## Rubric for lab reports completed in PLNU General Education lab courses (BIO 101, 103, 105, 210, and 211) for assessment of GE Learning outcomes 1A and 1B:

"Demonstrate effective <u>written</u> and oral communication skills, both as individuals and groups."

"Use <u>quantitative analysis</u>, qualitative analysis, and <u>logic skills</u> to address questions and solve problems."

Component	Initial	Emerging	Developed	Highly Developed
Introduction and hypothesis	No indication of purpose of the research     Provides no background information     Hypothesis is missing	<ul> <li>Some indication of purpose of the research</li> <li>Provides some background information, but some is inaccurate or irrelevant</li> <li>Provides the hypothesis, but the hypothesis is unclear or confusing</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Clearly articulates the purpose of the research</li> <li>Provides some accurate and relevant background information</li> <li>Clearly identifies the hypothesis</li> </ul>	Clearly articulates the purpose of the research, beyond the narrow topic     Provides excellent background information     Clearly identifies the hypothesis
Methods and Materials	Methods are unclear and incomplete and materials are not sufficiently identified     No variables correctly identified	Methods are basically explained, but incomplete with some materials not included     Some variables correctly identified	<ul> <li>Explains methods and materials, but missing some details</li> <li>Most variables correctly identified</li> </ul>	Clearly and completely explains methods and materials     All variables correctly identified
Results	<ul> <li>Graphs and tables are poorly/inaccurately done</li> <li>No mention of tables/graphs in text</li> <li>Many opinion statements</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Graphs and tables are inaccurate/missing labels with some errors</li> <li>Summarizes tables and graphs in text</li> <li>No clear reference to specific tables/graphs in text</li> <li>Obvious opinion statements</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Graphs and tables are adequate but some labels/titles missing</li> <li>Generally accurately summarizes the tables and graphs in text</li> <li>Clear reference to some tables/graphs in the text</li> <li>Some opinion statements</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Graphs and tables are well done and accurately labeled and titled</li> <li>Accurately summarizes the tables and graphs in text</li> <li>Clear reference to all tables/graphs in the text</li> <li>No opinion statements</li> </ul>
Conclusion(s)	Fails to identify conclusions, or conclusion is a simplistic summary with no connection to original hypothesis     Minimal consideration of limitations	<ul> <li>Identifies conclusions and refers to some specific pieces of evidence, but no connection to original hypothesis</li> <li>Minimal consideration of limitations</li> <li>No mention of implications or future research</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Clearly links evidence with the conclusion, but not the original hypothesis</li> <li>Minimal consideration of limitations</li> <li>Presents implications and possible future research</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Clearly links evidence with the conclusion and the original hypothesis</li> <li>Considers limitations of the study</li> <li>Presents implications and possible future research</li> </ul>
Writing quality	No sections labeled     Simplistic and/or unclear writing     Many errors	Some sections clearly labeled     Unclear writing     Some errors	<ul><li>Most section clearly labeled</li><li>Clear writing</li><li>Few errors</li></ul>	<ul> <li>All sections cleared labeled</li> <li>Clear and sophisticated writing using advanced vocabulary; enjoyable to read</li> <li>No errors</li> </ul>