Rubric for PLNU Graduate Biology program thesis presentation for assessment of PLO #2 (rev. 04/12)

Candidates who successfully complete PLNU's Master of Arts or Master of Science in Biology program will be able to:

PLO #2: Carry out and communicate various experimental methods and types of data analysis. (Rows 3,4,5 in rubric)

Name:	Start/end	/
i tunici		

Component	Initial (70%)	Emerging (80%)	Developed (90%)	Highly Developed (100%)
Problem, question and/or hypothesis	 Fails to identify or summarize problem accurately No indication of purpose of the research 	 Summarizes the problem, though some aspects are incorrect or confusing Some indication of purpose of the research 	 Clearly identifies the problem Clearly articulates the purpose of the research 	 Clearly identifies the problem as well as nuanced aspects or key details Clearly articulates the purpose of the research, beyond the narrow field
Literature Review	No literature referred to or listed	3 references referred to or listed	• 4+ references referred to or listed, but too much time spent on refs.	• 4+ ref. appropriately integrated into presentation
Methods (data collection/anal)	 No explanation or justification of research design Methodology is unclear and incomplete 	 Some explanation of research design, but no justification Methodology is basic, but incomplete 	 Clearly explains research design, but no justification Explains methodology 	 Clearly justifies and explains research design Clearly explains methodology
Results	 Graphs and tables are poorly/inaccurately done One or more pieces of data inaccurately interpreted in text with many opinion statements. 	 Graphs and tables are inaccurate/missing labels with some errors Usually accurately summarizes tables and graphs in text with obvious opinions 	 Graphs and tables are adequate Accurately summarizes the tables and graphs in text with some opinion 	 Graphs and tables are professional Accurately summarizes the tables and graphs in text w/o opinion
Conclusion(s)	 Fails to identify conclusions, or conclusion is a simplistic summary Conclusion presented as "proof" 	 Identifies conclusions and refers to some specific pieces of evidence Does not relate conclusion to the broader field 	 Clearly links evidence with the conclusion Minimal consideration of limitations 	 Clearly links evidence with the conclusion Considers limitations of the study
Effective use of oral comm.	 Poor eye contact Too quiet, too fast, many "um", etc. Voice is monotone or is reading slides 	 Minimal eye contact Some "um", etc. Appropriate tone, but reading slides 	 Some eye contact with audience Good voice volume/speed Appropriate tone and engaging audience 	 Good eye contact with audience Excellent voice volume/speed Professional tone and engaging manner
Effective use of Powerpoint slides	 Poor organization of slides; presentation is disorganized and confusing Small font/too many words and errors Too many or too few slides, distracting animations, graphics, or videos 	 Good organization of slides; presentation maybe be confusing in some spots Appropriate size and text w/ some errors Minimal use of diagrams, graphs, and/or photos 	 Excellent organization of slides; presentation is clear Good size and text w/ occasional errors Good use of diagrams, graphs, and/or photos 	 Excellent organization of slides; presentation is clear Great font size/text amount w/o errors Excellent use of diagrams, graphs, and/or photos
Demonstrates professionalism	 Presentation MUCH too long/short Little evidence of awareness of audience level 	 Presentation too long or too short Unclear awareness of audience level 	 Properly timed presentation Clear awareness of audience level 	 Perfectly timed presentation Excellent awareness of audience level