#### Summary of Data Collected Liberal Studies Degree

## Data Charts and Discussion of Findings

# Table 1:MTH223 Final Exam for Liberal Studies Learning Outcome (1c)

Criterion	Average Score		
Criterion 1: Students will be able to construct			
geometric figures using a compass and straight	3.44		
edge.			
Criterion 2: Students will be able to compute	3.08		
area and volume.	5.08		
Criterion 3: Students will be able to use	2.00		
probability and statistics to solve problems.	2.00		
Total average rubric score for group of	2.84		
25 authors.	2.84		

<u>Analysis and Use of Results</u>: Liberal Studies students are scoring at a proficient level on criterion 2 and 3 related to solving problems. Criterion 1, constructing geometric figures using a compass and straight edge is an area for growth. The math and liberal studies departments have met to discuss ways to strengthen overall math competencies in liberal studies majors. The final math course in the Liberal Studies degree (EDU324) will work from individual student data from the MTH 223 course to design the specific course content each semester.

### **Teaching Performance Assessment**

Table 2: TPA TASK 2

	N	Score Level		% SL	Mean Score	Passage Rate 1 <sup>st</sup> attempt	Passage Rate 2 <sup>nd</sup> attempt	No Pass	Standard Deviation
	40								
TPA TASK 2 Designing Instruction 2010-2011		SL4	3	7.5	3.0	37/92.5%	1 / 2.5%	2/ 5%	0.39
		SL3	34	85					
		SL2	3	7.5					
		SL1	0	0					

## Analysis and Use of Results:

Analysis of the aggregated data cites that 92.5% of the initial candidates passed TPA Task 2 on the first attempt. Candidates' overall mean scores indicate proficiency in all criteria. A look at each individual criteria shows a relative strength in the category of **Reflecting on Instruction**, with a mean score of 3.14. The candidates receive solid exposure to and practice of how to

reflect on instruction based on student data. This concept is introduced in foundational courses, applied in methods courses and assessed in fieldwork. A relative area for growth is in the category of **Making Adaptations**, with a mean score of 2.76. The unit will encourage the practice of reflecting on what the candidates have learned about their students and in making appropriate instructional and content adaptations to meet the needs of those students throughout candidate coursework and fieldwork experiences.

#### EDU 402 Signature Assignment

# Table 3:

Criteria							
Knowledge of research- based theories and principles of human learning and development	N	Score Level		%	Percentage Scoring at Proficient Level	Mean Score	Standard Deviation
	33	4	18	54.6		3.52	0.57
		3	14	42.4	97		
		2	1	3.0			
		1	0	0			
Knowledge about how these theories affect classroom practice	33	4	20	60.6	97	3.58	0.56
		3	12	36.4			
		2	1	3.0			
		1	0	0			
	33	4	21	63.6	100	3.64	0.49
Reflection on how these theories affect and resonate with candidates' beliefs		3	12	36.4			
		2	0	0			
		1	0	0			
Presentation is grammatically correct, spelling is correct, layout is organized	33	4	25	75.8		3.76	0.44
		3	8	24.2	100		
		2	0	0			
		1	0	0			

### Analysis and Use of Results:

The average rubric score for this signature assignment is 3.62 on a 4-point rubric. The content criteria with the highest mean score is criteria 3, *Reflection on how these theories affect and resonate with candidates' beliefs*, with a mean score of 3.64, indicating that students can proficiently connect what they have learned about research-based theories and principles with their own personal beliefs. The fact that no students scored below the proficient level is due to the opportunity for students to receive feedback on their drafts, which is a practice the department will continue to discuss regarding the appropriateness for a summative assignment.

# EDU 306 Signature Assignment

# Table 3:

# EDU 306 Principles of Language Acquisition

Criteria							
Adaptation to instructional strategy is	N		Score Level	%	Percentage Scoring at Proficient Level %	Mean Score	Standard Deviation
effective for meeting the specific learning needs	11	4	6	60		Weath Score	Dernation
	10					3.50	0.71
of the English learner in content knowledge and		3	3	30	90		
English language		2	1	10			
development.		1	0	0			
Two specific learning needs of the English	10	4	7	70	80		0.85
learner were correctly		3	1	10		2.50	
identified through		2	2	20		3.50	
careful analysis of the case study		1	0	0			
The adaptation would be							
effective for the student		4	5	50			
in making progress toward English language	10	3	4	40	90	3.40	0.7
development specific to	10	2	1	10		5.10	
this student's English		1	0	0			
proficiency The progress monitoring	10	1			90	3.50	0.71
assessment chosen		4	6	60			
provides feedback to the student for achieving the		3	3	30			
learning goal at the	10	2	1	10			
student's English			0	0			
proficiency level.		1	0	0			
Next steps in planning	10	4	3	30	80	3.10	0.74
are effective to facilitate specific growth in the student's English		3	5	50			
		2	2	20			
language development		1	0	0			
The written product	10	4	8	80	90	3.70	0.67
displays effective communication skills		3	1	10			
through sound grammar,		2	1	10			
spelling, language and word use.		1	0	0			
The oral presentation							
displays sound	10	4	10	100	100		
communication skills through proper usage of		3	0	0		4.00	0
grammar, voice quality		2	0	0			
and presentation							
demeanor that is effective one-on-one and							
in groups.		1	0	0			

# Analysis and Use of Results:

The average rubric score for this signature assignment is 3.53 on a 4-point rubric. The content criteria with the lowest mean score is criteria 5, *Next steps in planning are effective to facilitate specific growth in the student's English language development*, with a mean score of 3.10, indicating that candidates could use greater support in connecting what their students already know and planning instruction for specifically what they need next. The fact that no students scored below the proficient level is due to the opportunity for students to receive feedback on their drafts, which is a practice the department will continue to discuss regarding the appropriateness for a summative assignment.