### **PLNU DQP Data from NSSE**

The National Survey of Social Engagement (NSSE) published a mapping between DQP Learning Outcomes and NSSE questions. This document provides the information from PLNU's administration of NSSE in 2005 and 2011 in each of the DQP domains. The details of the data are on the pages that follow.

Here are some observations from the data:

- In many ways, PLNU is similar to its comparator institutions in most of the NSSE domains connected with the DQP.
- There is some difference between 2005 and 2011. Because of the changes in the comparator groups used in those two years, it is difficult to tell how much of the change is due to improvement and how much is connected with the change in the groups.

Some key derived from looking at comparators:

- Compared to its comparators, PLNU has significantly fewer students involved in "culminating senior experience (capstone course, senior project or thesis, comprehensive exam, etc.)"
- Fewer PLNU students that at our comparators say that they "included diverse perspectives (different races, religions, genders, political beliefs, etc.) in class discussions or writing assignments."
- PLNU students report taking a greater amount of "foreign language coursework" than students at PLNU's comparator institutions.
- PLNU students rate themselves more highly than students in our comparator schools in their ability to "analyze quantitative problems."
- A higher percentage of PLNU students "Participated in a community-based project (e.g. service learning) as part of a regular course" than students at comparator institutions.
- A higher percentage of PLNU students "discussed ideas from your readings or classes with faculty members outside of class" than students at comparator institutions.
- PLNU students rate the life goal "contributing to the welfare of your community" more highly than students at comparator institutions.

Note that \* next to a numbers indicates a significant difference; the greater the number of stars, the more significant the difference.

# **Specialized Knowledge**

1. Defines and explains the boundaries, divisions, styles and practices of a field.

		FY		SR	
NSSE Measure		2005	2011	2005	2011
Acquiring job or work-related knowledge and skills	PLNU Masters	2.83 2.73	2.89 2.82	3.10 3.10	3.11
	Peers	2.79	2.93	3.09	3.16

2. Defines and properly uses the principal terms in the field, both historical and contemporaneous.

		FY		SI	3
NSSE Measure		2005	2011	2005	2011
Acquiring job or work-related knowledge and skills	PLNU	2.83	2.89	3.10	3.11
	Masters	2.73	2.82	3.10	3.09
	Peers	2.79	2.93	3.09	3.16
	1				1
	PLNU	3.01	3.01	3.07	3.13
Writing clearly and effectively	Masters	3.00	3.07	3.12	3.14
	Peers	2.98	3.03	**3.23	3.22
	PLNU	2.94	2.96	3.02	3.17
Speaking clearly and effectively	Masters	*2.81	2.93	3.05	*3.05
	Peers	**2.73	2.86	3.08	3.11

3. Demonstrates fluency in the use of tools, technologies and methods in the field.

FY SR

		F	Y	51	(
NSSE Measure	·	2005	2011	2005	2011
	PLNU	2.83	2.89	3.10	3.11
Acquiring job or work-related knowledge and skills	Masters	2.73	2.82	3.10	3.09
	Peers	2.79	2.93	3.09	3.16
	ı				1
	PLNU	3.26	3.25	3.33	3.43
Thinking critically and analytically	Masters	*3.14	3.24	3.34	3.36
,	Peers	3.25	3.29	***3.49	3.45
	PLNU	2.77	2.89	2.91	3.11
Analyzing quantitative problems	Masters	2.81	2.98	3.01	3.10
	Peers	2.82	2.89	3.02	*2.97

4. Evaluates, clarifies and frames a complex question or challenge using perspectives and scholarship from the student's major field and at least one other.

		F۱	1	SF	}
NSSE Measure	ı	2005	2011	2005	2011
Worked on a paper or project	PLNU	3.06	3.20	3.43	3.35
that required integrating ideas or information from various	Masters	3.08	3.15	3.36	3.38
sources	Peers	3.12	3.13	3.39	3.44
Put together ideas or concepts from different courses when	PLNU	2.70	2.62	2.75	2.98
completing assignments or	Masters	**2.54	2.64	**2.91	2.96
during class discussions	Peers	2.63	2.66	***2.95	3.00
Analyzing the basic elements of an idea, experience, or theory, such as examining a particular case or situation in depth and considering its components	PLNU	3.08	3.17	3.18	3.30
	Masters	3.03	3.15	3.22	3.30
	Peers	3.13	3.22	**3.32	3.34
Synthesizing and organizing ideas, information, or	PLNU	2.86	2.99	2.98	3.15
experiences into new, more	Masters	2.81	2.94	3.03	3.10
complex interpretations and relationships	Peers	2.86	2.97	**3.14	3.18
Making judgments about the	PLNU	2.83	2.83	2.88	3.10
value of information, arguments, or methods, such as examining					
how others gathered and	Masters	2.82	*2.96	2.97	3.06
interpreted data and assessing	Peers	2.80	2.93	*3.02	3.09
the soundness of their conclusions	Í				
	PLNU	2.83	2.89	3.10	3.11
Acquiring job or work-related knowledge and skills	Masters	2.73	2.82	3.10	3.09
Mistricage and skins	Peers	2.79	2.93	3.09	3.16
	PLNU	3.26	3.25	3.33	3.43
Thinking critically and analytically	Masters	*3.14	3.24	3.34	3.36
analy deally	Peers	3.25	3.29	***3.49	3.45

5. Constructs a project related to a familiar but complex problem in the field of study by assembling, arranging and reformulating ideas, concepts, designs or techniques.

