parent/teacher conference. | 25 | | | 36.00% | 4.00% | 2.12 |
| Response Legend: 1 = Well Prepared 2 = Adequately Prepared | s = Some | what Prepar | ea 4 = 0 | nprepared | | |

Transform

■ Classroom Skills:

Form Element Type: Rating Scale

Total Author Response(s): 25 Author Response(s)

| Rated Item(s) | | Distribution % Display as | | | | |
|---|---------|---------------------------|------------------|---------|-------|------|
| | | | Count | | | |
| | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | |
| Effectively implement a variety of strategies to assess student learning. | 25 | 72.00% | 20.00% | 0.00% | 8.00% | 1.44 |
| Use assessment data to inform instructional planning. | 25 | 60.00% | 28.00% | 4.00% | 8.00% | 1.60 |
| Effectively implement a variety of EL strategies. | 25 | 72.00% | 20.00% | 4.00% | 4.00% | 1.40 |
| Effectively implement a variety of strategies to meet the needs of students with special needs. | 25 | 68.00% | 28.00% | 0.00% | 4.00% | 1.40 |
| Effectively implement a variety of differentiated instructional strategies to meet the learning needs of the full range of students in a typical classroom. | 25 | 72.00% | 20.00% | 4.00% | 4.00% | 1.40 |
| Effectively implement a variety of classroom management strategies. | 25 | 60.00% | 28.00% | 8.00% | 4.00% | 1.56 |
| Response Legend: 1 = Well Prepared 2 = Adequately Prepared 3 | = Somew | hat Prepared | d 4 = Unp | repared | | |

■ Functionality of Program:

Form Element Type: Rating Scale

Total Author Response(s): 25 Author Response(s)

| | Distribution % Display as | | | | | |
|--|---------------------------|-----------|--------------|------------|-------|---------|
| Rated Item(s) | Total | | <u>Cor</u> | <u>ınt</u> | | Average |
| | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | |
| Exposing you to the diversity of settings in CA schools. | 25 | 56.00% | 28.00% | 8.00% | 8.00% | 1.68 |
| Helping you gain a better understanding of the daily routines and responsibilities of a classroom teacher. | 25 | 72.00% | 20.00% | 4.00% | 4.00% | 1.40 |
| Supporting you in the clinical practice experience via university supervision. | 25 | 76.00% | 16.00% | 4.00% | 4.00% | 1.36 |
| Supporting you in the clinical practice experience via the clinical practice seminar. | 25 | 48.00% | 32.00% | 12.00% | 8.00% | 1.80 |
| Assessing your teaching performance in the clinical practice placement. | 25 | 68.00% | 20.00% | 4.00% | 8.00% | 1.52 |
| Supporting you in the completion of Teacher Performance Assessment (TPA) tasks. | 25 | 60.00% | 24.00% | 8.00% | 8.00% | 1.64 |
| Response Legend: 1 = Extremely Effective 2 = Effective 3 = Second | omewhat ! | Effective | 4 = Ineffect | ive | | |

Empower

Professional Attributes:

Form Element Type: Rating Scale

Total Author Response(s): 25 Author Response(s)

| | Distribution % Display a | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|---------------|------------------|-----------|-------|---------|
| Rated Item(s) | Total | l | Cou | <u>nt</u> | | Average |
| | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | |
| Collaborate with teachers in the school setting. | 25 | 72.00% | 16.00% | 8.00% | 4.00% | 1.44 |
| Collaborate with school administrators in the school setting. | 25 | 52.00% | 32.00% | 8.00% | 8.00% | 1.72 |
| Contribute to discussions of educational issues. | 25 | 56.00% | 28.00% | 8.00% | 8.00% | 1.68 |
| Reflect upon your own teaching and make changes based upon that reflection. | 25 | 76.00% | 16.00% | 0.00% | 8.00% | 1.40 |
| Response Legend: 1 = Well Prepared 2 = Adequately Prepared | 3 = Somew | what Prepared | d 4 = Unp | repared | | |

■ Teaching as a Calling/Christian Worldview:

Form Element Type: Rating Scale

Total Author Response(s): 25 Author Response(s)

| | Distribution % Display | | | | | |
|--|------------------------|--------|--------------|-------|-------|---------|
| Rated Item(s) | Total | | Count | | | Average |
| | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | |
| Encouraging you to explore teaching as a calling. | 25 | 76.00% | 16.00% | 0.00% | 8.00% | 1.40 |
| Encouraging you to consider God's grace in your <u>life.</u> | 25 | 68.00% | 16.00% | 8.00% | 8.00% | 1.56 |
| Raising your awareness of how dispositional characteristics impact a teacher's professional performance. | 25 | 64.00% | 24.00% | 4.00% | 8.00% | 1.56 |

Response Legend: 1 = Extremely Effective **2** = Effective **3** = Somewhat Effective **4** = Ineffective

SECTION A – CREDENTIAL PROGRAM SPECIFIC INFORMATION

GENERAL EDUCATION PROFESSINAL CLEAR CREDENTIAL

PART III - Analyses and Discussion of Candidate and Program Data

GED 641:

<u>Strengths:</u> Although the data represents a small number of students, all students met the program learning outcomes for presentation skills, oral and written, and use of information sources.

<u>Areas for Improvement</u>: This course will undergo a change in the rubric criteria for scoring the signature assignment, as we have found through candidate data we are mixing content knowledge with presentation criteria, giving us a false sense of candidate knowledge in some cases. Because of this we were unable to determine actual candidate acquisition of the Course Learning Outcomes.

GED 642:

<u>Strengths:</u> Students at all regional centers met the program learning outcomes. <u>Areas for Improvement:</u> Students scored lower in criteria related to "assessment of student outcomes" and "self-reflection for improved teaching". Also, Clear Credential Program faculty need to evaluate communication strategies of program coding in our assessment collection data system in order to better identify clear program participants.

GED 673:

<u>Strengths:</u> Students at all regional centers met the program learning outcomes. <u>Areas for Improvement:</u> Students scored lower in criteria related to "reflecting on student needs", similar to the previous lowest scoring criteria in GED642.

GED 677:

<u>Strengths</u>: Students at the Mission Valley Regional Center met the program learning outcomes. <u>Areas for Improvement:</u> Students scored lower in criteria related to "using specific strategies for student success" and "differentiation", which would show a trend with previous lowest scoring criteria in GED641 and 642. Assessing student need and planning strategies based on that analysis is the overall area for improvement.

ALL COURSES: All syllabi and accompanying assignments will be reviewed to ensure adequate instruction of the common core standards at the graduate level.

DISPOSITION ASSESSMENT: Overall, the candidates exhibited exceptional dispositions of noble character. However, the data for the dispositions assessment reflects students who score lower in "perseverance with challenge", "spirit of collaboration", and "self-awareness/calling".

EXIT SURVEY: The data for the exit survey reflects students' feedback that they need more preparation in "classroom management techniques" and "strategies to assess student learning".

SECTION A – CREDENTIAL PROGRAM SPECIFIC INFORMATION MULTIPLE/SINGLE SUBJECT CLEAR CREDENTIAL

PART IV – Use of Assessment Results to Improve Candidate and Program Performance

As a result of annual program data analysis, yearly faculty calibration of signature assignments and disaggregation of disposition assessment data, this program suggests the following changes for program improvement to begin in Fall 2014: 1) The Corona regional center will close as of Fall 2015; 2) Signature Assignments across all programs will be aligned in format, content and rubric criteria; 3) the process for assessing Dispositions of Noble Character is being simplified and aligned across programs; 4) multiple partnerships are being nurtured with a variety of districts, Intuitions of Higher Education, businesses and non-profit organizations.

