

Psychology Department Core Competencies

PSY 400 Oral Resume Video Project
Oral Communication

PSY 420 Good Life Paper Information Literacy

ETS Proficiency Profile Examination

Critical Thinking
Written Communication
Quantitative Reasoning

Assessment Data Core Competencies: Oral Communication

Learning Outcome:

Upon graduation, students will have developed oral communication skills.

Outcome Measure:

PSY400 Oral Resume Video Project

Criteria for Success:

80% of our students will score a 3 or higher on the Core Competency AAC&U Oral Communication rubric based on oral resume video project completed in PSY400.

Aligned with DQP Learning Areas:

- 1. Specialized Knowledge
- 2. Broad Integrative Knowledge
- 3. Intellectual Skills/Core Competencies
- 4. Applied and Collaborative Learning
- 5. Civic and Global Learning

Longitudinal Data: This is the third academic year that we have utilized the AAC&U Oral Communication rubric in LiveText.

Table 1
Percentage of students who met department standards on the Oral Resume Video Project:
Criteria for Success is 80%

Rubric	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-2018	2018-2019
Categories	(<i>N</i> = 32)	(<i>N</i> = 30)	(<i>N</i> = 30)	(<i>N</i> = 30)	(<i>N</i> = 20)
Organization	87.5%	86.7%	90.0%	100.0%	100%
	(28)	(26)	(27)	(30)	(20)
Language	84.4%	86.7%	96.7%	100.0%	100%
	(27)	(26)	(29)	(30)	(20)
Delivery	68.8%	86.7%	93.3%	96.7%	95%
	(22)	(26)	(28)	(29)	(19)
Supporting	75.0%	86.7%	93.3%	96.7%	95%
Material	(24)	(26)	(28)	(29)	(19)
Central	71.9%	86.7%	90.0%	100.0%	100%
Message	(23)	(26)	(27)	(30)	(20)
Summary	<u>77.5%</u>	<u>86.7%</u>	<u>92.7%</u>	<u>98.7%</u>	<u>98%</u>

Conclusions Drawn from Data:

• The criteria for success was met for all categories of the rubric and overall.

Changes to be Made Based on Data:

- Starting in Fall 2019, the outcome measure will change from the Oral Resume Video Project in PSY400 to the Vital Engagement Vocation Video Project in PSY4020. This allows all senior assessment to take place in our mandated capstone course.
- We will work with the instructor for our capstone course PSY4020 to ensure that this assignment integrates into our assessment plan and utilizes the current rubric.

Rubric Used: The AAC&U Oral Communication Rubric.

ORAL COMMUNICATION VALUE RUBRIC

for more information, please contact value@aacu.org



Definition

Oral communication is a prepared, purposeful presentation designed to increase knowledge to forester understanding, or to promote change in the listeners' attitudes, values, beliefs, or behaviors.

Evaluators are encouraged to assign a zero to any work sample or collection of work that does not meet benchmark (sell one) level performance.

	Capstone	Miles	stones	Benchmark	
	4	3	2	1	
Organization	Organizational pattern (specific introduction and conclusion, sequenced material within the body, and transitions) is clearly and consistently observable and is skillful and makes the content of the presentation cohesive.	Organizational pattem (specific introduction and conclusion, sequenced material within the body, and transitions) is clearly and consistently observable within the presentation.	Organizational pattern (specific introduction and conclusion, sequenced material within the body, and transitions) is intermittently observable within the presentation.	Organizational pattern (specific introduction and conclusion, sequenced material within the body, and transitions is not observable within the presentation	
Language	Language choices are imaginative, memorable, and compelling, and enhance the effectiveness of the presentation. Language in presentation is appropriate to audience.	Language choices are thoughtful and generally support the effectiveness of the presentation. Language in presentation is appropriate to audience.	Language choices are mundane and commonplace and partially support the effectiveness of the presentation. Language in presentation is appropriate to audience.	Language choices are unclear and minimally support the effectiveness of the presentation. Language in presentation is not appropriate to audience.	
Delivery	Delivery techniques (posture, gesture, eye contact, and vocal expressiveness) make the presentation compelling, and speaker appears polished and confident.	Delivery techniques (posture, gesture, eye contact, and vocal expressiveness) make the presentation interesting, and speaker appears comfortable.	Delivery techniques (posture, gesture, eye contact, and vocal expressiveness) make the presentation understandable, and speaker appears tentative.	Delivery techniques (posture, gesture, eye contact, and vocal expressivenes) detract from the understandability of the presentation, and speaker appears uncomfortable.	
Supporting Material	A variety of types of supporting materials (explanations, examples, illustrations, statistics, analogies, quotations from relevant authorities) make appropriate seference to information or analysis that significantly supports the presentation or establishes the presenter's credibility/authority on the topic.	Supporting materials (explanations, examples, illustrations, statistics, analogies, quotations from relevant authorities) make appropriate reference to information or analysis that generally supports the presentation or establishes the presenter's credibility/authority on the topic.	Supporting materials (explanations, examples, illustrations, statistics, analogies, quotations from relevant authorities) make appropriate reference to information or analysis that partially supports the presentation or establishes the presenter's credibility/authority on the topic.	Insufficient supporting materials (explanations, examples, illustrations, statistics, analogies, quotations from relevant authorities) make reference to information or analysis that minimally supports the presentation or establishes the presenter's credibility/authority on the topic.	
Central Message	Central message is compelling (precisely stated, appropriately repeated, memorable, and strongly supported.)	Central message is clear and consistent with the supporting material.	Central message is basically understandable but is not often repeated and is not memorable.	Central message can be deduced, but is not explicitly stated in the presentation.	