		FY		SR		
NSSE Measure	ı	2005	2011	2005	2011	
Synthesizing and organizing ideas, information, or	PLNU	2.86	2.99	2.98	3.15	
experiences into new, more	Masters	2.81	2.94	3.03	3.10	
complex interpretations and relationships	Peers	2.86	2.97	**3.14	3.18	
Making judgments about the						
value of information, arguments,	PLNU	2.83	2.83	2.88	3.10	
or methods, such as examining how others gathered and interpreted data and assessing the soundness of their conclusions	Masters	2.82	*2.96	2.97	3.06	
	Peers	2.80	2.93	*3.02	3.09	
Culminating senior experience	PLNU	0.00	0.00	0.25	0.29	
(capstone course, senior project or thesis, comprehensive exam,	Masters	***0.01	***0.02	**0.34	0.30	
etc.)	Peers	**0.01	0.01	***0.52	***0.54	
	PLNU	2.83	2.89	3.10	3.11	
Acquiring job or work-related knowledge and skills	Masters	2.73	2.82	3.10	3.09	
	Peers	2.79	2.93	3.09	3.16	
	PLNU	3.26	3.25	3.33	3.43	
Thinking critically and analytically	Masters	*3.14	3.24	3.34	3.36	
. ,	Peers	3.25	3.29	***3.49	3.45	

6. Constructs a summative project, paper or practice-based performance that draws on current research, scholarship and/or techniques in the field.

		F	Υ	S	R
NSSE Measure		2005	2011	2005	2011
	PLNU	2.40	2.58	2.89	2.90
Made a class presentation	Masters	2.30	***2.33	2.93	2.86
	Peers	***2.23	***2.41	2.85	2.93
Analyzing the basic elements of an idea,	PLNU	3.08	3.17	3.18	3.30
experience, or theory, such as examining a particular case or situation in depth	Masters	3.03	3.15	3.22	3.30
and considering its components	Peers	3.13	3.22	**3.32	3.34
Synthesizing and organizing ideas,	PLNU	2.86	2.99	2.98	3.15
information, or experiences into new,	Masters	2.81	2.94	3.03	3.10
more complex interpretations and relationships	Peers	2.86	2.97	**3.14	3.18
Making judgments about the value of information, arguments, or methods,	PLNU	2.83	2.83	2.88	3.10
such as examining how others gathered	Masters	2.82	*2.96	2.97	3.06
and interpreted data and assessing the soundness of their conclusions	Peers	2.80	2.93	*3.02	3.09
Culminating senior experience (capstone	PLNU	0.00	0.00	0.25	0.29
course, senior project or thesis,	Masters	***0.01	***0.02	**0.34	0.30
comprehensive exam, etc.)	Peers	**0.01	0.01	***0.52	***0.54
Associates tale associate related to the first	PLNU	2.83	2.89	3.10	3.11
Acquiring job or work-related knowledge and skills	Masters	2.73	2.82	3.10	3.09
	Peers	2.79	2.93	3.09	3.16

## **Broad, Integrative Knowledge**

1. Frames a complex scientific, social, technological, economic or aesthetic challenge or problem from the perspectives and literature of at least two academic fields and proposes a "best approach" to the question or challenge using evidence from those fields.

		F	Y	SR		
NSSE Measure		2005	2011	2005	2011	
Worked on a paper or project that required integrating ideas	PLNU	3.06	3.20	3.43	3.35	
or information from various	Masters	3.08	3.15	3.36	3.38	
sources	Peers	3.12	3.13	3.39	3.44	
Put together ideas or concepts from different courses when completing assignments or during class discussions	PLNU	2.70	2.62	2.75	2.98	
	Masters	**2.54	2.64	**2.91	2.96	
	Peers	2.63	2.66	***2.95	3.00	
	PLNU	3.36	3.28	3.42	3.41	
Acquiring a broad general education	Masters	***3.15	3.18	**3.31	**3.24	
	Peers	3.31	3.28	3.50	3.41	
	PLNU	3.26	3.25	3.33	3.43	
Thinking critically and analytically	Masters	*3.14	3.24	3.34	3.36	
, , , , , , ,	Peers	3.25	3.29	***3.49	3.45	
Solving complex real-world	PLNU	2.56	2.66	2.54	2.88	
problems	Masters	2.56	2.72	**2.73	2.83	
	Peers	2.60	2.71	***2.78	2.86	

2. Produces, independently or collaboratively, an investigative, creative or practical work that draws on specific theories, tools and methods from at least two academic fields.

		F	Υ	SR		
NSSE Measure		2005	2011	2005	2011	
Worked on a paper or project that required integrating ideas	PLNU	3.06	3.20	3.43	3.35	
or information from various	Masters	3.08	3.15	3.36	3.38	
sources	Peers	3.12	3.13	3.39	3.44	
Put together ideas or concepts	PLNU	2.70	2.62	2.75	2.98	
from different courses when completing assignments or	Masters	**2.54	2.64	**2.91	2.96	
during class discussions	Peers	2.63	2.66	***2.95	3.00	
Work on a research project with a faculty member outside of course or program requirements	PLNU	0.02	0.01	0.20	0.22	
	Masters	*0.05	***0.05	0.18	*0.16	
	Peers	0.04	*0.03	0.25	0.23	
	PLNU	0.01	0.01	0.20	0.13	
Independent study or self- designed major	Masters	***0.03	***0.04	0.20	0.15	
	Peers	0.02	0.02	**0.27	***0.23	
	PLNU	3.36	3.28	3.42	3.41	
Acquiring a broad general education	Masters	***3.15	3.18	**3.31	**3.24	
caacation	Peers	3.31	3.28	3.50	3.41	
	PLNU	3.26	3.25	3.33	3.43	
Thinking critically and analytically	Masters	*3.14	3.24	3.34	3.36	
, crossing	Peers	3.25	3.29	***3.49	3.45	

3. Explains a problem in science, the arts, society, human services, economic life or technology from the perspective of at least two academic fields, explains how the methods of inquiry and research in those disciplines can be brought to bear, judges the likelihood that the combination of disciplinary perspectives and methods would contribute to the resolution of the challenge, and justifies the importance of the challenge in a social or global context.