| Data Source | Plan of Action or Proposed Changes Made | Applicable Program or Common Standard(s) |
|---|--|--|
| Signature Assignment Course Data- General | Change signature assignments and rubrics to directly measure Course Learning Outcomes and key assessments (responding to a series of writing prompts) which more accurately reflect candidate learning outcomes and program effectiveness. | Common Standard 9 Program Standard 4 |
| Signature Assignment Course Data- 642,673,677 | Improve scores in "reflecting on student needs" and "using differentiation for student success" by designing authentic assignments in GED642 and GED677 to implement in the fieldwork component of GED673. | Common Standard 2 Common Standard 9 Program Standard 4 |
| Lack of Signature Assignment Course Data | Consistent communication with candidates regarding program coding for correct use of the Direct Response Folio (DRF). This will increase the number of participants (n). | Common Standard 2 Common Standard 9 Program Standard 4 |
| Lack of consistent collection of disposition data | Simplify method of data collection for disposition assessments, and communicate with adjunct faculty where dispositions are to be assessed. | Common Standard 2 Program Standard 4 |
| Exit Survey | Add to the Course Learning Outcomes of all Clear courses Classroom Management Strategies and Strategies to Assess Student Learning. | Common Standard 2 Program Standard 4 |



GED 641 School Communities in a Pluralistic Society

| | Elements Not Evident | Elements Somewhat Evident | Elements Evident | Elements Highly Evident | Score/Level |
|--------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------|
| Slides | Includes <9 slides with <4 | Includes 10 slides with 4+ | Includes 11+ slides that | Includes 12-15 slides covering | |
| | related photos. Not all the | related photos; bullets include | include more than the basic | many aspects of the culture | |
| | required information is evident | the basic required information. | aspects of the culture with 5+ | with 6+ related photos; bullets | |
| | | | related photos; bullets are well | focus on the more significant | |
| | | | described, but concise. | information. | |
| Information | Includes information from a | Includes accurate information | Includes accurate information | Includes quotes from a | |
| sources | few sources and includes a list | from books and the internet. | taken from personal | personal interview with a | |
| | of "Works Cited" at the end. | Includes "Works Cited." | interview(s) as well as | person from that culture as | |
| | | | information from books and | well as current information | |
| | | | the internet. Includes "Works | from books, internet. Includes | |
| | | | Cited" | "Works Cited." | |
| Application | Includes some accurate | Includes accurate cultural | Includes accurate cultural | The oral presentation | |
| of | cultural information that would | information that would help | information that would help | effectively communicates | |
| information | help educators. | other educators effectively | educators effectively teach the | critical information; candidate | |
| | | teach the students and one | educators and two other | adds relevant description to | |
| | | other aspect (praise discipline | aspects (praise/discipline, | the bulleted items. Music from | |
| | | or learning style or parent | learning style or parent | the culture is included in some | |
| | | communication) | communication) | way. | |
| Oral | The Power Point is not | The oral presentation consists | The oral presentation | The oral presentation | |
| presentation | presented orally in such a way | more of reading the Power | communicates the key | effectively communicates | |
| | as to get the listeners' | Point and adding some | information in an engaging | critical information; candidate | |
| | attention | relevant description of the | manner and includes relevant | adds relevant description to | |
| | | bulleted items. | description of the bulleted | the bulleted items. Music from | |
| | | | items. | the culture is included in some | |
| | | | | way. | |



GED 642 Signature Assignment Rubric

| | Far Below Standard | Below Standard | Meets Standard | Exceeds Standard | Score/Level |
|--|---|---|---|--|-------------|
| Unit overview clarity and | Several elements missing | A few elements missing | All elements present, but minimal description | All elements present and well-described | |
| coherency of rationale | Standards CA- PLNU/CLAD (2011) Standard: Standard 5: First- and Second- | l anguage Development & Their | Relationship to Academic Achiev | rement | |
| Standards and objectives present in the unit plan | Only content standards and objectives are present. Standards | Content and ELD standards selected are appropriate for the lesson, but not necessarily appropriate for the EL students. Content objectives are addressed in the lesson, but language objectives are weak and/or not addressed in the lessons. | Content and ELD standards selected are appropriate for both the lesson and the EL students' proficiency level. Content and language objectives are aligned to the standards, but language objectives are not adequately addressed in the lessons. | Content and ELD standards selected are appropriate for both the lesson and the EL students' proficiency level. Content and language objectives are aligned to the standards and both are effectively addressed in the lessons. | |
| | CA- PLNU/CLAD (2011) Standard: Standard 4: Language Structure Standard: | | Relationship to Academic Achiev | rement | |
| Comprehensible input and building background knowledge | Teacher input is not adapted for ELLs OR there is little evidence of building background knowledge. | Teacher input is somewhat adapted for ELLs; minimal building of background evident. | Teacher input is made comprehensible via visuals, etc. and time is spent building background knowledge, partially meeting the needs of the ELL students in the classroom. | Teacher input is made comprehensible via various media and background is well-developed, meeting the needs of the ELL students in the classroom. | |
| | Standard: | Language Development & Their | Relationship to Academic Achiev | rement | |
| Student activities and | Activities are designed more for EO students; little | Activities are designed more for EO students; some | Activities are appropriately designed and meaningful for | Activities and interaction are both well-designed and | |

