# Program: Biology-Chemistry B.S. (BCHM)

**Learning Outcome:** <u>PLO1</u>: Demonstrate an understanding of the process of science and of the concepts and theories of biology across a broad range of organizational levels: cellular, molecular, and organismal.

Outcome Measure: ETS Major Field Test in Biology

**Criteria for Success:** The overall group mean on the ETS exam will be  $\geq$  75th percentile and at least 50% of our students will have an overall score  $\geq$  60th percentile. Additionally, the same criteria established for the overall ETS score will be applied to each of the 3 sub-disciplines, which are 1) Cell, 2) Genetic & Molecular, and 3) Organismal.

#### Longitudinal Data:

|                               | 2015, n=9             | 2014, n=16            | 2013, n=11            |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Overall group mean            | 87 <sup>th</sup> %ile | 82 <sup>nd</sup> %ile | 93 <sup>rd</sup> %ile |
| % above 60 <sup>th</sup> %ile | 67%                   | 44%                   | 73%                   |
| Cell Biology mean             | 87 <sup>th</sup> %ile | 93 <sup>rd</sup> %ile | 97 <sup>th</sup> %ile |
| % above 60 <sup>th</sup> %ile | 67%                   | 56%                   | 91%                   |
| Genetics/Molecular mean       | 62 <sup>nd</sup> %ile | 83 <sup>rd</sup> %ile | 96 <sup>th</sup> %ile |
| % above 60 <sup>th</sup> %ile | 57%                   | 44%                   | 73%                   |
| Organismal mean               | 75 <sup>th</sup> %ile | 78 <sup>th</sup> %ile | 88 <sup>th</sup> %ile |
| % above 60 <sup>th</sup> %ile | 67%                   | 50%                   | 73%                   |

**Conclusions Drawn from Data:** The Biology content knowledge of the BCHM majors is excellent. The difference in not meeting the criteria (gray numbers) is based on the performance of only 1-2 students in both 2014 and 2015, so it is probably not statistically valid.

**Changes to be Made Based on Data:** This year some of the BCHM majors did not take the ETS Major Fields Test because they were participating in the Chemistry Senior Seminar. We plan to ask these students to take the ETS exam next year, such that we will have a more complete set of data.

**Rubric Used:** ETS 2014 Comparative Data Guides – MFT for Biology

**Learning Outcome:** <u>PLO2</u>: Apply key concepts and principles in quantitative analysis, biochemistry, bioinorganic chemistry, organic chemistry, and physical chemistry (thermodynamics and kinetics).

Outcome Measure: ETS Major Field Test in Chemistry and Senior Exit Survey

**Criteria for Success:** The overall group mean on each subsection of the ETS exam (Analytical, Biochemistry, Inorganic, Organic, Physical) will be at or above the 50<sup>th</sup> percentile. At least 80% of students surveyed will feel prepared or better in meeting this PLO.

#### Longitudinal Data:

| ETS – MFT Chemistry | 2015, n=7*            |  |
|---------------------|-----------------------|--|
| Overall group mean  | 87 <sup>th</sup> %ile |  |
| Analytical mean     | 81 <sup>st</sup> %ile |  |
| Biochemistry mean   |                       |  |
| Inorganic mean      | 85 <sup>th</sup> %ile |  |
| Organic mean        | 83 <sup>rd</sup> %ile |  |
| Physical mean       | 91 <sup>st</sup> %ile |  |

\*Only includes BCHM majors who took Chemistry Senior Seminar. ETS-MFT Chemistry exam first administered in spring 2015.

| Senior Exit Survey*   | 2015, n=7 |
|---|-----------|
| % feel prepared or better in quantitative analysis                            | 100%      |
| % feel prepared or better in biochemistry                                     | 86%       |
| % feel prepared or better in bioinorganic chemistry                           | 100%      |
| % feel prepared or better in organic chemistry                                | 100%      |
| % feel prepared or better in physical chemistry (thermodynamics and kinetics) | 86%       |

\*Senior exit survey first administered in Chemistry Senior Seminar during spring 2015.

**Conclusions Drawn from Data:** When looking at the data we see that in every case our students exceed the 50<sup>th</sup> percentile. We have not yet been able to collect data in Biochemistry from the MFT-ETS because this requires ETS to analyze the exams further and report back on this score. The student surveys yield positive results in each category.

**Changes to be Made Based on Data:** We will obtain data from the ETS on the biochemistry scores. The BCHM majors who participated in Biology Senior Seminar did not take the ETS-MFT Chemistry exam. We plan to ask these students to take the ETS-MFT Chemistry exam next year, such that we will have a more complete set of data.