		F	Υ	SR	
NSSE Measure		2005	2011	2005	2011
Worked on a paper or project that required integrating ideas	PLNU	3.06	3.20	3.43	3.35
or information from various	Masters	3.08	3.15	3.36	3.38
sources	Peers	3.12	3.13	3.39	3.44
Included diverse perspectives (different races, religions,	PLNU	2.68	2.78	2.81	2.79
genders, political beliefs, etc.) in class discussions or writing assignments	Masters	2.76	2.81	2.83	2.89
	Peers	2.87	2.84	*2.93	*2.94
Put together ideas or concepts	PLNU	2.70	2.62	2.75	2.98
from different courses when completing assignments or	Masters	**2.54	2.64	**2.91	2.96
during class discussions	Peers	2.63	2.66	***2.95	3.00
Tried to better understand someone else's views by imagining how an issue looks from his or her perspective	PLNU	2.91	3.03	2.99	3.05
	Masters	**2.73	***2.81	**2.84	**2.88
	Peers	2.90	*2.91	3.01	3.02
	PLNU	3.36	3.28	3.42	3.41
Acquiring a broad general	Masters	***3.15	3.18	**3.31	**3.24
education	Peers	3.31	3.28	3.50	3.41
	PLNU	2.01	2.01	2.07	2 12
		3.01	3.01	3.07	3.13
Writing clearly and effectively	Masters	3.00	3.07	3.12	3.14
	Peers	2.98	3.03	**3.23	3.22
	PLNU	2.94	2.96	3.02	3.17
Speaking clearly and effectively	Masters	*2.81	2.93	3.05	*3.05
	Peers	**2.73	2.86	3.08	3.11
Thinking critically and	PLNU	3.26	3.25	3.33	3.43
analytically	Masters	*3.14	3.24	3.34	3.36
	Peers	3.25	3.29	***3.49	3.45

Understanding people of other racial and ethnic backgrounds	PLNU	2.44	2.49	2.32	2.57
	Masters	*2.58	**2.71	***2.61	2.70
	Peers	**2.66	**2.71	***2.69	2.69
	PLNU	2.56	2.66	2.54	2.88
Solving complex real-world problems	Masters	2.56	2.72	**2.73	2.83
	Peers	2.60	2.71	***2.78	2.86

### **Intellectual Skills**

1. Differentiates and evaluates theories and approaches to complex standard and nonstandard problems within his or her major field. (Analytic inquiry)

		FY		SR	
NSSE Measure		2005	2011	2005	2011
Analyzing the basic elements of an idea, experience, or theory, such as	PLNU	3.08	3.17	3.18	3.30
examining a particular case or situation in depth and considering its components	Masters	3.03	3.15	3.22	3.30
	Peers	3.13	3.22	**3.32	3.34
Synthesizing and organizing ideas, information, or experiences into new, more complex interpretations and relationships	PLNU	2.86	2.99	2.98	3.15
	Masters	2.81	2.94	3.03	3.10
	Peers	2.86	2.97	**3.14	3.18
Making judgments about the value of information, arguments, or methods,	PLNU	2.83	2.83	2.88	3.10
such as examining how others	Masters	2.82	*2.96	2.97	3.06
gathered and interpreted data and assessing the soundness of their	Peers	2.80	2.93	*3.02	3.09
conclusions					
	PLNU	3.36	3.28	3.42	3.41
Acquiring a broad general education	Masters	***3.15	3.18	**3.31	**3.24
	Peers	3.31	3.28	3.50	3.41
	PLNU	3.26	3.25	3.33	3.43
Thinking critically and analytically	Masters	*3.14	3.24	3.34	3.36
	Peers	3.25	3.29	***3.49	3.45

2. Incorporates multiple information resources in different media or languages in projects, papers or performances, with appropriate citations; and evaluates the relative merits of competing resources with respect to clearly articulated standards. (Use of information resources)

		F	Υ	S	R
NSSE Measure		2005	2011	2005	2011
	PLNU	2.40	2.58	2.89	2.90
Made a class presentation	Masters	2.30	***2.33	2.93	2.86
	Peers	***2.23	***2.41	2.85	2.93
Used an electronic medium (Listserv,	PLNU	2.63	2.82	2.78	2.94
chat group, Internet, instant messaging	Masters	2.57	2.72	2.81	2.92
etc.) to discuss or complete an assignment	Peers	2.57	*2.66	2.69	2.89
	. 00.0	2.37	2.00	2.03	2.03
Analyzing the basic elements of an idea,	PLNU	3.08	3.17	3.18	3.30
experience, or theory, such as examining a particular case or situation in depth	Masters	3.03	3.15	3.22	3.30
and considering its components	Peers	3.13	3.22	**3.32	3.34
Synthesizing and organizing ideas,	PLNU	2.86	2.99	2.98	3.15
information, or experiences into new, more complex interpretations and	Masters	2.81	2.94	3.03	3.10
relationships	Peers	2.86	2.97	**3.14	3.18
Making judgments about the value of information, arguments, or methods,	PLNU	2.83	2.83	2.88	3.10
such as examining how others gathered	Masters	2.82	*2.96	2.97	3.06
and interpreted data and assessing the soundness of their conclusions	Peers	2.80	2.93	*3.02	3.09
sourianess of their correlations					
	PLNU	0.17	0.20	0.74	0.72
Foreign language coursework	Masters	0.21	0.16	***0.41	***0.34
	Peers	***0.33	*0.27	***0.64	***0.59
	PLNU	3.36	3.28	3.42	3.41
Acquiring a broad general education	Masters	***3.15	3.18	**3.31	**3.24
Acquiring a broad general education	Peers				
	ree15	3.31	3.28	3.50	3.41
	PLNU	3.26	3.25	3.33	3.43
Thinking critically and analytically	Masters	*3.14	3.24	3.34	3.36
	Peers	3.25	3.29	***3.49	3.45