| | Far Below Standard | Below Standard | Meets Standard | Exceeds Standard | Score/Level | | | |
|-------------------|---|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------|--|--|--|
| opportunities for | interaction present | interaction present | the ELL students and some | appropriate for the proficiency | | | | |
| interaction | | | structured interaction is | level of the students and the | | | | |
| | | | present | subject matter. | | | | |
| | Standards OA BLAUCH AD (2014) | | | | | | | |
| | CA- PLNU/CLAD (2011) | | | | | | | |
| | Standard: | | | | | | | |
| | Standard 2: Equity and Diversit Standard: | У | | | | | | |
| | | Language Development & Their | Relationship to Academic Achiev | vement | | | | |
| | Standard: | Language Development & Their | Relationship to Academic Achiev | ement | | | | |
| | Standard 10: Culturally Diverse | Instruction | | | | | | |
| SDAIE & | Does not use appropriate | Uses a few scaffolding | Selects appropriate SDAIE | Selects and implements | | | | |
| CALLA | scaffolding strategies | strategies appropriate to the | strategies and implements | various SDAIE strategies and | | | | |
| strategies | | subject & students' | them well, but no learning | 1 CALLA appropriately in the | | | | |
| | | proficiency level. | strategies evident. | unit. | | | | |
| | Standards | | | | | | | |
| | CA- PLNU/CLAD (2011) | | | | | | | |
| | Standard: | 11.1.1 | | | | | | |
| | Standard 7: Foundations of Eng | glish Language/Literacy Develop | ment and Content Instruction | | | | | |
| | | othodo for English Languago Do | velopment and Content Instruction | 20 | | | | |
| Assessment | Assessment included, but not | Minimal assessment and | Assessment is present and | The assessments are well- | | | | |
| and self- | appropriate for the objectives | minimal reflection included. | appropriate, but it is not clear | designed for the proficiency | | | | |
| reflection | and/or the students. | Thirminal reflection included. | if students met objectives; | level of the students; self- | | | | |
| Tollootion | and/or the statents. | | self-reflection is insufficient. | reflection show what changes | | | | |
| | | | | need be made next time to | | | | |
| | | | | better meet the needs of the | | | | |
| | ELLs and other students. | | | | | | | |
| | Standards | | | | | | | |
| | CA- PLNU/CLAD (2011) | | | | | | | |
| | Standard: | | | | | | | |
| | Standard 3: Evaluation and Ass | sessment of Candidates | | | | | | |
| | Standard: | | | | | | | |
| | Standard 6: Assessment of Eng | glish Learners | | | | | | |

GED 673 Culminating Reflection of Teaching Practice Rubric



| | Far Below Standard | Below Standard | Meets Standard | Exceeds Standard | Score/Level |
|---|---|---|--|--|-------------|
| Reflection of your teaching practice and student learning | Inappropriate, irrelevant, inaccurate or missing reflection | Minimal, limited, cursory, inconsistent, ambiguous or weakly connected reflection | Appropriate, relevant, accurate and connected reflection | Details, appropriate, relevant, accurate, clear and purposefully connected reflection | |
| | Standards CA- PLNU/Multiple Subject & Standard: Program Standard 4: Systema Standard: Program Standard 5: Pedagog | | ntial (2011) | | |
| Reflection on student learning needs and the ways you impacted student success | Inappropriate, irrelevant, inaccurate or missing reflection | Minimal, limited, cursory, inconsistent, ambiguous or weakly connected reflection | Appropriate, relevant, accurate and connected reflection | Details, appropriate, relevant, accurate, clear and purposefully connected reflection | |
| | Standards CA- PLNU/Multiple Subject & Standard: Program Standard 4: Systema Standard: Program Standard 5: Pedagog Standard: Program Standard 6: Universa | J y | ntial (2011) | | |
| Reflection of student learning, instructional strategies and assessments that | Inappropriate, irrelevant, inaccurate or missing reflection | Minimal, limited, cursory, inconsistent, ambiguous or weakly connected reflection | Appropriate, relevant, accurate and connected reflection | Details, appropriate, relevant, accurate, clear and purposefully connected reflection | |
| illustrates a change or improvement in your teaching | Standard: Program Standard 2: Commun Standard: Program Standard 3: Support Standard: Program Standard 4: Systema Standard: Program Standard 5: Pedagog Standard: Program Standard 6: Universa | Provided to Participating Teach tic Formative Assessment by | er | | |
| Reflection on next steps in | Inappropriate, irrelevant, | Minimal, limited, cursory, | Appropriate, relevant, | Details, appropriate, | |