**Rubric Used:** ETS Comparative Data Guides – MFT for Chemistry

**Learning Outcome:** <u>PLO3</u>: Use standard instrumentation and laboratory equipment to conduct scientific experiments and perform chemical characterization and analyses.

**Outcome Measure:** Faculty laboratory instructors' observation of students' use of various standard instruments in different courses (see below) and Senior Exit Survey.

GC: Chemistry 304 (Organic Chemistry II) IR: Chemistry 304 (Organic Chemistry II) UV-vis: CHE325 (Physical Chemistry I)

**Criteria for Success:** At least 80% of students will be able to use each of the various instruments with little or no guidance. At least 80% of students surveyed will feel prepared or better in meeting this PLO.

**Longitudinal Data:** Direct assessment using the rubric below will begin in 2015-2016 because this PLO was modified at the end of 2014-2015. With that being said, we are confident that all 2015 Biology-Chemistry B.S. graduates are able to use each of the various instruments with little or no guidance based on their course and lab curriculum and various positions as researchers or teaching assistants.

| Senior Exit Survey*       | 2015, n=7 |
|---------------------------|-----------|
| % feel prepared or better | 100%      |

\*Senior exit survey first administered in Chemistry Senior Seminar during spring 2015.

**Conclusions Drawn from Data:** At this point we have not officially collected data involving a direct measure of this outcome because this PLO was only recently added to our assessment plan. Indirect measures indicate we are successful in this PLO.

Changes to be Made Based on Data: Begin collecting direct measures for this PLO.

**Rubric Used:** The following scale will be used.

| Instrument      | 4              | 3                | 2               | 1               |
|-----------------|----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| GC (CHE304)     | Able to use    | Able to use      | Able to use     | Unable to use   |
|                 | instrument     | instrument with  | instrument with | instrument even |
|                 | independently. | little guidance. | guidance.       | with guidance.  |
| IR (CHE304)     | Able to use    | Able to use      | Able to use     | Unable to use   |
|                 | instrument     | instrument with  | instrument with | instrument even |
|                 | independently. | little guidance. | guidance.       | with guidance.  |
| UV-vis (CHE325) | Able to use    | Able to use      | Able to use     | Unable to use   |
|                 | instrument     | instrument with  | instrument with | instrument even |
|                 | independently. | little guidance. | guidance.       | with guidance.  |

**Learning Outcome:** <u>PLO4</u>: Participate in the life of the Biology and/or Chemistry Department by involvement in one or more of the following areas: research, biology and/or chemistry clubs, and/or various positions of responsibility serving as graders, tutors, stockroom workers and/or teaching assistants.

**Outcome Measure:** Self-reported data of participation and Senior Exit Survey

**Criteria for Success:** At least 80% of our students will participate in one or more department related activities (research, science clubs, positions of responsibility) during their time at PLNU. At least 80% of students surveyed will feel prepared or better in meeting this PLO.

**Longitudinal Data:** 15 of the 16 BCHM majors (94%) participated in clubs or positions of responsibility (**criteria met**).

Data were not collected in 2014.

In 2013, of the 11 students who took the survey, 91% participated in one of these positions (criteria met).

| Senior Exit Survey*       | 2015, n=7 |
|---------------------------|-----------|
| % feel prepared or better | 100%      |

\*Senior exit survey first administered in Chemistry Senior Seminar during spring 2015.

**Conclusions Drawn from Data:** The BCHM majors are participating in the life of the department.

Changes to be Made Based on Data: No changes to the program.

Rubric Used: Not applicable to self-reported data.

Learning Outcome: <u>PLO5</u>: Develop a rationally defensible integration of science and faith.

**Outcome Measure:** During their senior year, students will defend the integration of their faith with various scientific topics via a written essay.

**Criteria for Success:** At least 80% of our students will achieve a score of 85% or higher on the science/faith integration essay. The essay will be scored with a rubric that considers science/faith integration, critical thinking, integration of concepts from other classes, written communication, and information literacy.

**Longitudinal Data:** 78% of the students (n=9) achieved a score of 85% or higher on the essay (**criteria almost met**). In 2015, we switched the rubric for this assignment, so data from previous years cannot be compared to the data from this year.

**Conclusions Drawn from Data:** The BCHM majors are able to develop a rationally defensible integration of science and faith. The scoring for this rubric needs to be improved, as this was the first year we used it. We need to assess our inter-reader reliability.

Changes to be Made Based on Data: No changes to the program.

Rubric Used: See attached.

Learning Outcome: <u>PLO6</u>: Be prepared for post graduate studies or a science-related career.