	PLNU	2.77	2.89	2.91	3.11
Analyzing quantitative problems	Masters	2.81	2.98	3.01	3.10
	Peers	2.82	2.89	3.02	*2.97

3. Constructs a cultural, political or technological alternate vision of either the natural or human world through a written project, laboratory report, exhibit, performance, or community service design; defines the distinct patterns in this alternate vision; and explains how these patterns differ from current realities. (Engaging diverse perspectives)

		F	Υ	S	R
NSSE Measure		2005	2011	2005	2011
Included diverse perspectives (different races, religions,	PLNU	2.68	2.78	2.81	2.79
genders, political beliefs, etc.) in	Masters	2.76	2.81	2.83	2.89
class discussions or writing assignments	Peers	2.87	2.84	*2.93	*2.94
Had serious conversations with	PLNU	2.71	2.81	2.56	2.66
students of a different race or	Masters	**2.52	***2.57	2.60	2.67
ethnicity than your own	Peers	2.70	*2.66	*2.71	2.68
Analyzing the basic elements of an idea, experience, or theory, such as examining a particular case or situation in depth and considering its components	PLNU	3.08	3.17	3.18	3.30
	Masters	3.03	3.15	3.22	3.30
	Peers	3.13	3.22	**3.32	3.34
Synthesizing and organizing	PLNU	2.86	2.99	2.98	3.15
ideas, information, or experiences into new, more	Masters	2.81	2.94	3.03	3.10
complex interpretations and relationships	Peers	2.86	2.97	**3.14	3.18
·					
Making judgments about the value of information, arguments,	PLNU	2.83	2.83	2.88	3.10
or methods, such as examining how others gathered and	Masters	2.82	*2.96	2.97	3.06
interpreted data and assessing	Peers	2.80	2.93	*3.02	3.09
the soundness of their conclusions					
Tried to better understand	PLNU	2.91	3.03	2.99	3.05
someone else's views by imagining how an issue looks	Masters	**2.73	***2.81	**2.84	**2.88
from his or her perspective	Peers	2.90	*2.91	3.01	3.02

Practicum, internship, field	PLNU	0.05	0.06	0.71	0.70
experience, co-op experience, or	Masters	*0.08	0.06	***0.56	***0.47
clinical assignment	Peers	0.06	0.07	0.69	0.66
Acquiring a broad general education	PLNU	3.36	3.28	3.42	3.41
	Masters	***3.15	3.18	**3.31	**3.24
	Peers	3.31	3.28	3.50	3.41
Thinking critically and	PLNU	3.26	3.25	3.33	3.43
	Masters	*3.14	3.24	3.34	3.36
analytically	Peers	3.25	3.29	***3.49	3.45
	PLNU	2.44	2.49	2.32	2.57
Understanding people of other	Masters	*2.58	**2.71	***2.61	2.70
racial and ethnic backgrounds	Peers	**2.66	**2.71	***2.69	2.69
	PLNU	2.56	2.66	2.54	2.88
Solving complex real-world problems	Masters	2.56	2.72	**2.73	2.83
•	Peers	2.60	2.71	***2.78	2.86

4. Translates verbal problems into mathematical algorithms, constructs valid arguments using the accepted symbolic system of mathematical reasoning, and constructs accurate calculations.

		F	Υ	SR		
NSSE Measure		2005	2011	2005	2011	
	PLNU	2.40	2.58	2.89	2.90	
Made a class presentation	Masters	2.30	***2.33	2.93	2.86	
	Peers	***2.23	***2.41	2.85	2.93	
Making judgments about the value of information, arguments, or methods, such as examining how others gathered and interpreted data and assessing the soundness of their conclusions	PLNU	2.83	2.83	2.88	3.10	
	Masters	2.82	*2.96	2.97	3.06	
	Peers	2.80	2.93	*3.02	3.09	
	PLNU	3.36	3.28	3.42	3.41	
Acquiring a broad general education	Masters	***3.15	3.18	**3.31	**3.24	
	Peers	3.31	3.28	3.50	3.41	
	PLNU	3.26	3.25	3.33	3.43	
Thinking critically and analytically	Masters	*3.14	3.24	3.34	3.36	
analytically	Peers	3.25	3.29	***3.49	3.45	
	PLNU	2.77	2.89	2.91	3.11	
Analyzing quantitative problems	Masters	2.81	2.98	3.01	3.10	
	Peers	2.82	2.89	3.02	*2.97	

5. Estimates, risk analyses or quantitative evaluations of public information through presentations, papers or projects. (Quantitative fluency)

		F	Υ	S	R
NSSE Measure		2005	2011	2005	2011
	PLNU	2.40	2.58	2.89	2.90
Made a class presentation	Masters	2.30	***2.33	2.93	2.86
	Peers	***2.23	***2.41	2.85	2.93
Included diverse perspectives (different	PLNU	2.68	2.78	2.81	2.79
races, religions, genders, political beliefs,	Masters	2.76	2.81	2.83	2.89
etc.) in class discussions or writing assignments	Peers	2.87	2.84	*2.93	*2.94
Had serious conversations with students of a different race or ethnicity than your	PLNU	2.71	2.81	2.56	2.66
	Masters	**2.52	***2.57	2.60	2.67
own	Peers	2.70	*2.66	*2.71	2.68
Had serious conversations with students	PLNU	2.66	2.76	2.60	2.71
who are very different from you in terms	Masters	2.70	*2.61	2.71	2.69
of their religious beliefs, political opinions, or personal values	Peers	2.78	2.66	*2.76	2.74
Analyzing the basic elements of an idea,	PLNU	3.08	3.17	3.18	3.30
experience, or theory, such as examining a particular case or situation in depth	Masters	3.03	3.15	3.22	3.30
and considering its components	Peers	3.13	3.22	**3.32	3.34
Making judgments about the value of	PLNU	2.83	2.83	2.88	3.10
information, arguments, or methods,					
such as examining how others gathered and interpreted data and assessing the	Masters	2.82	*2.96	2.97	3.06
soundness of their conclusions	Peers	2.80	2.93	*3.02	3.09
	PLNU	0.17	0.20	0.74	0.72
Foreign language coursework	Masters	0.21	0.16	***0.41	***0.34
	Peers	***0.33	*0.27	***0.64	***0.59
	PLNU	3.36	3.28	3.42	3.41
Acquiring a broad general education	Masters	***3.15	3.18	**3.31	**3.24
. 0 0 11 1111111	Peers	3.31	3.28	3.50	3.41
	-				