| | Far Below Standard | Below Standard | Meets Standard | Exceeds Standard | Score/Level |
|--|--|--|-----------------------------------|---|-------------|
| your growth as a professional educator | inaccurate or missing reflection | inconsistent, ambiguous or weakly connected reflection | accurate and connected reflection | relevant, accurate, clear and purposefully connected reflection | |
| | Standard: Program Standard 1: Program Standard: Program Standard 2: Commun Standard: | 3 | , | | |

GED 677 - Teaching Strategies for Special Populations (Rev. 5.1.12)

| | caoming offate | gico ioi opecia | i i opalations (i | (C V. O. I. I Z) | | |
|---------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|----------------|
| | Far Below Standard | Below Standard | Meets Standard | Exceeds Standard | Score/Level ^{c1} | with 5 tasksti |
| Collaboration | Little or no | A partial | Clear statements | Clear, consistent, | | |
| with Key | evidence of | statement of | of collaboration | and convincing | | |
| Educators at | statements of | collaboration with | with key | statements of | | |
| School Site | collaboration with | key educators (| educators (| collaboration with | | |
| | key educators (| administrator, | administrator, | key educators (| | |
| | administrator, | special education | special education | administrator, | | |
| | special education | teacher, | teacher, | special education | | |
| | teacher, | counselor, school | counselor, school | teacher, | | |
| | counselor, school | psychologist) that | psychologist) that | counselor, school | | |
| | psychologist) that | promote inclusive | promote inclusive | psychologist) that | | |
| | promote inclusive | practices for | practices for | promote inclusive | | |
| | practices for | students with | students with | practices for | | |
| | students with | diverse needs. | diverse needs. | students with | | |
| | diverse needs. | | | diverse needs. | | |
| | Standards | | | | | |
| | CA- PLNU/Multip | le Subject & Single | Subject Clear Cred | lential (2011) | | |
| | Standard: | 1.4 | | | | |
| | Program Standard 6: Unive | rsal Access Personnel Services (| Tradontial (2011) | | | |
| | Standard: | ersonner services (| Steuennai (2011) | | | |
| | Standard 3: Socio-Cultural | Competence | | | | |
| | Standard: | Dunnantian and Carly Intomo | untion for Ashiovement | | | |
| | Standard 5: Comprehensive Standard: | Prevention and Early Interve | ention for Achievement | | | |
| | Standard 23: Advocacy | | | | | |
| Personal | Little or no | Partial evidence | Clear evidence | Clear, consistent, | | |
| Philosophy of | evidence given in | given in power | given in power | and convincing | | |
| Inclusive | power point | point presentation | point presentation | evidence shown in | | |
| Practices | presentation of the | of the personal | of the personal | power point | | |
| | personal | philosophy of | philosophy of | presentation of the | | |
| | philosophy of | inclusive | inclusive | personal | | |
| | inclusive | practices. | practices. | philosophy of | | |