**Outcome Measure:** Tracking of alumni data regarding their postgraduate education and profession along with Senior Exit Survey.

**Criteria for Success (if applicable):** Success rates for alumni who apply for graduate or professional schools will be >75% and the percentage of graduates who obtain jobs in science-related occupations will be >70%. At least 80% of students surveyed will feel prepared or better in meeting this PLO.

#### Longitudinal Data:

- The success rate for alumni who apply to graduate or professional schools has been well over 90% for at least 20 years. For dental, medical, optometry, pharmacy, and veterinary schools, there have been 166 acceptances out of 181 applicants (91.7%) between 2004 – 2014.
- An alumni survey was conducted by the Biology and Chemistry Departments in January 2015 that included graduates from 2004 2014. 408 alumni were emailed and 115 responded (28% response rate). The lowest response rate was from the class of 2007 (7%). All other classes had a response rate of 21 42%, which is fairly typical of alumni surveys.
- 32 BCHM majors responded (27% response). Of these alumni, 97% are employed or attending school in a Biology or STEM-related field (criteria met). 1 is applying to medical school.

| Senior Exit Survey*       | 2015, n=7 |
|---------------------------|-----------|
| % feel prepared or better | 100%      |

\*Senior exit survey first administered in Chemistry Senior Seminar during spring 2015.

**Conclusions Drawn from Data:** The BCHM majors are successful at obtaining jobs and entering graduate/professional schools.

Changes to be Made Based on Data: No changes to program.

Rubric Used: Not applicable to self-reported data. Survey instrument is attached.

| Grading aspect    | poor                       | developing                      | good  | expert   |
|-------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|---|--|
| Integration of    | There is no                | Some integration                | Obvious evidence of                                   | $\rightarrow$ deep personal reflection is evident                          |
| science and       | indication of              | of science and                  | reflection on the                                     | $\rightarrow$ clear and well-defended position                             |
| faith             | personal                   | faith. Evidence of              | integration of science and                            | that merges faith and scientific   |
| (evolution or     | reflection                 | clear and deep                  | faith, but the author is                              | reasoning  |
| environmental     | and thought                | reflection is not               | only marginally effective                             | (note: the exact position is not   |
| stewardship)      | into the                   | very apparent,                  | at defending his/her                                  | important, but rather the evidence of                                      |
| sterrar asimp)    | integration                | and the position                | position.   | reflection, understanding, and ability                                     |
|                   | of faith and               | taken is not well-              | poortion  | to defend that position)   |
|                   | science.                   | defended.                       |   |  |
| Critical thinking | Position is                | Position is weakly              | Fairly strong support of                              | $\rightarrow$ Issue is stated clearly                                      |
| ci icicui ciining | not                        | defended                        | the argument. Alternate                               | $\rightarrow$ Position is well-supported with                              |
|                   | defended                   | ucicitucu                       | positions are addressed                               | evidence and sources.  |
|                   | uciciliaca                 | Other, perhaps                  | and the author's own                                  | $\rightarrow$ Alternate positions are clearly                              |
|                   | There is no                | conflicting,                    | position is supported                                 | addressed in a manner that flows well                                      |
|                   | reference to               | positions on this               | against these positions,                              | with the author's argument   |
|                   | any other                  | issue are                       | full understanding of                                 | $\rightarrow$ Clear arguments against these                                |
|                   | position on                | mentioned, but                  | other positions was not                               | alternate positions using personal   |
|                   | this issue.                | are poorly                      | apparent, and a strong                                | reflection and scientific information                                      |
|                   | uns 155ue.                 | addressed                       | argument against them                                 | $\rightarrow$ Evaluation of altering positions                             |
|                   |                            | auuresseu                       | did not emerge.                                       | demonstrate grace and understanding  |
| Incorporation     | No concepts                | Concepts and                    | Concepts and discussion                               | $\rightarrow$ Concepts from specific PLNU                                  |
| of concepts       | or                         | discussions from                | from specific PLNU classes                            | classes, including science and religion                                    |
| discussed in      | discussions                | specific PLNU                   | are included and                                      | classes, are included as part of the                                       |
| various classes   | from PLNU                  | classes are part of             |   | author's reflection and defense of   |
| while at PLNU     |                            | his/her                         | discussed appropriately,<br>but these are not clearly |  |
| while at PLNU     | classes are<br>clearly     | defendable                      |   | his/her position. $\rightarrow$ Includes a clear reflection of how         |
|                   | included in                | position, but there             |   | the position has changed while at  |
|                   | the                        | is no reflection on             | author's defense and explanation of his/her           | PLNU . If his/her position has not   |
|                   | argument                   | how/if these have               | own position or how this                              | changed, essay still includes a clear                                      |
|                   | argument                   | affected the                    | position has changed                                  | explanation of why it did not change,                                      |
|                   |                            | author's position.              | while at PLNU   | that demonstrates personal reflection.                                     |
| Written           | Writing is                 | Writing is OK, but              | Few grammatical and                                   | $\rightarrow$ No, or very few, grammatical and                             |
| communication     | very poor                  | grammatical and                 | spelling errors are                                   | spelling errors.   |
| communication     | with several               | spelling errors are             | apparent in the writing.                              | $\rightarrow$ Essay flow is excellent with a clear                         |
|                   | grammatical                |                                 |   | introduction, argumentative  |
|                   | U                          | Further revisions               | revision, but the argument                            | reasoning, and a strong conclusion.  |
|                   | and spelling<br>errors. No | are still required.             | does not flow very well.                              | $\rightarrow$ Writing effectively communicates                             |
|                   | evidence of                | Essay length does               | Essay is of sufficient                                | with a college science audience.   |
|                   | revision.                  | not provide for                 | length  | $\rightarrow$ sufficient length to make a good,                            |
|                   | (Essay is                  | sufficient support.             | iciigui   | complete defense (estimated ~1200 –  |
|                   | (Essay is <800             | summer support.                 |   | 1600 words; can be less if essay is  |
|                   | <ol> <li>words)</li> </ol> |                                 |   | sufficiently and concisely supported)                                      |
| Information       | Includes no                | Includes 1 – 2                  | Includes 3-4 appropriate                              | $\rightarrow$ Includes 4-5 or more appropriate                             |
| literacy          |                            |                                 | sources. Includes some                                | sources, including sources of more   |
| incracy           | appropriate<br>sources. No | appropriate<br>sources. In-text | references in the text that                           | than one type (websites, books,  |
|                   | in-text                    | references show                 | are incorporated into the                             | articles, etc.).   |
|                   | references.                | little connection               | essay well.   | $\rightarrow$ Includes substantial references in                           |
|                   | reierences.                |                                 | cssay well.   |  |
|                   |                            | 5                               |   | the text that enhance the essay and  |
|                   |                            | Quotes are overly               |   | support the author's argument. $\rightarrow$ paraphrasing is done well and |
|                   |                            | used or long.                   |   | $\rightarrow$ paraphrasing is done well, and                               |
|                   |                            |                                 |   | quotes (when appropriate) are used   |
|                   |                            |                                 |   | correctly, but not overly frequently.                                      |