	PLNU	3.01	3.01	3.07	3.13
Writing clearly and effectively	Masters	3.00	3.07	3.12	3.14
	Peers	2.98	3.03	**3.23	3.22
Speaking clearly and effectively	PLNU	2.94	2.96	3.02	3.17
	Masters	*2.81	2.93	3.05	*3.05
	Peers	**2.73	2.86	3.08	3.11
	PLNU	3.26	3.25	3.33	3.43
Thinking critically and analytically	Masters	*3.14	3.24	3.34	3.36
	Peers	3.25	3.29	***3.49	3.45
	PLNU	2.56	2.66	2.54	2.88
Solving complex real-world problems	Masters	2.56	2.72	**2.73	2.83
	Peers	2.60	2.71	***2.78	2.86

<sup>6.</sup> Constructs sustained, coherent argument or presentation on technical issues or processes in more than one language and in more than one medium for general and specific audiences; and works through collaboration to address a social, personal or ethical dilemma. (Communication fluency)

No NSSE data items.

## **Applied Learning**

1. Presents a project, paper, performance or other appropriate task linking knowledge and skills from work, community or research activities with knowledge acquired in academic disciplines; explains how elements were combined to shape meaning or findings; and shows the relationship to relevant scholarship.

		F	Υ	S	R
NSSE Measure		2005	2011	2005	2011
	PLNU	2.40	2.58	2.89	2.90
Made a class presentation	Masters	2.30	***2.33	2.93	2.86
	Peers	***2.23	***2.41	2.85	2.93
Worked on a paper or project that	PLNU	3.06	3.20	3.43	3.35
required integrating ideas or	Masters	3.08	3.15	3.36	3.38
information from various sources	Peers	3.12	3.13	3.39	3.44
Double in a community based	PLNU	1.51	1.58	1.91	2.17
Participated in a community-based project (e.g. service learning) as part of a regular course	Masters	1.56	1.55	1.80	***1.75
	Peers	***1.81	***1.81	1.96	***1.86
Analyzing the basic elements of an idea,	PLNU	3.08	3.17	3.18	3.30
experience, or theory, such as examining	Masters	3.03	3.15	3.22	3.30
a particular case or situation in depth and considering its components	Peers	3.13	3.22	**3.32	3.34
<b>3</b> p					
Synthesizing and organizing ideas,	PLNU	2.86	2.99	2.98	3.15
information, or experiences into new,	Masters	2.81	2.94	3.03	3.10
more complex interpretations and relationships	Peers	2.86	2.97	**3.14	3.18
·					
Making judgments about the value of	PLNU	2.83	2.83	2.88	3.10
information, arguments, or methods, such as examining how others gathered	Masters	2.82	*2.96	2.97	3.06
and interpreted data and assessing the soundness of their conclusions	Peers	2.80	2.93	*3.02	3.09
	PLNU	0.05	0.06	0.71	0.70
Practicum, internship, field experience, co-op experience, or clinical assignment	Masters	*0.08	0.06	***0.56	***0.47
or or experience, or emined assignment	Peers	0.06	0.07	0.69	0.66

	PLNU	0.45	0.51	0.75	0.77
Community service or volunteer work	Masters	0.40	***0.36	***0.62	***0.56
	Peers	*0.53	0.54	0.77	0.75
	PLNU	0.02	0.01	0.20	0.22
Work on a research project with a faculty member outside of course or program requirements	Masters	*0.05	***0.05	0.18	*0.16
	Peers	0.04	*0.03	0.25	0.23
	1 6613	0.04	0.03	0.23	0.23
Culminating senior experience (capstone	PLNU	0.00	0.00	0.25	0.29
course, senior project or thesis,	Masters	***0.01	***0.02	**0.34	0.30
comprehensive exam, etc.)	Peers	**0.01	0.01	***0.52	***0.54
	PLNU	3.36	3.28	3.42	3.41
Acquiring a broad general education	Masters	***3.15	3.18	**3.31	**3.24
	Peers	3.31	3.28	3.50	3.41
	PLNU	3.01	3.01	3.07	3.13
Writing clearly and effectively	Masters	3.00	3.07	3.12	3.14
	Peers	2.98	3.03	**3.23	3.22
	PLNU	2.94	2.96	3.02	3.17
Speaking clearly and effectively	Masters	*2.81	2.93	3.05	*3.05
	Peers	**2.73	2.86	3.08	3.11
	PLNU	3.26	3.25	3.33	3.43
Thinking critically and analytically	Masters	*3.14	3.24	3.34	3.36
	Peers	3.25	3.29	***3.49	3.45
	PLNU	2.56	2.66	2.54	2.88
Solving complex real-world problems	Masters	2.56	2.72	**2.73	2.83
	Peers	2.60	2.71	***2.78	2.86

2. Formulates a question on a topic that addresses more than one academic discipline or practical setting, locates appropriate evidence that addresses the question, evaluates the evidence in relation to the problem's contexts, and articulates conclusions that follow logically from analysis.