| | Far Below Standard | Below Standard | Meets Standard | Exceeds Standard | Score/Level |
|----------------------------|---|--|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------|
| | practices. | | | inclusive | |
| | | | | practices. | |
| | Standards | | | | |
| | | le Subject & Single | Subject Clear Cred | lential (2011) | |
| | Standard: Program Standard 6: Univer | rsal Access | | | |
| | CA- PLNU/Pupil I | Personnel Services (| Credential (2011) | | |
| | Standard: Standard 10: Consultation | | | | |
| Specific | Little or no | Partially gives | Clearly gives | Clearly, | |
| Strategies for | evidence in power | evidence in power | evidence in power | consistently, and | |
| Student | point presentation | point presentation | point presentation | convincingly | |
| Success | of specific | of specific | of specific | evidence in power | |
| | strategies for | strategies for | strategies for | point presentation | |
| | student success. | student success. | student success. | of specific | |
| | | | | strategies for | |
| | | | | student success. | |
| | Standard: Program Standard 5: Pedage CA- PLNU/Pupil I Standard: Standard 11: Learning Theo Standard: Standard 24: Learning, Ach | Personnel Services (ory and Educational Psychologievement and Instruction | Credential (2011) | | |
| Example of | Little or no | Partially shows | Clearly shows | Clearly, | |
| Individual Differentiation | evidence in | evidence in | evidence in | consistently, and | |
| for Students | presentation of an example of | presentation of an example of | presentation of an example of | convincingly shows evidence in | |
| with Diverse | individual | individual | individual | presentation of an | |
| Needs | differentiation for | differentiation for | differentiation for | example of | |
| 110003 | students with | students with | students with | individual | |
| | diverse needs. | diverse needs. | diverse needs. | differentiation for | |

| | Far Below Standard | Below Standard | Meets Standard | Exceeds Standard | Score/Level | | |
|------------|---|---|---|---|-------------|--|--|
| | | | | students with diverse needs. | | | |
| | Standards CA- PLNU/Multiple Subject & Single Subject Clear Credential (2011) Standard: Program Standard 5: Pedagogy CA- PLNU/Pupil Personnel Services Credential (2011) Standard: Standard 11: Learning Theory and Educational Psychology | | | | | | |
| Reflection | Little or no statement of: 1) Promotion of and participation in inclusive practices for students with diverse needs at school and 2) Role of leadership and advocacy on behalf of all students at school. | Partial statements of: 1) Promotion of and participation in inclusive practices for students with diverse needs at school and 2) Role of leadership and advocacy on behalf of all students at school. | Clearly statements of: 1) Promotion of and participation in inclusive practices for students with diverse needs at school and 2) Role of leadership and advocacy on behalf of all students at school. | Clearly, consistently, and convincingly shows statements of: 1) Promotion of and participation in inclusive practices for students with diverse needs at school and 2) Role of leadership and advocacy on behalf of all students at school. | | | |
| | Standards CA- PLNU/Multip Standard: Program Standard 5: Pedag CA- PLNU/Pupil 1 Standard: Standard 11: Learning Theo Standard: Standard 15: Literacy | | | | | | |

| 1. Dignity & Honor: | Demonstrates | Demonstrates indicator with | Demonstrates indicator | Consistently and spontaneously | |
|---|--------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| The candidate honors and respects the worthiness of all | indicator | direct prompting from peers | with minimal prompting. | demonstrates indicator with relative | |
| individuals in word and deed based on PLNU's | infrequently if at | or teacher. May have some | Demonstrates an | ease. Demonstrates the ability to | |
| Wesleyan heritage: We are individuals created in the | all. | difficulty in responding | openness to reflect on | self-correct or demonstrates | |
| image of God, committed to civility, respect, | | openly to feedback from | feedback from peers or | responsiveness to feedback from | |
| hospitality, grace, and service. | | peers or teacher. | teacher. | peers or teacher if areas for | |
| | | | | improvement are discussed | |
| 2. Honesty & Integrity: | Demonstrates | Demonstrates indicator with | Demonstrates indicator | Consistently and spontaneously | |
| The candidate demonstrates honesty, integrity, and | indicator | direct prompting from peers | with minimal prompting. | demonstrates indicator with relative | |
| coherence in attitudes, and actions, and is accountable | infrequently if at | or teacher. May have some | Demonstrates an | ease. Demonstrates the ability to | |
| to the norms and expectations of the learning | all. | difficulty in responding | openness to reflect on | self-correct or demonstrates | |
| community. | | openly to feedback from | feedback from peers or | responsiveness to feedback from | |
| | | peers or teacher. | teacher. | peers or teacher if areas for | |
| | | | | improvement are discussed | |
| 3. Caring, Patience, and Respect: | Demonstrates | Demonstrates indicator with | Demonstrates indicator | Consistently and spontaneously | |
| The candidate demonstrates caring, patience, fairness | indicator | direct prompting from peers | with minimal prompting. | demonstrates indicator with relative | |
| and respect for the knowledge level, diversity, and | infrequently if at | or teacher. May have some | Demonstrates an | ease. Demonstrates the ability to | |