Assessment Data Core Competencies: Information Literacy

Learning Outcome:

Students will have developed information literacy skills.

Outcome Measure:

PSY 420 Good Life Paper

Criteria for Success:

70% of our students will score a 3 or higher on the Information Literacy Rubric.

Aligned with DQP Learning Areas:

- 1 Specialized Knowledge
- 2. Broad Integrative Knowledge
- 3. Intellectual Skills/Core Competencies
- 4. Applied and Collaborative Learning
- 5. Civic and Global Learning

Table 1
Percentage of students who met department standards for Information Literacy

Rubric Categories	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
	(n = 30)	(n = 30)	(n = 30)	(n = 30)	(n= 20)
Determine the Extent of Information Needed	80.0%	76.7%	86.7%	80%	100%
	(24)	(32)	(26)	(24)	(20)
Access the Needed Information	93.3%	83.3%	90.0%	93.3%	85%
	(28)	(25)	(27)	(28)	(17)
Evaluate Information and its Sources Critically	80.0%	73.3%	86.7%	43.3%	75%
	(24)	(22)	(26)	(13)	(15)
Use Information Effectively to Accomplish a Specific Purpose	80.0%	73.3%	86.7%	86.7%	90%
	(24)	(22)	(26)	(26)	(18)
Access and Use Information Ethically and Legally	90.0% (27)	83.3% (25)	90.0% (27)	63.3% (19)	95% (19)
<u>Summary</u>	84.7%	<u>78.0%</u>	88.0%	<u>73.3%</u>	<u>89%</u>

Note: Starting in 2017-18, the department chose to use the Good Life Paper to assess Informational Literacy instead of the PSY SR Research Paper.

Conclusions Drawn from Data:

- We met our criteria for success for all categories and overall.
- We were pleased to see the increase in the third category from last year and attribute it to increased emphasis and explanation from the instructor.

Changes to be Made Based on Data:

- Last year the Information Literacy assignment we assessed was changed from the PSY SR Research Paper to the PSY 420 Good Life Paper. Upon reflection, this change was successful. This change was made for the following reasons:
 - Some of the work on PSY SR Research Paper was based on our research courses that take place before senior year, while the new assignment takes place in our senior capstone course.
 - The Good Life Paper allows a melding of both research and application that is a higher standard than the literature review of our previous standard.
 - The Good Life Paper is both more robust and easier to grade than our previous measure.
- We will continue to critically evaluate all elements of this rubric in the future.

Rubric Used: We used the AAC&U Information Literacy rubric to assess our students in Information Literacy.

INFORMATION LITERACY VALUE RUBRIC

for more information, please contact value@aacu.org



Definition

The ability to know when there is a need for information, to be able to identify, locate, evaluate, and effectively and responsibly use and share that information for the problem at hand. - The National Forum on Information Literacy

Evaluaters are excessinged to assign a zero to any work sample or collection of work that does not meet benchmark (still one) level performance.