		FY	,	SF	₹
NSSE Measure	i	2005	2011	2005	2011
Worked on a paper or project that	PLNU	3.06	3.20	3.43	3.35
required integrating ideas or	Masters	3.08	3.15	3.36	3.38
information from various sources	Peers	3.12	3.13	3.39	3.44
Analyzing the basic elements of an idea,	PLNU	3.08	3.17	3.18	3.30
experience, or theory, such as examining a particular case or situation in depth	Masters	3.03	3.15	3.22	3.30
and considering its components	Peers	3.13	3.22	**3.32	3.34
Synthesizing and organizing ideas,	PLNU	2.86	2.99	2.98	3.15
information, or experiences into new, more complex interpretations and relationships	Masters	2.81	2.94	3.03	3.10
	Peers	2.86	2.97	**3.14	3.18
Making judgments about the value of information, arguments, or methods,	PLNU	2.83	2.83	2.88	3.10
such as examining how others gathered	Masters	2.82	*2.96	2.97	3.06
and interpreted data and assessing the soundness of their conclusions	Peers	2.80	2.93	*3.02	3.09
	PLNU	3.36	3.28	3.42	3.41
Acquiring a broad general education	Masters	***3.15	3.18	**3.31	**3.24
	Peers	3.31	3.28	3.50	3.41
	PLNU	3.01	3.01	3.07	3.13
Writing clearly and effectively	Masters	3.00	3.07	3.12	3.14
	Peers	2.98	3.03	**3.23	3.22
	DIAILI	2.04	2.00	2.02	2.47
	PLNU	2.94	2.96	3.02	3.17
Speaking clearly and effectively	Masters	*2.81	2.93	3.05	*3.05
	Peers	**2.73	2.86	3.08	3.11
	PLNU	3.26	3.25	3.33	3.43
Thinking critically and analytically	Masters	*3.14	3.24	3.34	3.36
	Peers	3.25	3.29	***3.49	3.45

3. Completes a field-based assignment in the course of study that employs insights from others; evaluates a significant question in relation to concepts, methods or assumptions in at least one academic field; and explains the implications of learning outside the classroom.

		F	Υ	S	R
NSSE Measure		2005	2011	2005	2011
Participated in a community-based	PLNU	1.51	1.58	1.91	2.17
project (e.g. service learning) as part of a	Masters	1.56	1.55	1.80	***1.75
regular course	Peers	***1.81	***1.81	1.96	***1.86
	PLNU	1.76	1.96	2.22	2.50
Discussed ideas from your readings or classes with faculty members outside of	Masters	1.70	1.84	2.13	***2.08
class	Peers	*1.90	1.85	2.13	***2.21
	reers	1.90	1.85	2.28	7.21
Analyzing the basic elements of an idea, experience, or theory, such as examining a particular case or situation in depth and considering its components	PLNU	3.08	3.17	3.18	3.30
	Masters	3.03	3.15	3.22	3.30
	Peers	3.13	3.22	**3.32	3.34
	PLNU	2.86	2.99	2.98	3.15
Synthesizing and organizing ideas, information, or experiences into new,	Masters	2.81	2.94	3.03	3.10
more complex interpretations and	Peers	2.86	2.97	**3.14	3.18
relationships	1 0013	2.00	2.37	3.14	3.10
Making judgments about the value of	PLNU	2.83	2.83	2.88	3.10
information, arguments, or methods, such as examining how others gathered	Masters	2.82	*2.96	2.97	3.06
and interpreted data and assessing the	Peers	2.80	2.93	*3.02	3.09
soundness of their conclusions	reers	2.60	2.33	5.02	3.09
Tried to better understand someone	PLNU	2.91	3.03	2.99	3.05
else's views by imagining how an issue	Masters	**2.73	***2.81	**2.84	**2.88
looks from his or her perspective	Peers	2.90	*2.91	3.01	3.02
	PLNU	0.05	0.06	0.71	0.70
Practicum, internship, field experience,	Masters	*0.08	0.06	***0.56	***0.47
co-op experience, or clinical assignment	Peers	0.06	0.07	0.69	0.66
					3.00
	PLNU	0.45	0.51	0.75	0.77
Community service or volunteer work	Masters	0.40	***0.36	***0.62	***0.56
	Peers	*0.53	0.54	0.77	0.75

Work on a research project with a	PLNU	0.02	0.01	0.20	0.22
faculty member outside of course or	Masters	*0.05	***0.05	0.18	*0.16
program requirements	Peers	0.04	*0.03	0.25	0.23
	PLNU	0.01	0.00	0.33	0.35
Study abroad	Masters	***0.02	***0.03	***0.13	***0.11
	Peers	0.01	***0.03	0.36	0.35
	PLNU	3.36	3.28	3.42	3.41
Acquiring a broad general education	Masters	***3.15	3.18	**3.31	**3.24
	Peers	3.31	3.28	3.50	3.41
	PLNU	3.01	3.01	3.07	3.13
Writing clearly and effectively	Masters	3.00	3.07	3.12	3.14
	Peers	2.98	3.03	**3.23	3.22
	PLNU	2.94	2.96	3.02	3.17
Speaking clearly and effectively	Masters	*2.81	2.93	3.05	*3.05
	Peers	**2.73	2.86	3.08	3.11
	PLNU	3.26	3.25	3.33	3.43
Thinking critically and analytically	Masters	*3.14	3.24	3.34	3.36
	Peers	3.25	3.29	***3.49	3.45
	PLNU	2.44	2.49	2.32	2.57
Understanding people of other racial and ethnic backgrounds	Masters	*2.58	**2.71	***2.61	2.70
and etimic backgrounds	Peers	**2.66	**2.71	***2.69	2.69

# **Civic Learning**

1. Explains diverse perspectives on a contested issue and evaluates insights gained from different kinds of evidence reflecting scholarly and community perspectives.