Chemistry Seminar Exit Survey 2015 (Biology-Chemistry Major)

1) What is your current career goal?

- a) Professor
- b) Teacher
- c) Health professional please specify
- d) Biotechnology or pharmaceutical industry
- e) Academic or government lab
- f) Graduate student please specify field or specialty
- g) Other please specify

2) Rank how well prepared you were to meet the following program learning outcomes (goals) that were set for your major.

I. Students will demonstrate an understanding of the process of science, and of the concepts and theories of biology across a broad range of organizational levels: molecular, cellular, and organismal.

unprepared / somewhat unprepared / prepared / well prepared / extremely well prepared

II. Students will apply key concepts and principles in quantitative analysis. unprepared / somewhat unprepared / prepared / well prepared / extremely well prepared

III. Students will apply key concepts and principles in biochemistry. unprepared / somewhat unprepared / prepared / well prepared / extremely well prepared

IV. Students will apply key concepts and principles in bioinorganic chemistry. unprepared / somewhat unprepared / prepared / well prepared / extremely well prepared

V. Students will apply key concepts and principles in organic chemistry. unprepared / somewhat unprepared / prepared / well prepared / extremely well prepared

VI. Students will apply key concepts and principles in physical chemistry (thermodynamics and kinetics).

unprepared / somewhat unprepared / prepared / well prepared / extremely well prepared

VII. Students will use standard instrumentation and laboratory equipment to conduct scientific experiments and perform chemical characterization and analyses. unprepared / somewhat unprepared / prepared / well prepared / extremely well prepared

VIII. Students will participate in the life of the Biology and/or Chemistry Department by involvement in one or more of the following areas: research, biology and/or chemistry clubs, and/or various positions of responsibility serving as graders, tutors, stockroom workers and/or teaching assistants.

unprepared / somewhat unprepared / prepared / well prepared / extremely well prepared

IX. Students will develop a rationally defensible integration of science and faith. unprepared / somewhat unprepared / prepared / well prepared / extremely well prepared

X. Students will be prepared for post graduate studies or a science-related career. unprepared / somewhat unprepared / prepared / well prepared / extremely well prepared

- 3) Were you involved in the PLNU chemistry summer research program?
  - a) Yes describe what role this experience played in your learning of chemistry
  - b) No describe why not
- 4) Do you have any suggestions related to the summer research program?
- 5) What were one or two aspects of the chemistry curriculum that might have been improved?
- 6) Do you feel prepared to take the next step academically?
  - a) Yes describe what experiences (classes) helped you to get there
  - b) No describe what additional or different experiences would have helped

7) If you were starting over as a freshman next fall, would you make any different decisions about your major, or about elective course choices, etc.?