	Capstone	Mile	Milestones		
	4	3	2	1	
Determine the Extent of Information Needed	Effectively defines the scope of the research question or thesis. Effectively detunies key concepts. Types of information (sources) selected directly selate to concepts or answer research question.	Defines the scope of the sesearch question or thesis completely. Can determine key concepts. Types of information (sources) selected relate to concepts or answer sesearch question.	Defines the scope of the essearch question or thesis incompletely (parts are missing, semains too broad or too nazow, etc.). Can determine key concepts. Types of information (sources) selected partially relate to concepts or answer sessarch question.	Has difficulty defining the scope of the essearch question or thesis. Has difficulty determining key concepts. Types of information (sources) selected do not selate to concepts or answer research question.	
Access the Needed Information	Accesses information using effective, well- designed search strategies and most appropriate information sources.	Accesses information using variety of search strategies and some relevant information sources. Demonstrates ability to refine search.	Accesses information using simple search strategies, setrieves information from limited and similar sources.	Accesses information randomly, retrieves information that lacks relevance and quality.	
Evaluate Information and its Sources Critically	Thoroughly (systematically and methodically) analyzes own and others' assumptions and carefully evaluates the relevance of contexts when presenting a position.	Identifies own and others' assumptions and several relevant contexts when presenting a position.	Questions some assumptions. Identifies several relevant contexts when presenting a position. May be more aware of others' assumptions than one's own (or vice versa).	Shows an emerging awareness of present assumptions (sometimes labels assertions as assumptions). Begins to identify some contexts when presenting a position.	
Use Information Effectively to Accomplish a Specific Purpose	Communicates, organizes and synthesizes information from sources to fully achieve a specific purpose, with clarity and depth	Communicates, organizes and synthesizes information from sources. Intended purpose is achieved.	Communicates and organizes information from sources. The information is not yet synthesized, so the intended purpose is not fully achieved.	Communicates information from sources. The information is fragmented and/orused inappropriately (misquoted, taken out of context or incorrectly paraphrased, etc.), so the intended purpose is not achieved.	
Access and Use Information Ethically and Legally	Students use correctly all of the following information use strategies (use of citations and references; choice of paraphrasing, summary, or quoting using information in ways that are true to original context, distinguishing between common knowledge and ideas requiring attribution) and demonstrate a full understanding of the ethnical and legal estinctions on the use of published, confidential, and/or proprietary information.	Students use correctly three of the following information use strategies (use of citations and seferences; choice of paraphrasing, summary, or quoting, using information in ways that are true to original context, distinguishing between common knowledge and ideas sequining attribution) and demonstrates a full understanding of the ethical and legal sestations on the use of published, confidential, and/oppopletary information.	Students use correctly two of the following information use strategies (use of citations and references; choice of paraphrasing, summary, or quoting, using information in ways that are true to original context, distinguishing between common knowledge and ideas requising attribution) and demonstrates a full understanding of the ethical and legal sestictions on the use of published, confidential, and/oppopulatory information.	Students use correctly one of the following information use strategies (use of citations and seferences; choice of paraphrasing, summary, or quoting, using information in ways that are true to original context, distinguishing between common knowledge and ideas requiring attribution) and demonstrates a full understanding of the ethical and legal restriction on the use of published, confidential, and /or proportexty information.	

Assessment Data Core Competencies: Critical Thinking

Learning Outcome:

Critical Thinking: Students will be able to examine, critique and synthesize information in order to arrive at reasoned conclusions.

Outcome Measure:

ETS Proficiency Profile Exam

Criteria for Success (how do you judge if the students have met your standards): 70% of the students will be marginal or proficient at Level 2 Reading/Critical Thinking.

Aligned with DQP Learning Areas (circle one or more but not all five):

- **1.** Specialized Knowledge
- 2. Broad Integrative Knowledge
- 3. Intellectual Skills/Core Competencies
- 4. Applied and Collaborative Learning, and
- 5. Civic and Global Learning

Longitudinal Data:

	Percentage of Students Marginal or Proficient						
	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
ETS Proficiency Profile Level 2 Critical Thinking	100.0%	91.7%	28.6%	86.3%	81.3%	82.8%	69.5%

Conclusions Drawn from Data:

The outcome measure shows this learning outcome was essentially met (69.5% versus the goal of 70%).

Changes to be Made Based on Data:

None at this time. We will continue to monitor the department performance in this area.

Rubric Used

No rubric. We use the ETS Proficiency Profile test results.

Assessment Data Core Competencies: Written Communication

Learning Outcome:

Written: Students will be able to effectively express ideas and information to others through written communication.

Outcome Measure:

ETS Proficiency Profile Exam

Criteria for Success (how do you judge if the students have met your standards):

70% of the students will be marginal or proficient at Level 2 Writing.

Aligned with DQP Learning Areas (circle one or more but not all five):

- 1. Specialized Knowledge
- 2. Broad Integrative Knowledge
- 3. Intellectual Skills/Core Competencies
- 4. Applied and Collaborative Learning, and
- 5. Civic and Global Learning

Longitudinal Data:

	Percentage of Students Marginal or Proficient						
	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
ETS Proficiency Profile Level 2 Writing	100.0%	83.3%	42.9%	78.4%	77.1%	84.5%	78.0%

Conclusions Drawn from Data:

The outcome measure shows this learning outcome was exceeded.

Changes to be Made Based on Data:

None at this time.

Rubric Used

No rubric. We use the ETS Proficiency Profile test results.

Assessment Data Core Competencies: Quantitative Reasoning

Learning Outcome:

Quantitative Reasoning: Students will be able to solve problems that are quantitative in nature.

Outcome Measure:

ETS Proficiency Profile Exam

Criteria for Success (how do you judge if the students have met your standards):

70% of the students will be marginal or proficient at Level 2 Math.

Aligned with DQP Learning Areas (circle one or more but not all five):

- 1. Specialized Knowledge
- 2. Broad Integrative Knowledge
- 3. Intellectual Skills/Core Competencies
- 4. Applied and Collaborative Learning, and
- 5. Civic and Global Learning

Longitudinal Data:

	Percentage of Students Marginal or Proficient						
	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
ETS Proficiency Profile Level 2 Math	83.3%	50.0%	71.4%	76.5%	68.8%	74.1%	71.2%

Conclusions Drawn from Data:

The outcome measure shows this learning outcome was exceeded.

Changes to be Made Based on Data:

None at this time. Professors of Research Methods and Statistics (PSY3090 and PSY3091) meet regularly to discuss course refinements that may improve our students Quantitative Reasoning proficiency.

Rubric Used

No rubric. We use the ETS Proficiency Profile test results.