		F	Υ	S	R
NSSE Measure		2005	2011	2005	2011
Included diverse perspectives (different	PLNU	2.68	2.78	2.81	2.79
races, religions, genders, political beliefs, etc.) in class discussions or writing	Masters	2.76	2.81	2.83	2.89
assignments	Peers	2.87	2.84	*2.93	*2.94
	PLNU	1.51	1.58	1.91	2.17
Participated in a community-based project (e.g. service learning) as part of a	Masters	1.56	1.55	1.80	***1.75
regular course	Peers	***1.81	***1.81	1.96	***1.86
Had serious conversations with students	PLNU	2.71	2.81	2.56	2.66
of a different race or ethnicity than your	Masters	**2.52	***2.57	2.60	2.67
own	Peers	2.70	*2.66	*2.71	2.68
Had serious conversations with students	PLNU	2.66	2.76	2.60	2.71
who are very different from you in terms of their religious beliefs, political	Masters	2.70	*2.61	2.71	2.69
opinions, or personal values	Peers	2.78	2.66	*2.76	2.74
Making judgments about the value of	PLNU	2.83	2.83	2.88	3.10
information, arguments, or methods, such as examining how others gathered	Masters	2.82	*2.96	2.97	3.06
and interpreted data and assessing the soundness of their conclusions	Peers	2.80	2.93	*3.02	3.09
Southurless of their conclusions	DIAILI	2.04	2.04	2.02	2.04
Examined the strengths and weaknesses	PLNU	3.01	2.94	3.02	2.94
of your own views on a topic or issue	Masters	***2.56	***2.6	***2.70	***2.70
	Peers	2.88	*2.83	2.95	2.96
Tried to better understand someone	PLNU	2.91	3.03	2.99	3.05
else's views by imagining how an issue	Masters	**2.73	***2.81	**2.84	**2.88
looks from his or her perspective	Peers	2.90	*2.91	3.01	3.02
	PLNU	3.05	3.08	3.07	3.16
Learned something that changed the way you understand an issue or concept	Masters	***2.76	***2.88	***2.85	***2.93
way you understand an issue of concept	Peers	2.97	2.97	3.02	3.05

Acquiring a broad general education	PLNU	3.36	3.28	3.42	3.41
	Masters	***3.15	3.18	**3.31	**3.24
	Peers	3.31	3.28	3.50	3.41
	PLNU	3.01	3.01	3.07	3.13
Writing clearly and effectively	Masters	3.00	3.07	3.12	3.14
	Peers	2.98	3.03	**3.23	3.22
	PLNU	3.26	3.25	3.33	3.43
Thinking critically and analytically	Masters	*3.14	3.24	3.34	3.36
Trinking critically and analytically	Peers	3.25	3.29	***3.49	3.45
		3.23	3.23	3.43	3.43
	PLNU	2.44	2.49	2.32	2.57
Understanding people of other racial and ethnic backgrounds	Masters	*2.58	**2.71	***2.61	2.70
and cumic backgrounds	Peers	**2.66	**2.71	***2.69	2.69
Solving complex real-world problems	PLNU	2.56	2.66	2.54	2.88
	Masters	2.56	2.72	**2.73	2.83
	Peers	2.60	2.71	***2.78	2.86
Developing a personal code of values and ethics	PLNU	3.22	3.04	3.16	3.13
	Masters	***2.62	***2.75	***2.73	***2.77
	Peers	***2.94	2.97	3.07	3.09
Contributing to the welfare of your community	PLNU	3.01	2.97	2.78	3.05
	Masters	***2.40	***2.45	***2.49	***2.49
	Peers	***2.74	*2.82	2.81	**2.86

2. Develops and justifies a position on a public issue and relates this position to alternative views within the community or policy environment.

		FY		SR	
NSSE Measure		2005	2011	2005	2011
Included diverse perspectives (different races, religions, genders, political beliefs, etc.) in class discussions or writing	PLNU	2.68	2.78	2.81	2.79
	Masters	2.76	2.81	2.83	2.89
assignments	Peers	2.87	2.84	*2.93	*2.94
Dankisiaskad in a samurusika kasad	PLNU	1.51	1.58	1.91	2.17
Participated in a community-based project (e.g. service learning) as part of a	Masters	1.56	1.55	1.80	***1.75
regular course	Peers	***1.81	***1.81	1.96	***1.86
	PLNU	2.71	2.81	2.56	2.66
Had serious conversations with students of a different race or ethnicity than your	Masters	**2.52	***2.57	2.60	2.67
own	Peers	2.70	*2.66	*2.71	2.68
Had serious conversations with students	PLNU	2.66	2.76	2.60	2.71
who are very different from you in terms of their religious beliefs, political	Masters	2.70	*2.61	2.71	2.69
opinions, or personal values	Peers	2.78	2.66	*2.76	2.74
Making judgments about the value of	PLNU	2.83	2.83	2.88	3.10
information, arguments, or methods, such as examining how others gathered and interpreted data and assessing the soundness of their conclusions	Masters	2.82	*2.96	2.97	3.06
	Peers	2.80	2.93	*3.02	3.09
	PLNU	3.01	2.94	3.02	2.94
Examined the strengths and weaknesses	Masters	***2.56	***2.6	***2.70	***2.70
of your own views on a topic or issue	Peers	2.88	*2.83	2.95	2.96
	PLNU	2.91	3.03	2.99	3.05
Tried to better understand someone else's views by imagining how an issue	Masters	**2.73	***2.81	**2.84	**2.88
looks from his or her perspective	Peers	2.90	*2.91	3.01	3.02
	PLNU	3.05	3.08	3.07	3.16
Learned something that changed the	Masters	***2.76	***2.88	***2.85	***2.93
way you understand an issue or concept	Peers	2.97	2.97	3.02	3.05
		2.57	2.57	3.02	3.03
Acquiring a broad general education	PLNU	3.36	3.28	3.42	3.41