| abilities of others, ensuring that all students have the opportunity to achieve. | all. | difficulty in responding openly to feedback from peers or teacher. | openness to reflect on feedback from peers or teacher. | self-correct or demonstrates responsiveness to feedback from peers or teacher if areas for improvement are discussed |
|--|---|---|---|--|
| 4. Spirit of Collaboration, Flexibility and Humility: The candidate actively participates in and contributes to the achievement of the learning community, explaining own thought process with humility and considers those of others with a positive, open-minded attitude. | Demonstrates indicator infrequently if at all. | Demonstrates indicator with direct prompting from peers or teacher. May have some difficulty in responding openly to feedback from peers or teacher. | Demonstrates indicator with minimal prompting. Demonstrates an openness to reflect on feedback from peers or teacher. | Consistently and spontaneously demonstrates indicator with relative ease. Demonstrates the ability to self-correct or demonstrates responsiveness to feedback from peers or teacher if areas for improvement are discussed |
| 5. Harmony in Learning Community: The candidate takes responsibility for resolving conflicts or issues with others, and teaches students those skills, in a way that sustains and enhances a healthy and safe learning community. | Demonstrates indicator infrequently if at all. | Demonstrates indicator with direct prompting from peers or teacher. May have some difficulty in responding openly to feedback from peers or teacher. | Demonstrates indicator with minimal prompting. Demonstrates an openness to reflect on feedback from peers or teacher. | Consistently and spontaneously demonstrates indicator with relative ease. Demonstrates the ability to self-correct or demonstrates responsiveness to feedback from peers or teacher if areas for improvement are discussed |
| 6. Self-Awareness/Calling: The candidate shows awareness of areas of strength, interests, learning style, and areas for continuing growth; generates and follows through on personalized growth plans. The candidate demonstrates that serving as a professional educator is a confirmed calling to equip, to transform and to empower every student to fulfill his or her full potential. | Demonstrates indicator infrequently if at all. | Demonstrates indicator with direct prompting from peers or teacher. May have some difficulty in responding openly to feedback from peers or teacher. | Demonstrates indicator with minimal prompting. Demonstrates an openness to reflect on feedback from peers or teacher. | Consistently and spontaneously demonstrates indicator with relative ease. Demonstrates the ability to self-correct or demonstrates responsiveness to feedback from peers or teacher if areas for improvement are discussed |
| 7. Perseverance with Challenge: The candidate perseveres, remains engaged, and persists as a life-long learner, especially when academic and professional assignments are perceived as challenging. | Demonstrates indicator infrequently if at all. | Demonstrates indicator with direct prompting from peers or teacher. May have some difficulty in responding openly to feedback from peers or teacher. | Demonstrates indicator with minimal prompting. Demonstrates an openness to reflect on feedback from peers or teacher. | Consistently and spontaneously demonstrates indicator with relative ease. Demonstrates the ability to self-correct or demonstrates responsiveness to feedback from peers or teacher if areas for improvement are discussed |
| 8. Diligence in Work Habits & Responsibility for Learning: The candidate attends to the roles and responsibilities of the learning community, and is well-prepared and on time. The candidate completes required assignments on time and is reflective and receptive to formative feedback. | Demonstrates indicator infrequently if at all. | Demonstrates indicator with direct prompting from peers or teacher. May have some difficulty in responding openly to feedback from peers or teacher. | Demonstrates indicator with minimal prompting. Demonstrates an openness to reflect on feedback from peers or teacher. | Consistently and spontaneously demonstrates indicator with relative ease. Demonstrates the ability to self-correct or demonstrates responsiveness to feedback from peers or teacher if areas for improvement are discussed |