LIT 250 Rubric for Literary Analysis (Fiction, Poetry, Drama, Non-Fiction)

| | Advanced (4.000 pts) | Proficient (3.000 pts) | Developing (2.000 pts) | Beginner (1.000 pt) |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| (1.000, 14%) | Demonstrates mastery of one- sentence arguable thesis: clear, concise, specific, original, and relevant with compelling and nuanced set of key terms/concepts; in creating an original two-part title derived from the thesis that effectively communicates the key ideas of paper | Demonstrates clear and active use of one-sentence arguable thesis: clear, concise, specific, original, and relevant with strong set of key terms/concepts; of a title derived from the thesis that communicates the key ideas of paper | Attempts use of one-sentence arguable thesis: clear, concise, specific, original, and relevant with set of key terms/concepts; of a title connected to the assignment that inadequately communicates the key ideas of paper | Lacks one-sentence arguable thesis: clear, concise, specific, original, and relevant to the assignment; lacks consistent set of key terms/concepts; lacks an original two-part title derived from the thesis that communicates the key ideas of paper |
| Introduction, Conclusions, Point Sentences, Order of Discussion, (1.000, 14%) | Includes a thesis/main claim and key terms appropriately placed; begins each discussion section with precise point sentences substantively connected to the main claim and that clearly articulate discussion material and elegantly link it to preceding and following points; sub-claims/reasons are discussed in the order established by the main claim | with appropriate point sentences fundamentally connected to the main claim and that present the discussion material well; sub- claims/reasons are discussed in | May include a thesis/main claim and/or key terms inappropriately placed; begins some discussion sections with point sentences that may unevenly connect to the main claim and/or poorly present the discussion material; may discuss sub-claims/reasons in a different order from that established by the main claim | Does not appropriately place a clear thesis/main claim and/or key terms; discussion sections lack point sentences and/or any connection to main claim and/or poorly present discussion material; the order of sub-claims/reasons in the discussion is not governed by the main claim |
| (Quotation Sandwich) (1.000, 14%) | Demonstrates elegant and nuanced original commentary before and after quoted, paraphrased, or summarized textual evidence; compellingly connects commentary to the evidence, sub-point/reason, and thesis/main claim | commentary before and after | Uses some original commentary before and/or after quoted, paraphrased, or summarized textual evidence; may not connect commentary to the evidence, sub- point/reason, and thesis/main claim | Lacks sufficient original commentary before and after quoted, paraphrased, or summarized textual evidence; lacks a connection between the commentary, sub-points/reasons, and the thesis/main claim |
| | Always uses attributed or integrated quotations; always introduces sources when they are first used; uses block quotations only when needed; includes adequate and judicious textual evidence from primary, secondary, and tertiary sources as required by the assignment. Evidence cited powerfully illustrates the reasons and the claim. | integrated quotations; introduces sources when they are first used; may overuse block quotations; includes textual evidence from primary, secondary, and tertiary sources as required by the assignment Evidence cited generally illustrates the reasons | Sometimes uses attributed or integrated quotations; unevenly introduces sources when they are first used; overuses block quotations; unevenly includes textual evidence from primary, secondary, and tertiary sources as required by the assignment. Evidence cited may/may not illustrate the reasons and/or the claim. | Lacks or incorrectly uses attributed or integrated quotations; does not introduce sources when they are first used; lacks or incorrectly uses block quotations; lacks appropriate textual evidence from primary, secondary, and tertiary sources as required by the assignment. Evidence cited does not illustrate the reasons and/or the claim. |

| | Advanced (4.000 pts) | Proficient (3.000 pts) | Developing (2.000 pts) | Beginner (1.000 pt) |
|--------------|---|---|---|--|
| 14%) | Styleincluding parenthetical citations, works cited pages, headings, and overall format | Demonstrates solid and active use of MLA Stylesome errors in parenthetical citations, works cited pages, headings, and overall format | incorrect use of MLA Style throughout parenthetical citations, works cited pages, headings, and | Demonstrates inadequate, and incorrect use of MLA Style-lacking parenthetical citations, works cited pages, headings, and correct overall format |
| (1.000, 14%) | writing style; demonstrates mastery | Uses solid and effective writing style; demonstrates strong use of grammar and mechanics | style; demonstrates some | Lacks a solid writing style; lacks correct use of grammar and mechanics |
| (1.000, 14%) | Executes writing task with precision and mastery; meets length requirements | Executes writing task well; meets length requirements | _ | Does not follow the writing task; does not meet length requirements |