	Masters	***3.15	3.18	**3.31	**3.24
	Peers	3.31	3.28	3.50	3.41
	PLNU	3.26	3.25	3.33	3.43
Thinking critically and analytically	Masters	*3.14	3.24	3.34	3.36
	Peers	3.25	3.29	***3.49	3.45
	PLNU	2.33	1.87	2.22	1.96
Voting in local, state, or national	Masters	2.45	2.00	2.29	2.08
elections	Peers	2.39	1.77	2.35	1.93
	PLNU	2.44	2.49	2.32	2.57
Understanding people of other racial and ethnic backgrounds	Masters	*2.58	**2.71	***2.61	2.70
	Peers	**2.66	**2.71	***2.69	2.69
Developing a personal code of values and ethics	PLNU	3.22	3.04	3.16	3.13
	Masters	***2.62	***2.75	***2.73	***2.77
	Peers	***2.94	2.97	3.07	3.09
Contributing to the welfare of your community	PLNU	3.01	2.97	2.78	3.05
	Masters	***2.40	***2.45	***2.49	***2.49
	Peers	***2.74	*2.82	2.81	**2.86

3. Collaborates in developing and implementing an approach to a civic issue, evaluates the process and, where applicable, weighs the results.

		FY		SR	
NSSE Measure		2005	2011	2005	2011
Included diverse perspectives (different races, religions, genders, political beliefs, etc.) in class discussions or writing	PLNU	2.68	2.78	2.81	2.79
	Masters	2.76	2.81	2.83	2.89
assignments	Peers	2.87	2.84	*2.93	*2.94
Participated in a community-based	PLNU	1.51	1.58	1.91	2.17
project (e.g. service learning) as part of a	Masters	1.56	1.55	1.80	***1.75
regular course	Peers	***1.81	***1.81	1.96	***1.86
Making judgments about the value of information, arguments, or methods,	PLNU	2.83	2.83	2.88	3.10
such as examining how others gathered	Masters	2.82	*2.96	2.97	3.06
and interpreted data and assessing the soundness of their conclusions	Peers	2.80	2.93	*3.02	3.09
	PLNU	3.01	2.94	3.02	2.94
Examined the strengths and weaknesses of your own views on a topic or issue	Masters	***2.56	***2.6	***2.70	***2.70
or your own views on a topic or issue	Peers	2.88	*2.83	2.95	2.96
Tried to better understand someone else's views by imagining how an issue	PLNU	2.91	3.03	2.99	3.05
	Masters	**2.73	***2.81	**2.84	**2.88
looks from his or her perspective	Peers	2.90	*2.91	3.01	3.02
Learned something that changed the way you understand an issue or concept	PLNU	3.05	3.08	3.07	3.16
	Masters	***2.76	***2.88	***2.85	***2.93
τ, γ	Peers	2.97	2.97	3.02	3.05
	PLNU	0.05	0.06	0.71	0.70
Practicum, internship, field experience, co-op experience, or clinical assignment	Masters	*0.08	0.06	***0.56	***0.47
co-op experience, or clinical assignment	Peers	0.06	0.07	0.69	0.66
	PLNU	0.45	0.51	0.75	0.77
Community service or volunteer work	Masters	0.40	***0.36	***0.62	***0.56
	Peers	*0.53	0.54	0.77	0.75

Acquiring a broad general education	PLNU	3.36	3.28	3.42	3.41
	Masters	***3.15	3.18	**3.31	**3.24
	Peers	3.31	3.28	3.50	3.41
	PLNU	3.26	3.25	3.33	3.43
Thinking critically and analytically	Masters	*3.14	3.24	3.34	3.36
	Peers	3.25	3.29	***3.49	3.45
	PLNU	3.02	3.10	3.23	3.29
Working effectively with others	Masters	2.96	3.04	3.20	3.20
	Peers	3.01	3.13	3.29	3.32
Voting in local, state, or national elections	PLNU	2.33	1.87	2.22	1.96
	Masters	2.45	2.00	2.29	2.08
	Peers	2.39	1.77	2.35	1.93
Solving complex real-world problems	PLNU	2.56	2.66	2.54	2.88
	Masters	2.56	2.72	**2.73	2.83
	Peers	2.60	2.71	***2.78	2.86
Contributing to the welfare of your community	DINIII	2.01	2.07	2.70	2.05
	PLNU	3.01	2.97	2.78	3.05
	Masters	***2.40	***2.45	***2.49	***2.49
	Peers	***2.74	*2.82	2.81	**2.86

#### Peer Institutions Used for NSSE:

2005 Peer Group (these are the members of PLNU's Peer and Aspirant list that participated in 2005):

Abilene	TX
St. Paul	MN
Thousand Oaks	CA
Orange	CA
Wenham	MA
Santa Clara	CA
Upland	IN
San Diego	CA
Norton	MA (should have been Wheaton (IL))
Whittier	CA
Spokane	WA
	St. Paul Thousand Oaks Orange Wenham Santa Clara Upland San Diego Norton Whittier

2011 Peer Group (these are the members of PLNU's Peer and Aspirant list that participated in 2011):

Abilene Christian University	Abilene	TX
Anderson University	Anderson	SC
Bethel University	McKenzie	TN (should have been Bethel (MN))
California Lutheran University	Thousand Oaks	CA
Chapman University	Orange	CA
Gordon College	Wenham	MA
Messiah College	Grantham	PA
Seattle Pacific University	Seattle	WA
Taylor University	Upland	IN
Union University	Jackson	TN
Whitworth University	Spokane	WA