8) Are there chemistry courses that PLNU does not offer that you would have liked to take?

9) Do you feel like you are a part of the chemistry department community? Why or why not?

## Alumni Survey 2015

The Biology and Chemistry Departments are doing an extensive Program Review. We would greatly appreciate your feedback as a PLNU alum on your experience as a Biology or Chemistry major. This 15-question survey should take about 15 minutes to complete. If you provide your email address, we will also enter you into a drawing for one of three \$100 Amazon cards as a thank you for your time!

- 1) What year did you graduate from PLNU?
- 2) What was your major?
  - a) Biology-BA
  - b) Biology-BS
  - c) Chemistry
  - d) Biology-Chemistry
  - e) Environmental Science
- 3) What is your highest degree earned?
  - a) BA/BS
  - b) MA/MS
  - c) PhD
  - d) MD/DO
  - e) PA
  - f) DDS
  - g) DVM
  - h) OD
  - i) PharmD
  - j) Other please specify
- 4) What is your current professional situation?
  - a) Professor
  - b) Teacher
  - c) Health professional
  - d) Biotechnology or pharmaceutical industry
  - e) Academic or government lab
  - f) Graduate student please specify field or specialty
  - g) Other please specify
- 5) Rank how well we prepared you to meet the following goals that were set for your major. (Only PLOs for specified major selected in #2 will appear.)
  - a) Unprepared
  - b) Somewhat unprepared
  - c) Prepared
  - d) Well prepared
  - e) Extremely well prepared

- 6) Were you involved in the PLNU biology or chemistry summer research programs?
  - a) Yes describe how this experience is impacting your career.
  - b) No
- 7) Which classes or experiences do you appreciate more now as opposed to when you had just graduated?
- 8) Is there any course, topic, or skill you've repeatedly encountered that you wish you had been taught at PLNU? Please explain.
- 9) If you are pursuing a career in environmental science, do you wish you had substituted an internship experience for a science elective while you were at PLNU?
  - a) I am not pursuing a career in environmental science.
  - b) I did an internship.
  - c) Yes, I wish I had done an internship while at PLNU.
  - d) No, I did not need to do an internship while at PLNU.

## Comments?

- 10) Do you wish you had taken any of the following options at PLNU?
  - a) BIO130/140 (Human Anatomy & Physiology)
  - b) Upper-division anatomy class
  - c) No, I didn't need an Anatomy class

# Comments?

- 11) What were one or two aspects of the biology curriculum that might have been improved to better prepare you for your profession or for further studies?
- 12) What were one or two aspects of the chemistry curriculum that might have been improved to better prepare you for your profession or for further studies?
- 13) Have you done any of the following? Check all that apply.
  - a) Recommended PLNU to a prospective student
  - b) Promoted PLNU to another person
  - c) Been involved with the alumni association
  - d) Donated to Research Associates
  - e) Other please specify.
- 14) Since you left PLNU, have you ever had a conversation in which you had to integrate Christian faith with scientific knowledge? Did you feel prepared scientifically? Did you feel prepared theologically? Check all that apply. Please describe the situation and your feelings about your preparation.
  - a) I've never had such a conversation.
  - b) I felt prepared scientifically.
  - c) I didn't feel prepared scientifically.

- d) I felt prepared theologically.
- e) I didn't feel prepared theologically.
- 15) Since you left PLNU, have you made any decisions that were influenced by your knowledge of creation care and sustainability? If so, did you feel prepared to make those decisions from a scientific understanding of sustainability?
  - a) I do not tend to make decisions based on sustainability considerations.

b) I often feel unprepared to make those decisions as it is rarely clear to me which options would best benefit the planet.

c) I usually feel prepared to make those decisions as I am generally confident in my understanding of how my choices affect, and which options are best for, the planet.

d) I feel very comfortable in my scientific knowledge of how various decisions will affect the earth, either negatively or positively.

16) Please provide your email address to be entered into the drawing for an Amazon gift card. Your email address will not be associated with your responses on this survey.